



(12) **United States Patent**  
**Boyan et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 8,002,830 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Aug. 23, 2011**

- (54) **SURFACE DIRECTED CELLULAR ATTACHMENT**
- (75) Inventors: **Barbara D. Boyan**, Atlanta, GA (US);  
**Stephen J. Kennedy**, Alpharetta, GA (US); **Zvi Schwartz**, Atlanta, GA (US)
- (73) Assignee: **Georgia Tech Research Corporation**, Atlanta, GA (US)
- (\* ) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1181 days.  
  
This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.
- (21) Appl. No.: **11/053,410**
- (22) Filed: **Feb. 7, 2005**
- (65) **Prior Publication Data**  
US 2005/0196452 A1 Sep. 8, 2005  
  
**Related U.S. Application Data**
- (60) Provisional application No. 60/542,514, filed on Feb. 6, 2004.
- (51) **Int. Cl.**  
*A61K 31/047* (2006.01)  
*A61F 2/28* (2006.01)  
*A61F 2/02* (2006.01)
- (52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **623/16.11**; 424/422; 514/738;  
623/23.5; 623/23.71; 623/23.72; 623/23.74;  
623/23.76
- (58) **Field of Classification Search** ..... 623/16.11,  
623/23.5, 23.71, 23.72, 23.74, 23.76; 514/738;  
424/422  
  
See application file for complete search history.
- (56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,276,996 A	10/1966	Lazare
3,663,470 A	5/1972	Nishimura et al.
3,673,612 A	7/1972	Merrill et al.
3,849,238 A	11/1974	Gould et al.
3,859,421 A	1/1975	Hucke
4,083,906 A	4/1978	Schindler et al.
4,351,069 A	9/1982	Ballintyn et al.
4,472,542 A	9/1984	Nambu
4,517,295 A	5/1985	Bracke et al.
4,524,064 A	6/1985	Nambu
4,609,337 A	9/1986	Wichterle et al.
4,663,358 A	5/1987	Hyon et al.
4,664,857 A	5/1987	Nambu
4,693,939 A	9/1987	Ofstead
4,731,081 A	3/1988	Tiffany et al.
4,734,097 A	3/1988	Tanabe et al.
4,753,761 A	6/1988	Suzuki
4,759,766 A	7/1988	Buettner-Janz et al.
4,772,284 A	9/1988	Suzuki
4,784,990 A	11/1988	Nimrod et al.
4,787,905 A	11/1988	Loi
4,808,353 A	2/1989	Nambu et al.
4,828,493 A	5/1989	Nambu et al.
4,851,168 A	7/1989	Graiver et al.

4,911,720 A	3/1990	Collier
4,916,170 A	4/1990	Nambu
4,988,761 A	1/1991	Ikada et al.
4,995,882 A	2/1991	Destouet et al.
5,047,055 A	9/1991	Bao et al.
5,095,037 A	3/1992	Iwamitsu et al.
5,106,743 A	4/1992	Franzblau et al.
5,106,876 A	4/1992	Kawamura
5,108,428 A	4/1992	Capecchi et al.
5,108,436 A	4/1992	Chu et al.
5,118,667 A	6/1992	Adams et al.
5,141,973 A	8/1992	Kobayashi et al.
5,171,322 A	12/1992	Kenny
5,171,574 A	12/1992	Kuberasampath et al.
5,192,326 A	3/1993	Bao et al.
5,206,023 A	4/1993	Hunziker
5,219,360 A	6/1993	Georgiade
5,234,456 A	8/1993	Silvestrini
5,244,799 A	9/1993	Anderson
5,258,023 A	11/1993	Reger
5,258,042 A	11/1993	Mehta
5,258,043 A	11/1993	Stone
5,260,066 A	11/1993	Wood et al.
5,287,857 A	2/1994	Mann
5,288,503 A	2/1994	Wood et al.
5,290,494 A	3/1994	Coombes et al.
5,314,477 A	5/1994	Marnay
5,314,478 A	5/1994	Oka et al.
5,326,364 A	7/1994	Clift, Jr. et al.
5,336,551 A	8/1994	Graiver et al.
5,336,767 A	8/1994	Della Valle et al.
5,343,877 A	9/1994	Park
5,344,459 A	9/1994	Swartz
5,346,935 A	9/1994	Suzuki et al.
5,397,572 A	3/1995	Coombes et al.
5,399,591 A	3/1995	Smith et al.
5,401,269 A	3/1995	Buttner-Janz et al.
5,409,904 A	4/1995	Hecht et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	20218703 U1	3/2003
----	-------------	--------

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

WordNet ® 3.0 [online], Princeton University, 2006 [retrieved on Aug. 6, 2008]. Retrieved from the Internet: <URL: <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/mesh>.\*

(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — James Anderson  
*Assistant Examiner* — Gregg Polansky  
 (74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Implantable biomaterials, particularly hydrogel substrates with porous surfaces, and methods for enhancing the compatibility of biomaterials with living tissue, and for causing physical attachment between biomaterials and living tissues are provided. Also provided are implants suitable for load-bearing surfaces in hard tissue repair, replacement, or augmentation, and to methods of their use. One embodiment of the invention relates to an implantable spinal disc prosthesis.

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
5,410,016	A	4/1995	Hubbell et al.	6,402,784	B1	6/2002	Wardlaw
5,442,053	A	8/1995	Della Valle et al.	6,402,785	B1	6/2002	Zdeblick et al.
5,458,643	A	10/1995	Oka et al.	6,419,704	B1	7/2002	Ferree
5,458,645	A	10/1995	Bertin	6,428,576	B1	8/2002	Haldimann
5,490,962	A	2/1996	Cima et al.	6,451,059	B1	9/2002	Janas et al.
5,492,697	A	2/1996	Boyan et al.	6,472,210	B1	10/2002	Holy et al.
5,494,940	A	2/1996	Unger et al.	6,482,234	B1	11/2002	Weber et al.
5,502,082	A	3/1996	Unger et al.	6,531,523	B1	3/2003	Davankov et al.
5,512,475	A	4/1996	Naughton et al.	6,533,818	B1	3/2003	Weber et al.
5,522,898	A	6/1996	Bao	6,534,084	B1	3/2003	Vyakarnam et al.
5,534,028	A	7/1996	Bao et al.	6,558,421	B1	5/2003	Fell et al.
5,541,234	A	7/1996	Unger et al.	6,602,291	B1	8/2003	Ray et al.
5,545,229	A	8/1996	Parsons et al.	6,607,558	B2	8/2003	Kuras
5,556,429	A	9/1996	Felt	6,610,094	B2	8/2003	Husson
5,556,431	A	9/1996	Buttner-Janz	6,629,997	B2	10/2003	Mansmann
5,578,217	A	11/1996	Unger et al.	6,645,248	B2	11/2003	Casutt
5,626,861	A	5/1997	Laurencin et al.	6,667,049	B2	12/2003	Janas et al.
5,645,592	A	7/1997	Nicolais et al.	6,686,437	B2	2/2004	Buchman et al.
5,656,450	A	8/1997	Boyan et al.	6,707,558	B2	3/2004	Bennett
5,658,329	A	8/1997	Purkait	6,710,126	B1	3/2004	Hirt et al.
5,674,241	A	10/1997	Bley et al.	6,726,721	B2	4/2004	Stoy et al.
5,674,295	A	10/1997	Ray et al.	6,733,533	B1	5/2004	Lozier
5,674,296	A	10/1997	Bryan et al.	6,734,000	B2	5/2004	Chin et al.
5,688,459	A	11/1997	Mao et al.	6,740,118	B2	5/2004	Eisermann et al.
5,700,289	A	12/1997	Breitbart et al.	6,773,713	B2	8/2004	Bonassar et al.
5,705,780	A	1/1998	Bao	6,783,546	B2	8/2004	Zucherman et al.
5,716,416	A	2/1998	Lin	6,800,298	B1	10/2004	Burdick et al.
5,750,585	A	5/1998	Park et al.	6,802,863	B2	10/2004	Lawson et al.
5,766,618	A	6/1998	Laurencin et al.	6,827,743	B2	12/2004	Eisermann et al.
5,769,897	A	6/1998	Harle	6,840,960	B2	1/2005	Bubb
5,789,464	A	8/1998	Muller	6,849,092	B2	2/2005	Van Dyke et al.
5,795,353	A	8/1998	Felt	6,855,743	B1	2/2005	Gvozdic
5,824,093	A	10/1998	Ray et al.	6,875,232	B2	4/2005	Nigam
5,824,094	A	10/1998	Serhan et al.	6,875,386	B1*	4/2005	Ward et al. .... 264/154
5,844,016	A	12/1998	Sawhney et al.	6,875,442	B2	4/2005	Holy et al.
5,847,046	A	12/1998	Liang et al.	6,878,384	B2	4/2005	Cruise et al.
5,855,610	A	1/1999	Vacanti et al.	6,881,228	B2	4/2005	Zdeblick et al.
5,863,297	A	1/1999	Walter et al.	6,893,466	B2	5/2005	Trieu
5,863,551	A	1/1999	Woerly	6,923,811	B1	8/2005	Carl et al.
5,876,452	A	3/1999	Athanasidou et al.	6,960,617	B2	11/2005	Omidian et al.
5,876,741	A	3/1999	Ron	6,982,298	B2	1/2006	Calabro et al.
5,880,216	A	3/1999	Tanihara et al.	6,993,406	B1	1/2006	Cesarano, III et al.
5,900,245	A	5/1999	Sawhney et al.	7,008,635	B1	3/2006	Coury et al.
5,916,585	A	6/1999	Cook et al.	7,012,034	B2	3/2006	Heide et al.
5,925,626	A	7/1999	Della Valle et al.	7,022,522	B2	4/2006	Guan et al.
5,944,754	A	8/1999	Vacanti	7,048,766	B2	5/2006	Ferree
5,947,844	A	9/1999	Shimosaka et al.	7,052,515	B2	5/2006	Simonson
5,948,829	A	9/1999	Wallajapet et al.	7,060,097	B2	6/2006	Fraser et al.
5,957,787	A	9/1999	Hwang	7,066,958	B2	6/2006	Ferree
5,976,186	A	11/1999	Bao et al.	7,066,960	B1	6/2006	Dickman
5,981,826	A	11/1999	Ku et al.	7,083,649	B2	8/2006	Zucherman et al.
6,001,352	A	12/1999	Boyan et al.	7,091,191	B2	8/2006	Laredo et al.
6,027,744	A	2/2000	Vacanti et al.	7,156,877	B2	1/2007	Lotz et al.
6,060,534	A	5/2000	Ronan et al.	7,186,419	B2	3/2007	Petersen
6,093,205	A	7/2000	McLeod et al.	7,201,774	B2	4/2007	Ferree
6,102,954	A	8/2000	Albrektsson et al.	7,201,776	B2	4/2007	Ferree et al.
6,103,255	A	8/2000	Levene et al.	7,214,245	B1	5/2007	Marcolongo et al.
6,132,465	A	10/2000	Ray et al.	7,217,294	B2	5/2007	Kusanagi et al.
6,156,067	A	12/2000	Bryan et al.	7,235,592	B2	6/2007	Muratoglu et al.
6,171,610	B1	1/2001	Vacanti et al.	7,250,060	B2	7/2007	Trieu
6,187,329	B1	2/2001	Agrawal et al.	7,264,634	B2	9/2007	Schmieding
6,206,927	B1	3/2001	Fell	7,282,165	B2	10/2007	Williams, III et al.
6,224,630	B1*	5/2001	Bao et al. .... 623/17.16	7,291,169	B2	11/2007	Hodorek
6,231,605	B1*	5/2001	Ku ..... 623/11.11	7,316,919	B2	1/2008	Childs et al.
6,255,359	B1	7/2001	Agrawal et al.	7,332,117	B2	2/2008	Higham et al.
6,264,695	B1	7/2001	Stoy	7,357,798	B2	4/2008	Sharps et al.
6,268,405	B1	7/2001	Yao et al.	7,377,942	B2	5/2008	Berry
6,271,278	B1	8/2001	Park et al.	7,682,540	B2	3/2010	Boyan et al.
6,280,475	B1	8/2001	Bao et al.	2001/0029399	A1	10/2001	Ku
6,337,198	B1	1/2002	Levene et al.	2001/0038831	A1	11/2001	Park et al.
6,340,369	B1	1/2002	Ferree	2001/0046488	A1	11/2001	Vandenburgh et al.
6,341,952	B2	1/2002	Gaylo et al.	2002/0031500	A1	3/2002	MacLaughlin et al.
6,344,058	B1	2/2002	Ferree	2002/0034646	A1	3/2002	Canham
6,355,699	B1	3/2002	Vyakarnam et al.	2002/0072116	A1	6/2002	Bhatia et al.
6,371,984	B1	4/2002	Van Dyke et al.	2002/0140137	A1	10/2002	Sapieszko et al.
6,376,573	B1	4/2002	White et al.	2002/0173855	A1	11/2002	Mansmann
6,379,962	B1	4/2002	Holy et al.	2002/0183845	A1	12/2002	Mansmann
6,383,519	B1	5/2002	Sapieszko et al.	2002/0183848	A1	12/2002	Ray et al.
				2002/0187182	A1	12/2002	Kramer et al.



EP	0410010	B1	10/1993
EP	0411105	B1	6/1995
EP	0845480	A1	3/1998
EP	0919209	A1	6/1999
EP	1287796	A1	3/2003
EP	1030697	B1	8/2003
EP	1344538	A1	9/2003
EP	1584338	A2	10/2005
EP	1482996	B1	11/2005
EP	0222407	A2	5/2007
GB	02056882	A	3/1981
GB	02128501	A	5/1984
JP	02-184580		7/1990
JP	04053843		2/1992
JP	11035732		9/1999
JP	2005-199054		7/2005
JP	07247365		9/2005
JP	2006-101893		4/2006
WO	WO90/07545	A2	7/1990
WO	WO90/07575	A1	7/1990
WO	WO90/10018	A1	9/1990
WO	WO 93/16664	A1	9/1992
WO	WO94/01483	A1	1/1994
WO	WO95/25183	A1	9/1995
WO	WO97/06101	A1	2/1997
WO	WO97/46178	A1	12/1997
WO	WO98/02146	A2	1/1998
WO	WO 98/50017	A1	12/1998
WO	WO99/25391	A2	5/1999
WO	WO99/34845	A1	7/1999
WO	WO00/30998	A1	6/2000
WO	WO00/42991	A1	7/2000
WO	WO00/62829	A1	10/2000
WO	WO01/02033	A1	1/2001
WO	WO 01/22902	A2	4/2001
WO	WO01/59160	A1	8/2001
WO	WO01/64030	A1	9/2001
WO	WO01/70436	A1	9/2001
WO	WO01/91822	A1	12/2001
WO	WO02/09647	A2	2/2002
WO	WO02/30480	A1	4/2002
WO	WO02/064182	A3	8/2002
WO	WO03/030787	A1	4/2003
WO	WO03/092760	A1	11/2003
WO	WO2004/060554	A1	7/2004
WO	WO2004/101013	A1	11/2004
WO	WO2005/077304	A1	8/2005
WO	WO 2005/097006	A2	10/2005
WO	WO2006/018531	A2	2/2006
WO	WO2006/019634	A1	2/2006
WO	WO2006/030054	A1	3/2006
WO	WO2006/034365	A2	3/2006
WO	WO2005/077013	A2	8/2006

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

The American Heritage® Science Dictionary [online], Houghton Mifflin Company, 2002 [retrieved on Jun. 3, 2008]. Retrieved from the Internet: <URL: <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/pore>.\*

Kobayashi et al., *The Knee*, 2003, vol. 10, pp. 47-51.\*

Landolt et al., 2003, "Electrochemical micromachining, polishing and surface structuring of metals: fundamental aspects and new developments", *Electrochimica Acta*, vol. 48, pp. 3185-3201; 2003.

Boyan et al., "Effect of Titanium Surface Characteristics on Chondrocytes and Osteoblasts in Vitro", *Cells and Materials*, vol. 5, No. 4, 1995 (pp. 323-335).

Boyan et al., "Osteoblast-Mediated Mineral Deposition in Culture is Dependent on Surface Microtopography", *Calcif Tissue Int.* (2002) 71:519-529.

Brunette, "The Effects of Implant Surface Topography on the Behavior of Cells", *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac Implants*, 1988; 3:231-246.

Hickey et al., "Mesh size and diffusive characteristics of semicrystalline poly (vinyl alcohol) membranes prepared by freezing/thawing techniques", *Journal of Membrane Science* 107 (1995) 229-237.

Kieswetter et al., "Surface roughness modulates the local production of growth factors and cytokines by osteoblast-like MG-63 cells", *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*, vol. 32, 55-63 (1996).

Kohavi et al., "Markers of primary mineralization are correlated with bone-bonding ability of titanium or stainless steel in vivo", *Clin. Oral. Impl. Res.* 1995, 6:1-13.

Liao et al., "Response of rat osteoblast-like cells to microstructured model surfaces in vitro", *Biomaterials* 24 (2003) 649-654.

Lozinsky et al., "Study of Cryostructure of Polymer Systems. XII. Poly (vinyl alcohol) Cryogels: Influence of Low-Molecular Electrolytes", *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, vol. 61, 1991-1998 (1996).

Martin et al., "Effect of titanium surface roughness on proliferation, differentiation, and protein synthesis of human osteoblast-like cells", *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*, vol. 29, 389-401 (1995).

Oka et al., "Development of an Artificial Articular Cartilage", *Clinical Materials* 6 (1990) 361-381.

Ong et al., "Osteoblast Responses to Bmp-2-Treated Titanium in Vitro", *The International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, vol. 12, No. 5, 1997, pp. 649-654.

Willcox et al., "Microstructure of Poly (vinyl alcohol) Hydrogels Produced by Freeze/Thaw Cycling", *Journal of POLYMER SCIENCES: Part B: Polymer Physics*, vol. 37, 3438-3454 (1999).

Zheng-Qiu et al., "The development of artificial articular cartilage—PVA-hydrogel", *Bio-Medical Materials and Engineering* 8 (1998) 75-81.

Schwartz, Z. et al., "Underlying Mechanisms at the Bone-Biomaterial Interface." *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* 56:340-347 (1994).

Kieswetter, K. et al., "The Role of Implant Surface Characteristics in the Healing of Bone." *Crit. Rev. Oral Biol. Med.* 7(4):329-345 (1996).

Andrade et al., "Water as a Biomaterial," *Trans. Am. Soc. Artif. Intern. Organs*, 19:1 (1973).

Ariga et al., "Immobilization of Microorganisms with PVA Hardened by Iterative Freezing and Thawing," *Journal of Fermentation Technology*, 65(6): pp. 651-658 (1987).

Chen et al., "Boundary layer infusion of heparin prevents thrombosis and reduces neointimal hyperplasia in venous polytetrafluoroethylene grafts without system anticoagulation," *J. Vascular Surgery*, 22:237-247 (1995).

Chu et al., "Polyvinyl Alcohol Cryogel: An Ideal Phantom Material for MR Studies of Arterial Elasticity," *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, v. 37, pp. 314-319 (1997).

Hoffman et al., "Interactions of Blood and Blood Components at Hydrogel Interfaces," *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, 283:372-382 (1977).

Koutsopoulos et al., "Calcification of porcine and human cardiac valves: testing of various inhibitors for antimicrobialization," *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.*, 9:421-424 (1998).

Lazzeri et al., "Physico-chemical and mechanical characterization of hydrogels of poly(vinyl alcohol) and hyaluronic acid," *J. Mater. Sci. In Med.*, 5:852-867 (1994).

Lozinsky et al., "Study of cryostructure of polymer systems. VII. Structure formation under freezing of poly(vinyl alcohol) aqueous solutions," *Colloid & Polymer Science*, vol. 264, pp. 19-24 (1986).

Lozinsky et al., "Poly(vinyl alcohol) cryogels employed as matrices for cell immobilization. 2. Entrapped cells resemble porous fillers in their effects on the properties of PVA-cryogel carrier," *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 182-192 (1997).

Lozinsky et al., "Poly(vinyl alcohol) cryogels employed as matrices for cell immobilization. 3. Overview of recent research and developments," *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, vol. 23, No. 3-4, pp. 227-242 (1998).

Lozinsky et al., "Study of Cryostructure of Polymer Systems. XI. The Formation of PVA Cryogels by Freezing-Thawing the Polymer Aqueous Solutions Containing Additives of Some Polyols," *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, vol. 58, pp. 171-177 (1995).


Lusta et al., "Immobilization of fungus *Aspergillus* sp. by a novel cryogel technique for production of extracellular hydrolytic enzymes", *Process Biochemistry*, vol. 35, pp. 1177-1182 (2000).

Nagura et al., "Structure of poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogel prepared by repeated freezing and melting," *Polymer*, 30:762-765 (1989).


Peppas et al., "Structure of Hydrogels by Freezing-Thawing Cyclic Processing," *Bulletin of the American Physical Society*, 36:582 (1991).

- Peppas et al., "Ultrapure poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogels with mucoadhesive drug delivery characteristics," *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 43(1): 51-58 (1997).
- Peppas et al., "Reinforced uncrosslinked poly(vinyl alcohol) gels produced by cyclic freezing-thawing processes: a short review," *Journal of Controlled Release*, 16(3): 305-310 (1991).
- Peppas et al., "Controlled release from poly(vinyl alcohol) gels prepared by freezing-thawing processes," *Journal of Controlled Release*, vol. 18, pp. 95-100 (1992).
- Singh et al., "Polymeric Hydrogels: Preparation and Biomedical Applications," *J. Sci. Ind. Res.*, 39:162 (1980).
- Szczesna-Antezak et al., "*Bacillus subtilis* cells immobilised in PVA-cryogels," *Biomolecular Engineering*, vol. 17, pp. 55-63 (2001).
- Watase et al., "Rheological and DSC Changes in Poly(vinyl alcohol) Gels Induced by Immersion in Water," *Journal of Polymer Science, Polym. Phys. Ed.*, 23(9): 1803-1811 (1985).
- Watase et al., "Thermal and rheological properties of poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogels prepared by repeated cycles of freezing and thawing," *Makromol. Chem.*, v. 189, pp. 871-880 (1988).
- Yamaura et al., "Properties of Gels Obtained by Freezing/Thawing of Poly(vinyl Alcohol)/Water/Dimethyl Sulfoxide Solutions," *J. Appl. Polymer Sci.*, 37:2709-2718 (1989).
- Yokoyama et al., "Morphology and structure of highly elastic poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogel prepared by repeated freezing-and-melting," *Colloid & Polymer Science*, vol. 264, No. 7, pp. 595-601 (1986).
- Stewart, J.E. et al., "Protein release from PVA gels prepared by freezing and thawing techniques," *Proc. Int. Symp. Controlled Release Bioact. Mater.*, 26<sup>th</sup>, 1004-1005 (1999).
- U.S. Appl. No. 12/117,673, filed May 8, 2008, Boyan et al.
- U.S. Appl. No. 12/117,667, filed May 8, 2008, Boyan et al.
- International Search Report for Application No. PCT/US2005/03899 mailed Jul. 15, 2008 (PCT/US2005/03899 is the corresponding PCT application of U.S. Appl. No. 11/053,410).
- Stauffer et al., Poly (vinyl alcohol) hydrogels prepared by freezing-thawing cyclic processing, *Polymer*, v.33, pp. 3932-3936 (1992).
- Hunt, Knee Simulation, Creep, and Friction Tests of Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) Hydrogels Manufactured Using Injection Molding and Solution Casting, Thesis for M.S., University of Notre Dame (Jul. 2006).
- Kobayashi et al., "Characterization of a polyvinyl alcohol-hydrogel artificial articular cartilage prepared by injection molding," *J. Biomater. Sci. Polymer Edn.*, 15(6): 741-751 (2003).
- Nakashima et al., "Study on Wear Reduction Mechanisms of Artificial Cartilage by Synergistic Protein Boundary Film Formation," *Japan Soc'y of Mech. Eng'r Int'l J.*, Series C, vol. 48, No. 4, pp. 555-561 (2005).
- Ricciardi et al., "Structure and Properties of Poly(vinyl alcohol) Hydrogels Obtained by Freeze/Thaw Techniques," *Macromol. Symp.*, 222: 49-63 (2005).
- Ratner et al., *Biomaterials Science an Introduction to Materials in Medicine*, Academic Press, pp. 52, 53, & 62 (1996).
- Bray et al., *M. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, 1973, 7, 431-443.
- Kobayashi et al., "Development of an artificial meniscus using polyvinyl alcohol-hydrogel for early return to, and continuance of, athletic life in sportspersons with severe meniscus injury. I: mechanical evaluation," *The Knee*, 10 (2003); 47-51.
- Kwak, BK, et al., "Chitin-based Embolic Materials in the Renal Artery of Rabbits: Pathologic Evaluation of an Absorbable Particulate Agent", *Radiology*, 236:151-158 (2005).


\* cited by examiner



Top View



Front View



Section A-A

Figure 1

Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4





Figure 5






Figure 6

1

## SURFACE DIRECTED CELLULAR ATTACHMENT

### CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present invention claims the priority benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/542,514 filed Feb. 6, 2004, the entire contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to the use of surface modified biocompatible materials to promote the attachment of bone or bone-like cells to an implant surface. The surface of the biomaterials, which may include hydrogels, when modified in accordance with the description herein, directs the cells that migrate to the implant site to differentiate into cells that attach and lay down bone or bone-derivative material, or cartilage or cartilaginous material further enhancing the biocompatibility of the implanted device.

#### 2. Background Art

Materials used in the construction of implantable medical devices must be nontoxic, nonantigenic, and noninflammatory. Hydrogels are a preferred type of polymeric material for implantable devices. Because of their high water content, analogous to living tissue, they are superior in biocompatibility to non-hydrous polymeric materials.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,981,826, issued to Ku et al., describes the preparation of polyvinyl alcohol hydrogels (PVA-H) by physically crosslinking an aqueous solution of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) to produce a gel. The crosslinking is accomplished by subjecting the aqueous PVA solution to multiple cycles of freezing and thawing. One limitation of the prior art is that the hydrogels produced are relatively nonporous and the pore size and degree of porosity, that is the density of the pores within the hydrogel, cannot vary independently of the mechanical properties or stiffness of the hydrogel.

Methods for producing certain porous hydrogels also exist in the art. U.S. Pat. No. 6,268,405 issued to Yao et al., describes methods for creating porous PVA-Hs by including immiscible materials in the polymerization process. After the hydrogel is polymerized, the included immiscible materials are washed out of the hydrogel by an appropriate solvent, yielding pores which are broadly distributed throughout the hydrogel. Controlling the size and density of the pores is accomplished by varying the molecular weight of the immiscible materials. A disadvantage of Yao et al. is that the range of attainable pore sizes is limited. Moreover, the invention of Yao et al. is limited in that it can only produce hydrogels whose pores extend throughout the hydrogel. The pores in Yao et al. are intended to create vascularization of the hydrogel in soft or non-load bearing tissue. A further disadvantage of Yao et al. is that the pore sizes are broadly distributed about the average pore size.

In addition to crosslinking by physical means, hydrogels may be chemically crosslinked using, for example, methods similar to those described by Müller in U.S. Pat. No. 5,789,464. Similarly, chemical crosslinking or polymerization methods may also be used to adhere hydrogels to surfaces, including biological tissues. U.S. Pat. No. 5,900,245, issued to Sawhney et al., describes applications of these techniques. These and other methods for the crosslinking or further polymerization of hydrogels are derived from methods used in the polymer industry and are well known in the art.

2

Artificial discs intended for the replacement of a damaged intravertebral disc have been described. These are typically articulated devices comprising two rigid metal plates adhered to opposite ends of an elastomeric core. In use, the artificial disc is placed in the intervertebral space and the metal plates are secured to the surfaces of adjacent vertebrae. Various embodiments of artificial discs of this type are described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,674,296 and 6,156,067, issued to Bryan et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,824,094, issued to Serhan et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,402,785, issued to Zdeblick et al. More recent embodiments, e.g. U.S. Pat. No. 6,419,704, issued to Ferree and U.S. Pat. No. 6,482,234, issued to Weber et al., include descriptions of elastomeric cores that may be formed from materials with different elasticities to better mimic the native structure of spinal discs.

The disadvantages of the artificial disc devices of the prior art are numerous. These prior art devices require the mechanical attachment of rigid artificial materials, such as titanium, directly to the bone with screws, staples, nails, cement, or other mechanical means. These rigid materials are only minimally compatible with natural, living bone and separation of the implant from the bone is often observed over long-term implantation. In addition, materials used in artificial discs of the prior art have physical and mechanical properties distinctly different from those of natural spinal discs and thus, inadequately duplicate the desired properties of native spinal discs.

Vertebral fusion is still the most commonly performed procedure to treat debilitating pain associated with degenerative spinal disc disease or disc trauma, despite the fact that the procedure has many drawbacks. Vertebral fusion increases stress and strain on the discs adjacent to the fusion site, and it is now widely accepted that fusion is responsible for the accelerated degeneration of adjacent levels. Current multi-component spinal disc prosthesis designs, elastomeric cores with metal plates on both the upper and lower surfaces, are susceptible to problems with interfacial bonding and wear. These designs have shown spontaneous device detachment due to retraction of bone tissue from the metal surface.

Bone ingrowth and attachment in the art has often required the use of bone promoting growth factors. For example, U.S. Pat. No. 5,108,436, issued to Chu et al., describes using a porous implant for use in load bearing bone replacement which is used in combination with an osteogenic factor such as TGF- $\beta$ .

Biomedical devices which are implanted in or around bone often fail because of fibrinogen encapsulation of the implant instead of cellular attachment to the implant itself. This encapsulation is a defensive reaction attempting to minimize contact between the body and the implant and is considered a sign of implant incompatibility.

Moreover, the art of bone ingrowth to implantable surface contains a multitude of examples relating to porous directed ingrowth where bone essentially grows into and around channels of the implant. For example, U.S. Pat. No. 4,911,720, issued to Collier et al., discusses the ingrowth of bone into interconnecting pores which essentially locks bone into place. This method is disadvantageous in that bone does not actually attach to the material, instead bone attaches to other bone around the implant. In the unfortunate event that an implant must be removed, this type of Collier ingrowth results in large amounts of disruption to the surrounding bone tissue.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention describes a biomaterial for implantation into the body. The biomaterial, which can be a hydro-

gel, possesses a textured surface which is comprised of superficial surface pores. As used herein, the term pores shall include cavities, indentations, holes and openings. Stated differently, the pores on the surface of the hydrogel substrate do not extend throughout the hydrogel but instead remain within a region near the surface. The hydrogel substrate can be comprised of two or more pore sizes. Specifically, the pores of the first size each have a diameter of between 3 and 1000 micrometers, preferably between 10 and 300 micrometers, and preferably between 30 and 100 micrometers. Further, the pores of the second size would each have a diameter of between 0.5 to 20 micrometers, preferably between 1 to 10 micrometers, and preferably between 2 and 5 micrometers. One embodiment of the present invention provides the second, smaller pores disposed within the first, larger pores. The superficial pores of the present invention extend into the hydrogel substrate less than 1 millimeter, preferably 500 micrometers, and preferably 200 micrometers, from the surface. The hydrogel substrate of the present embodiment can comprise polyvinyl alcohol having a water content of at least 5% and preferably at least 30%.

The present invention is also drawn to a hydrogel substrate comprising a hydrogel surface having thereon a plurality of first substantially uniform superficial pores and a unique plurality of second substantially uniform superficial pores. This hydrogel can possess two different yet substantially uniform superficial pore sizes grouped into a first, larger pore size and a second, smaller pore size. The pores of one size are substantially uniform in diameter relative to the other pores of the same size. Specifically, the first pores have an average diameter of between 2 and 600 micrometers, preferably between 5 and 200 micrometers, and preferably between 20 and 60 micrometers. Further, the second pores have an average diameter of between 0.1 and 10 micrometers, preferably between 0.2 to 5 micrometers, and preferably between 0.5 to 2 micrometers. The superficial pores of the present invention can be arranged so that the smaller, second pores are within the larger, first pores. The superficial pores of the present invention extend into the hydrogel substrate less than 1 millimeter, preferably no more than 500 micrometers, and preferably no more than 200 micrometers. The hydrogel substrate of the present embodiment can be made up of polyvinyl alcohol having a water content of at least 5% and preferably at least 30% w/w of the overall hydrogel.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a spinal disc replacement device made in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is an example of a superficial surface pore construct exemplary of one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 3 are multiple types of superficial surface pores embodied by the present invention.

FIG. 4 is a graph of cell proliferation seen on the surfaces of FIG. 3.

FIG. 5 is a graph of increased bone or bone-like cell markers resulting from exposure to the surfaces of FIG. 3.

FIG. 6 is an image of a substrate which has been generated in accordance with the present invention. The upper image is a further magnification of the image in the lower portion of FIG. 6.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is drawn to a biomaterial substrate which may comprise a hydrogel surface having thereon a plurality of first substantially uniform superficial pores and

unique plurality of second substantially uniform superficial pores. Specifically, the pores of the first size preferably each have a diameter of between 3 and 1000 micrometers, preferably between 10 and 300 micrometers, and preferably between 30 and 100 micrometers, including without limitation, pores with a cross-section of 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, and 100 micrometers. Further, the pores of the second size preferably each have a diameter of between 0.5 to 20 micrometers, preferably between 1 to 10 micrometers, and preferably between 2 and 5 micrometers, including without limitation, pores with a cross-section of 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20 micrometers. It should be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the use of the term diameter also would encompass the cross-section of the pore when not a perfect circle. In fact, the term "pore" should not be read to be limited to circular or spherical shapes. Squares, polygons, triangles, octagons, quadrilaterals, or any other geometric or amorphous structure would perform the function for the invention if properly positioned and sized. One embodiment of the present invention provides the second, smaller pores within the first, larger pores. The invention provides that third, fourth, fifth, and greater substantially uniform pore sizes can be on the hydrogel surface. By substantially uniform it is meant that the pore sizes of a particular class (e.g., first, second, etc.) do not vary more than 10%, preferably the pore sizes of a particular class vary less than 5%, 4%, 3%, more preferably less than 2%, and preferably less than 1% or 0.5%.

The superficial pores of the present invention would extend into the hydrogel substrate no more than 1 millimeter, preferably 500 micrometers, and preferably 200 micrometers, from the surface. The hydrogel substrate of the present embodiment can comprise polyvinyl alcohol having a water content of at least 5% and preferably at least 30% w/w of the overall hydrogel.

The present invention is also drawn to a hydrogel substrate comprising a hydrogel surface having thereon a plurality of first substantially uniform superficial pores and a unique plurality of second substantially uniform superficial pores. This hydrogel substrate can possess two different yet substantially uniform superficial pore sizes grouped into a first, larger pore size and a second, smaller pore size. The pores of one size are substantially uniform in diameter relative to the other pores of the same size. Specifically, the first pores have an average diameter of between 2 and 600 micrometers, preferably between 5 and 200 micrometers, and preferably between 20 and 60 micrometers. Further, the second pores have an average diameter of between 0.1 and 10 micrometers, preferably between 0.2 to 5 micrometers, and preferably between 0.5 to 2 micrometers.

The superficial pores of the present invention can be arranged so that the smaller, second pores are within the larger, first pores. The superficial pores of the present invention can extend into the hydrogel substrate preferably no more than 1 millimeter, preferably no more than 500 micrometers, and preferably no more than 200 micrometers. The hydrogel substrate of the present embodiment can be made up of polyvinyl alcohol having a water content of at least 5% and preferably at least 30% w/w of the overall hydrogel.

In one embodiment of the invention, the superficial pores of the substrate described herein can be arranged in a regular repeating fashion. Such a pattern or waffle structure can be used in embodiments of varying pore size as well as in embodiments where the smaller superficial pores are within the area of the larger superficial pores.

A method provided by the present invention of making a hydrogel substrate possessing a textured surface required by

5

the present invention comprises using an extremely accurate etching technology to generate a mold, pouring a liquid solution of the hydrogel into the mold, allowing the liquid hydrogel to polymerize and/or crosslink while in the mold, and removing the solid hydrogel substrate from the mold. The extremely accurate etching technology can be (microelectromechanical systems) MEMS technology or its equivalent. Also, the hydrogel substrate made from this method could be a polyvinyl alcohol hydrogel having a water content of at least 5% and preferably at least 30% w/w of the overall hydrogel.

The present invention also includes a method for making a hydrogel substrate by contacting solid objects with a liquid hydrogel, allowing the hydrogel to polymerize and crosslink while the solid objects are at least partially immersed in the hydrogel, and removing those solid objects from the polymerized and crosslinked hydrogel to form superficial pores therein. The solid objects used to impart the superficial pores may be made of polystyrene beads. Also, the solid objects used to impart the superficial pores may be grit, sand, silicon, silica, and ultra-fine particulate matter. The solid objects used to create the superficial pores can have a diameter of between 3 and 1000 micrometers, preferably between 10 and 300 micrometers, and preferably between 30 and 100 micrometers.

The solid objects used to create the superficial pores of this invention can be removed by use of an organic solvent or other washing means. This hydrogel can be comprised of polyvinyl alcohol possessing a water content of at least 5% w/w of the overall hydrogel.

Accordingly, the present invention is directed to an implantable hydrogel substrate product, a method of making that product, and a method of using that product which substantially improves upon the limitations existing in the art. The invention provides methods of selectively promoting cellular residence and/or differentiation over a surface as described herein. To achieve these and other advantages in accordance with the purpose of the invention, as embodied and broadly described herein, the invention includes a load bearing biocompatible hydrogel for medical implantation that promotes bone attachment. The hydrogel consists of a surface component which has been optimized for implantation. This is accomplished through pores on the surface having a controlled range in distribution of size. The surface pores are superficial and do not extend throughout the hydrogel.

Hydrogels are materials whose state is between that of a solid and of a liquid. Gels consist of polymeric, i.e. long chain, molecules linked together to form a three-dimensional network and are embedded in a liquid medium. In the case of hydrogels, the liquid medium comprises water. The polymer backbone of hydrogels is formed by hydrophilic monomer units and may be neutral or ionic. Examples of neutral and hydrophilic monomer units are ethylene oxide, vinyl alcohol, (meth)acrylamide, N-alkylated (meth)acrylamides, N-methylol(meth)acrylamide, N-vinylamides, N-vinylformamide, N-vinylacetamide, N-vinyl-N-methylacetamide, N-vinyl-N-methylformamide, hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylates such as hydroxyethylmethacrylate, vinylpyrrolidone, (meth)acrylic esters of polyethylene glycol monoallyl ethers, allyl ethers, of polyethylene glycols, and sugar units such as glucose or galactose. Examples of cationic hydrophilic monomer units are ethyleneimine (in the protonated form), diallyldimethylammonium chloride and trimethylammonium propylmethacrylamide chloride. Examples of anionic monomer units are (meth)acrylic acid, crotonic acid, maleic acid, fumaric acid, itaconic acid, 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane-sulfonic acid, vinylsulfonic acid, vinylphosphonic acid,

6

2-methacryloyloxyethanesulfonic acid, 4-vinylbenzenesulfonic acid, allylsulfonic acid, vinyltoluenesulfonic acid and vinylbenzenephosphonic acid.

From the example listing above, a hydrogel for use in the present invention may be selected based upon its biocompatibility and stability at various hydration states. For the purposes of the present invention, a suitable hydrogel will have a moisture content of at least 5% w/w of the overall hydrogel, preferably at least 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, or 80% w/w of the overall hydrogel.

Initial events following implantation of a biomaterial in an orthotopic surgical site include rapid adsorption of serum constituents onto the implant surface. The first cells that are likely to come into contact with the surface are polymorphonuclear cells, platelets, monocytes, and macrophages. These cells release bioactive factors that promote mesenchymal cell migration to the wound site. In addition to these natural factors associated with wound healing, surgeons frequently use bone graft and bone graft substitutes to improve bone formation. Such materials include osteoinductive agents such as demineralized bone matrix and bone morphogenetic protein. If appropriate signals are present mesenchymal cells with an osteoprogenitor phenotype will continue to differentiate into osteoblasts; of these a subset will become osteocytes. Ultimately, the newly formed bone will be remodeled via osteoclastic resorption. The invention provides that physical stimulation of cells via a controllably textured surface contributes to desired cellular differentiation, adhesion, and acceptance of the implant. The present invention also provides that well-known grafting agents may be incorporated into the hydrogel composition, which include, but are not limited to growth factors, angiogenic agents, antibiotics, and the like.

Chemically modified or polar surfaces are generally known to be able to produce more reactive protein adsorption to the implant surface than unmodified or non-polar surfaces. The increased reactivity of the proteins adsorbed onto the polar surface is thought to promote cellular adhesion to that surface. Therefore, the invention provides that the hydrogel composition can possess chemically modified or polar surfaces.

In general, many materials are well-tolerated in bone, but the success of long-term or chronic implantation often depends on the intimacy of the interface between the material surface and the bone. Microarchitecture of the surface is an important determinant of cell response. It has been observed that osteoblast phenotypic expression is surface-dependent. As described herein, specific surface characteristics enhance osteoblast differentiation while permitting proliferation, leading to optimal cell response to the implantation. Likewise, cartilage or cartilage-derivative cells show enhanced differentiation based on surface microarchitecture. Since both bone and cartilage cells are derived from mesenchymal stem cells and have as a common ancestor, osteoprogenitor cells, the present invention refers to bone and bone-like cells to encompass that branch of the differentiation pathway. Stated differently, the present invention provides for the differentiation of bone cells (for example osteocytes, osteoblasts, osteoclasts) as well as bone-like cells (for example chondrocytes or related cartilaginous tissue producing cells).

The mechanical properties of the material must be appropriate for the application. When the mechanical properties of the material are similar to the mechanical properties of the tissue adjacent to the implant, tissue tolerance of the artificial material is enhanced. Polymeric and elastomeric biomaterials can be fabricated with a wide range of mechanical properties, making them suitable for many applications as implantable

devices. Because of their high water content, similar to that of living tissue, hydrogels are superior in biocompatibility to non-hydrous polymeric materials. Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) is an example of a polymer that can be used to form hydrogels, and has been studied extensively for its potential in biomedical applications. Polyvinyl alcohol hydrogels (PVA-Hs) are biologically well tolerated and compatible with living cartilage tissue.

PVA-Hs can be produced from solution via repeated freezing and thawing cycles that increase the order of the microcrystalline regions, changing the dissolution properties, mesh size, and diffusion properties of the polymer. Also, PVA-Hs can be produced from solution via a slow and sustained transition through the freezing point of the solution. The mechanical properties of PVA-Hs can be varied over a wide range, and stable PVA gels can easily be produced to have an elastic modulus ranging from a few MPa, such as articular cartilage, to about 50 MPa, such as the stiffest portion of the annulus of spinal discs. Increasing the stiffness of a hydrogel can also be achieved through chemical crosslinking. Examples of chemical crosslinker groups are vinyl groups, allyl groups, cinnamates, acrylates, diacrylates, oligoacrylates, methacrylates, dimethacrylates, oligomethacrylates, or other biologically acceptable groups.

Increasing the porosity of a hydrogel substrate produces decreased mechanical strength. When porous hydrogels are used to provide the requisite surface of the present invention, it is advantageous that the porosity not extend throughout the hydrogel, but be limited to a relatively shallow depth below the surface. The thickness of the porous portion of the hydrogel is preferably less than 1 millimeter, less than 500 micrometers, and most preferable less than or equal to 200 micrometers.

The porosity of the hydrogel surface embodied in this invention may be realized in a variety of ways. Molds may be constructed with patterning on the appropriate surfaces of the cavities in the mold. Alternatively, the porosity can be produced by abrasion of a smooth hydrogel surface after molding. Abrading the surface can result in a surface textured such as desired in this invention. Techniques for applying and using abrasives are well known to those of skill in the art.

Using extremely accurate surface building or etching techniques, one can generate extremely intricate surfaces to use as a mold for a surface envisioned by the present invention. Solid free-form fabrication methods offer several unique opportunities for the construction of medical devices. Solid free-form fabrication methods can be used to selectively control composition within the build plane by varying the composition of printed material. This means that unconventional microstructures, such as those with complicated porous networks or unusual gradients, can be designed at a computer-aided design (CAD) terminal and built through a solid free-form process such as three-dimensional printing or MEMS micro-fabrication techniques.

In one embodiment of this invention the molds for casting the hydrogels are created using MEMS micro-fabrication techniques to produce materials with precise repetitive arrays. The microfabrication process uses commercially available, epoxy-based photoresist and standard photolithography masks and techniques to produce the specified surface architecture. The dimensions of features in the x-y plane of the surface are specified by the photomask. The height of the features is dictated by the thickness of the photoresist layer prior to exposure and development. Multiple photoresist layers may be cast and exposed with different masks to build up very complex structures. An example of one such complex

feature, with a pseudofractal architecture is shown in the "snowflake" pattern, seen in FIG. 2.

Photolithography is the process of transferring geometric shapes on a mask to the surface of a silicon wafer. The steps involved in the photolithographic process are wafer cleaning; barrier layer formation; photoresist application; soft baking; mask alignment; exposure and development; and hard-baking.

There are two types of photoresist: positive and negative. For positive resists, the resist is exposed with UV light wherever the underlying material is to be removed. In these resists, exposure to the UV light changes the chemical structure of the resist so that it becomes more soluble in the developer. The exposed resist is then washed away by the developer solution, leaving windows of the bare underlying material. The mask, therefore, contains an exact copy of the pattern which is to remain on the wafer.

Negative resists behave in just the opposite manner. Exposure to the UV light causes the negative resist to become polymerized, and more difficult to dissolve. Therefore, the negative resist remains on the surface wherever it is exposed, and the developer solution removes only the unexposed portions. Masks used for negative photoresists, therefore, contain the inverse (or photographic "negative") of the pattern to be transferred.

MEMS fabrication of hydrogel mold surfaces for use in this invention may, for example, involve standard photolithography techniques and epoxy-based photoresists (SU-8 2000 series, MicroChem, Newton, Mass.) in a Class 10 clean-room facility. Photolithography masks can be designed, for example, using a CAD program, or its equivalent, and supplied to order (DuPont Photomasks, Inc., Round Rock, Tex.).

One embodiment of this invention is an artificial intervertebral disc, comprising one or more hydrogels shaped substantially similarly to a natural intervertebral disc. The upper and lower surfaces of the hydrogel, or assembly of hydrogels, are constructed to have a textured surface with a defined level of porosity. That porosity depends primarily upon the size and number of the surface features of the mold used to create the surface texture.

Another embodiment of this invention is a substrate used to repair tissue that has been damaged either chronically or acutely. This substrate can be implanted at a damaged area such as knee cartilage, shoulder bursa repair, or other damaged area one skilled in the art would foresee.

FIG. 1 shows a spinal disc replacement envisioned by the present invention. The spinal disc has an upper portion **1** and a lower portion **2**. It is the surfaces of the upper portion **1** and lower portion **2** which possess the textured surface envisioned by the present invention. The upper portion **1** and lower portion **2** will be less elastic and more rigid than the inner region **4** which seeks to mimic the nucleus pulposus. Likewise, the spinal disc may have an intermediate region of elasticity **3** which further aids in the function of the spinal disc. The intermediate region of elasticity **3** may or may not differ from the elasticity of either the inner region **4** or the upper portion **1** or lower portion **2**.

The size of the pores comprising the textured surface of the hydrogel can aid in promoting adhesion of one cell type over the other. For example, bone cells can show better attachment and results on textured surfaces where the pores are larger than the pores on a textured surface where cartilage cells attach. The ability to promote bone cells to attach to a given surface as compared to cartilage cells can be considered in the design of an implant. For example, a biomedical implanted device which needs a more rigid attachment to the native bone might require the attachment of bone cells as opposed to

cartilage cells, requiring using a surface with larger pores. Likewise, a different implant may need to induce cartilage development on the surface of the implant and would instead use the textured surface composed of overall smaller pores to enable that selection. Other factors such as the age, sex, and pre-existing medical condition of the patient would be considered depending upon the circumstances.

Conversely, the present invention provides for a hydrogel substrate that can be implanted which possesses multiple regions on that substrate capable of promoting the differentiation and attachment of both bone and bone-like cells such as, for example, osteocytes and chondrocytes. Such a surface would, after the migration of mesenchymal stem cells, promote the differentiation of the mesenchymal stem cell into the osteoprogenitor cell and ultimately into bone and cartilage cells on each type's respective region. Stated differently, the present invention provides for a single hydrogel substrate that has both bone cell promoting regions and cartilage, or bone-like cell, promoting regions.

Osteoblasts assume distinct morphologies depending on the architectural features of their substrate. On microrough surfaces, as long as the peak-to-peak distance is less than the length of the cell body, the cell bodies become more cuboidal, and anchor themselves to the surface through long dendritic filopodia. In contrast, on smoother surfaces osteoblasts flatten and spread, resulting in a fibroblastic appearance. The cell morphology correlates with the physiological behavior of the cells. On smooth surfaces, prostaglandin synthesis is low, TGF- $\beta$ 1 levels are low, alkaline phosphatase specific activity is low, and osteocalcin levels are low, whereas proliferation rates are relatively high in comparison with cells cultured on rougher surfaces. That is, a greater number of cells may be present on smooth surfaces, but the cells on textured surfaces show greater tendency to proliferate into bone or bone-like cells.

Responsiveness to the surface also depends upon the state of maturation of the cell in the osteoblast lineage. Examinations of numerous cell lines and primary cell cultures from the multipotent fetal rat calvarial cells to the osteocyte cell line MLO-Y4 have occurred. These experiments indicate that as cells become more mature, the stimulatory effect of the microrough surface on differentiation becomes attenuated. It is, however, only on textured surfaces and only in the presence of bone morphogenic protein-2 (BMP-2), that fetal rat calvarial cells are able to establish three dimensional nodules that form mineral in a physiological relevant manner. The results support in vivo observations that a mineral can affect cells directly on the surface as well as distal to the biomaterial indicating that the extracellular signaling factors released by the cells in direct contact with material are sensed by other cells in the microenvironment, and potentially systematically as well.

The surface texture is created by the distribution of pores which do not continue throughout the hydrogel, or stated differently, are superficially located on the hydrogel substrate. These pores can be broken into at least two size groups: large pores and small pores. The large pores can range in size from 3 to 1000 micrometers in diameter. Preferably, the large pores can range in size from 10 to 300 micrometers in diameter. And preferably, the large pores can range in size from 30 to 100 micrometers in diameter. The small pores are smaller in diameter. For example, the small pores can range in size from 0.5 to 20 micrometers in diameter. Preferably, the small pores can range in size from 1 to 10 micrometers. And preferably, the small pores can range in size from 2 to 5 microme-

ters. The present invention also provides for third, fourth, fifth, and greater numbers of pore sizes on the hydrogel substrate.

FIG. 2 depicts a superficial pore 20 as envisioned by the present invention. The superficial pore contains a large pore 10 and a small pore 15. The small pores 15 are located within the large pore 10. The small pores 15, in this embodiment, are equally spaced from one another by one diameter and are positioned in a hexagonal layout.

The pores on the textured surface in this embodiment enable the surface to resemble native bone which has undergone osteoclastic resorption. Increasing the porosity of a PVA-H generally reduces the mechanical strength of the implant. When surface textured hydrogels are used to provide the requisite surface texture, it is advantageous for the pores not to extend throughout the hydrogel, but instead be limited to a relatively shallow depth below the textured surface. The thickness of the porous portion of the hydrogel is less than 1 millimeter, preferably less than 500 micrometers, and preferably less than or equal to about 200 micrometers.

In order to measure differentiation of cells into bone or bone-like cells four markers are known in the art. The presence of alkaline-phosphatase, TGF- $\beta$ 1, PGE<sub>2</sub>, and osteocalcin function as reliable indicators of cellular differentiation into bone or bone-like cells. Specifically, it has been shown that MG63 osteoblasts, NHOst cells, and fetal rat calvarial cells will attach to surfaces and then differentiate into secretory osteoblasts that exhibit increased levels of alkaline phosphatase activity and osteocalcin. As surface microroughness increases, levels of PGE<sub>2</sub> in the conditioned medium also increase. PGE<sub>2</sub> stimulates osteoclastic activity at high levels, but is required to be present at low levels for osteoblastic activity to occur. It has been previously shown that the elevated prostaglandin levels that are seen in cultures grown on rough microtopographies appear to be required for enhanced osteogenesis since inhibition of prostaglandin production by indomethacin blocks the increase in osteoblast phenotypic expression on these substrates.

TGF- $\beta$ 1 levels are also surface dependent. The amount of TGF- $\beta$ 1 produced by osteoblasts cultured on surfaces is modulated in a surface dependent manner by factors that regulate osteogenesis and subsequent bone resorption. Regulation of TGF- $\beta$ 1 is important to bone formation for a number of reasons. This growth factor stimulates proliferation of mesenchymal cells and enhances the production of extracellular matrix, particularly of type 1 collagen.

Osteocalcin is the most abundant non-collagenous protein in bone, comprising almost 2% of total protein in the human body. It is important in bone metabolism and is used as a clinical marker for bone turnover, but its precise function remains elusive. With no known enzyme activity, osteocalcin's function depends on its structure. That structure reveals a negatively charged protein surface that places five calcium ions in positions complementary to those in hydroxyapatite, the structural mineral component of bone. In addition to binding to hydroxyapatite, osteocalcin functions in cell signaling and the recruitment of osteoclasts and osteoblasts, which have active roles in bone resorption and deposition, respectively.

The hydrogels of the present invention may contain bioactive factors to further stimulate cell growth or differentiation. These factors, for instance attachment peptides, such as RGD containing peptides, and growth factors such as bone morphogenic proteins, insulin-like growth factor, platelet derived growth factor, fibroblast growth factor, cartilage-derived growth factor, transforming growth factor-beta, and parathyroid hormone related peptide, as well as other regulatory

## 11

chemicals such as statins, prostaglandins, and mineral ions are well known in the art. These factors may be included in the hydrogels of this invention singly or in combination, and they may be included with or without their respective binding proteins.

The hydrogels of the present invention may also contain bone or cartilage forming cells (osteoblasts or chondrocytes) or precursor cells to bone and cartilage forming cells such as mesenchymal stem cells or osteoprogenitor cells. These precursor cells have the capacity to differentiate into bone and/or cartilage forming cells. Cells may be included in the hydrogels of the present invention alone or in combination with bioactive factors to further stimulate cell growth or differentiation.

Natural intervertebral discs have a tough outer fibrocartilaginous ring called the annulus fibrosus and a soft, inner, highly elastic structure called the nucleus pulposus. The artificial discs of the present invention may contain an inner core constructed to mimic the physical and mechanical properties of the natural nucleus pulposus, surrounded by an annular region constructed to mimic the physical and mechanical properties of the natural annulus fibrosus.

In one embodiment, these regions comprise hydrogels whose water content, degree of polymerization, and degree of crosslinking are routinely adjusted to produce the requisite physical and mechanical properties. The hydrogel comprising the inner core has a higher water content and/or a lower degree of polymerization and/or a lower degree of crosslinking to produce a relatively soft and elastic hydrogel. The hydrogel comprising the outer annular region has a lower water content and/or a higher degree of polymerization and/or crosslinking to produce a relatively hard outer hydrogel which mechanically is tough and stiff. The hydrogels comprising the upper and lower surfaces may substantially resemble the hydrogel comprising the annular region in terms of physical and mechanical properties, water content, and degrees of crosslinking and polymerization. The additional requirement, however, for the surfaces to be textured may allow or require a different combination of physical and mechanical properties in these hydrogels compared to the hydrogel comprising the outer annular region.

In yet another embodiment of the present invention, the hydrogel substrate can be a load bearing patch which can be used in the repair of partially or predominately damaged tissue. For example, the hydrogel substrate bearing the textured surface of the present invention can be relatively thin and small in diameter. That hydrogel substrate can then be placed where deteriorated, either acutely or chronically, cartilage was removed.

In yet another embodiment of the present invention, the hydrogel substrate can be assembled outside the body in a malleable form. The malleable form of the hydrogel substrate can then be placed in the intended area, be it a spinal disc replacement, knee cartilage replacement, shoulder bursa repair, or other use one skilled in the art would foresee. Once in the proper position, the malleable hydrogel substrate could be hardened or polymerized via photopolymerization. Radiation curing or photopolymerization (photo-induced free radical polymerization) has become an important and useful technique for applying and curing coatings, inks and adhesives. Radiation-curable compositions typically comprise as essential components one or more radiation-curable monomers and a photoinitiator. The compositions are applied as a coating to various articles and surfaces and the monomers are polymerized to form a film by exposing the coating of the radiation-curable composition to radiation, typically ultraviolet (UV) or electron-beam radiation. Examples of chemical

## 12

crosslinker groups are vinyl groups, allyl groups, cinnamates, acrylates, diacrylates, oligoacrylates, methacrylates, dimethacrylates, oligomethacrylates, or other biologically acceptable photopolymerizable groups.

In yet another embodiment of the present invention, the biocompatible material used in implantation is selected from the group of polymers, ceramics, metallics, organo-metallics, or other known biocompatible materials. To be used as described herein, the materials need to be castable, formed by the use of molds, in order to have rendered upon the surfaces of the materials the necessary forms embodied in this invention. Castable ceramics would be a preferred selection as the materials are often formed in manners which resembled native bone or bone structures. Likewise, biocompatible metallic components could be fashioned using the various embodiments of this invention such to direct cellular attachment and proliferation at the surface of the implant.

## EXAMPLES

## Example 1

A simple mold surface pattern in accordance with this invention, for example, is an array of cylinders which are 5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter and 5  $\mu\text{m}$  in height. To construct a mold surface with this pattern, a 4-inch diameter silicon wafer is coated with a 5  $\mu\text{m}$  thick layer of SU-8 2005 by spin coating at 3000 rpm for about 30 seconds. The wafer is then placed on a hotplate at 65° C. for about 1 minute and then at 95° C. for about 2 minutes. The wafer is then exposed to UV light through a photomask defining the array of cylinders using, for example, a mask aligner (Karl Suss MA-6). The exposure time is calculated to give an exposure energy of 75  $\text{mJ}/\text{cm}^2$  at a wavelength of 365 nm. The exposed areas of the photoresist are then crosslinked by heating the wafer on a hotplate at 65° C. for about 1 minute and then at 95° C. for about 1 minute. The unexposed areas of the photoresist are then dissolved away by immersing the wafer in solvent (SU-8 Developer, MicroChem, Newton, Mass.) for about 1 minute with continuous gentle agitation. The completed wafer is then rinsed, for example, with isopropyl alcohol and dried in a stream of nitrogen. Profilometry measurements and evaluation by scanning electron microscopy can be used to verify that the desired surface pattern is produced.

## Example 2

A more complicated pattern for a hydrogel mold surface, in accordance with the present invention when generated could for example, consist of an array of cylinders 100  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter and 100  $\mu\text{m}$  in height. Each cylinder is topped with a smaller array of cylinders, 5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter, and 5  $\mu\text{m}$  in height. The construction of such a mold requires two layers of photoresist and two separate exposures of those layers. First, a 4-inch diameter silicon wafer is coated with a 100  $\mu\text{m}$  thick layer of SU-8 2050 by spin coating at 1700 rpm for about 30 seconds. The wafer is then placed on a hotplate at 65° C. for about 4 minutes and then at 95° C. for about 1 minute. The wafer is then exposed to UV light through the photomask defining the array of large cylinders, using, for example, a mask aligner (Karl Suss MA-6). The exposure time is calculated to give an exposure energy of 450  $\text{mJ}/\text{cm}^2$  at a wavelength of 365 nm.

The exposed areas of the photoresist are then cross-linked by heating the wafer on a hotplate at 65° C. for about 1 minute and then at 95° C. for about 9 minutes. Without developing the first layer, the wafer was coated with a 5  $\mu\text{m}$  thick layer of

## 13

SU-8 2005 by spin coating at 3000 rpm for about 30 seconds. The wafer is then placed on a hotplate at 65° C. for about 1 minute and then at 95° C. for about 2 minutes. The wafer is then exposed to UV light through the photomask defining the array of small cylinders using, for example, a mask aligner (Karl Suss, MA-6). The exposure time is calculated to give an exposure energy of 75 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup> at a wavelength of 365 nm. The exposed areas of the photoresist are then crosslinked by heating the wafer on a hotplate at 65° C. for about 1 minute and then at 95° C. for about 1 minute. Finally, the unexposed areas of both photoresist layers are then dissolved away by immersing the wafer in solvent (SU-8 Developer, Micro-Chem, Newton, Mass.) for about 9 minutes with continuous gentle agitation. The completed wafer is then rinsed with, for example, isopropyl alcohol and dried in a stream of nitrogen. Profilometry measurements and evaluation by scanning electron microscopy can be used to verify that the desired surface pattern has been produced.

## Example 3

Under the methods of this invention, enhanced differentiation of cells into bone or bone-like cells is seen. Specifically, experiments were run using the PVA-H of this invention in multiple forms. This description references FIGS. 3-5 for clarity. As shown in FIG. 3 there were seven conformations of the surface topography taught by this invention used in this experiment—one being smooth hydrogel. Specifically, conformation is described using a two number nomenclature system such as PVA-H 10/2. PVA-H 10/2 refers first to the size of the large pore on the surface. As described above, the large pore can exist in a complex structure resembling a snowflake. The number 10 in the first position represents a large pore of 100 μm in diameter. The number in the second position of the nomenclature system refers to the size and arrangement of the small pores superimposed on the large pore surface. The second position numbers of 2, 5, and 10 refer to a diameter of 2 μm, 5 μm, and 10 μm, respectively. The spacing and orientation of the small pores on the large pore surface follows a hexagonal grid with a spacing between the small pores of twice the diameter of the small pores.

Moving clockwise through FIG. 3, surfaces are shown possessing a 100 μm large pore with a 2 μm small pore (10/2) **50**, a 100 μm large pore with a 5 μm small pore (10/5) **55**, a 100 μm large pore with a 10 μm small pore (10/10) **60**, no large pore with 10 μm small pores (0/10) **65**, no large pore with 5 μm small pores (0/5) **70**, and no large pore with 2 μm small pores (0/2) **75**. Not shown is smooth PVA-H which would receive the 0/0 designation in the above described nomenclature.

FIGS. 4 and 5 when taken together indicate that while tissue culture plastic provides for the greatest amount of cellular proliferation, textured PVA-Hs promote increased differentiation into bone or bone-like cells. MG63 cells were cultured in conditioned media on the surfaces. Specifically, the cells cultured on the 10/10 conformation **60** showed the greatest level of secreted osteocalcin. The next highest amount of osteocalcin secretion was seen in the 10/5 conformation **55**. This indicates the enhanced ability to generate differentiation into bone or bone-like cells by the mimicking of native osteoclastic resorption sites on PVA-H by the use of the present invention.

FIG. 6 is an image of the surface of a substrate manufactured in accordance with the present invention. The image shows the surface of a hydrogel that was cast in a mold similar to those depicted in FIG. 3. It should be noted that the substrate could have been generated with this pattern out of any

## 14

of the materials described herein. FIG. 6 shows an embodiment of a hydrogel implant having a plurality of smaller pores (or cavities) that extend through a bottom surface of a larger pore (or cavity).

## Example 4

Solid polystyrene objects having complex shapes may be fabricated from uniform polystyrene beads by chemically attaching beads of different sizes. This is illustrated by the following example.

To a suspension of carboxyl-modified polystyrene beads (20.3 μm ± 0.43 μm diameter, Bangs Laboratories) in 20 mM MES, pH 4.5 is added a 10-fold excess of water-soluble carbodiimide, 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride. After 15 minutes at room temperature, the beads are washed twice by centrifugation and suspension in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 and then resuspended in the same buffer. This suspension is added to a stirred suspension of a sufficient amount of amino-modified polystyrene beads (3.10 μm ± 0.06 μm diameter, Bangs Laboratories) to give a 25-fold molar excess of amino groups over carboxyl groups, in the same buffer. After 3 hours at room temperature, the unreacted excess smaller beads are removed. Microscopic examination shows substantially monodisperse particles composed of 20-μm beads having the majority of their surface covered with a single layer of 3-μm beads.

The polystyrene objects of the foregoing example may be used as a template to fabricate a mold for providing the desired porous surface of the hydrogels of the present invention. This may be accomplished by making a metallic replica of a surface comprising a plurality of polystyrene objects using sputtering and/or metal plating techniques and the like, all of which are well known to those skilled in the art. The metallic replica thus produced may be replicated again and reinforced with further metal or other components, again using methods well known to those skilled in the art. The result is a mold suitable for producing the complex surface texture of the hydrogels of the present invention.

Although the invention has been described with reference to a particular preferred embodiment with its constituent parts, features and the like, these are not intended to exhaust all possible arrangements, mechanical and electrical equivalents, or features, and indeed many other modifications and variations will be ascertainable to those of skill in the art.

What is claimed is:

1. A hydrogel having an outer surface and a depth, the hydrogel comprising:
  - a plurality of first substantially uniform cavities along the outer surface of the hydrogel, each of said first cavities extending to a bottom surface of said first cavity; and
  - a plurality of second substantially uniform cavities located below at least one of the first cavities, each of said second cavities extending from the bottom surface of the first cavity;
 wherein said first cavities are only located on the outer surface of the hydrogel and do not extend throughout the depth of said hydrogel;
  - wherein said second cavities do not extend throughout the depth of said hydrogel; and
  - wherein the hydrogel comprises polyvinyl alcohol.
2. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein the hydrogel is a load bearing device that is configured for implantation in a knee, shoulder or spine.
3. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein a cross section of each of the first cavities is larger than a cross section of each of the second cavities;

## 15

wherein the first cavities promote differentiation of a first cell type; and

wherein the second cavities promote differentiation of a second cell type.

4. The hydrogel of claim 1,

wherein the first cavities are larger than the second cavities; wherein the first cavities promote attraction of bone cells; and

wherein the second cavities promote anchoring and growth of said bone cells.

5. The hydrogel of claim 1,

wherein the hydrogel comprises bone and cartilage forming cells or precursor cells to bone and cartilage forming cells.

6. The hydrogel of claim 1,

wherein the hydrogel comprises one or more of the following cells types: osteoblasts, chondrocytes, mesenchymal stem cells and osteoprogenitor cells.

7. The hydrogel of claim 1,

wherein said hydrogel comprises bioactive factors to stimulate growth or differentiation of cells.

8. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein both the first and the second cavities are arranged in a regular repeating fashion.

9. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein the first cavities and the second cavities are within 1 millimeter of the outer surface of the hydrogel.

10. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein the first cavities and the second cavities are within 200 micrometers of the surface of the hydrogel.

11. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein said hydrogel has a moisture content of at least 5% w/w of the overall hydrogel.

12. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein said hydrogel has a moisture content of at least 30% w/w of the overall hydrogel.

13. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein the first cavities have an average cross-section of between 10 to 300 micrometers.

## 16

14. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein the first cavities have an average cross-section of between 30 and 100 micrometers.

15. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein the second cavities have an average cross-section of between 1 to 10 micrometers.

16. The hydrogel of claim 1, wherein the second cavities have an average cross-section of between 2 to 5 micrometers.

17. A hydrogel having an outer surface and a depth, the hydrogel comprising:

a plurality of first substantially uniform cavities along the outer surface of the hydrogel, each of said first cavities extending to a bottom surface of said first cavity; and

a plurality of second substantially uniform cavities located below at least one of the first cavities, each of said second cavities extending from the bottom surface of the first cavity;

wherein said first cavities are only located along the outer surface of the hydrogel and do not extend throughout the depth of said hydrogel;

wherein said second cavities do not extend throughout the depth of said hydrogel; and

wherein the hydrogel is a load bearing device that is configured for implantation in a joint.

18. The hydrogel of claim 17,

wherein the first cavities are larger than the second cavities; wherein the first cavities promote attraction of bone cells; and

wherein the second cavities promote anchoring and growth of said bone cells.

19. The hydrogel of claim 17, wherein the first cavities and the second cavities are within 1 millimeter of the outer surface of the hydrogel.

20. The hydrogel of claim 17, wherein the first cavities and the second cavities are within 200 micrometers of the surface of the hydrogel.

\* \* \* \* \*