

CONTINUOUS EVALUATION OF CORRUGATING MEDIUM

Project 1108-17

Progress Report 79

to

FOURDRINIER KRAFT BOARD INSTITUTE, INC.

December 1, 1960

SCRAMBLED CODE LETTERS FOR PROGRESS REPORT 79 PROJECT 1108-17

	Macaino	COdo
Company - Mill	No.	Lottor
The Chesopoake Corporation - Host Point	· 1	₩ C
Continental Can Company, Inc Hopowell	· 1	Ŋ
- Hodge	1	Ĩ
-		
Crown Zollorbach Corporation - Baltimoro	1	H
- Baltinoro	2	L
- Bogolusa	4	D
- Lobanon	2	R
Indoweddau 1 Donem Commonse Doodenaa	1	В
International Paper Company - Bastrop		-
- Bastrop	2	
- Coorgetown		S
- Coorgetown	2	8
The Mead Corporation - Harriman	1	F
- Knozvillo	1	М
- Lynchburg	2	P
- Sylva	1	3
St. Regis Container Corporation Mill Division - Coshecton	1	80
North Carolina Pulp Company - Plymouth	3	E
Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation - Monrog	1	
- Monrog	2	83 69
	A	•
Ovens-Illinois Glass Company - Tomahavk	1	C
- Tonahawk	2	G
- Tonahawk	3	0
- Big Island		83.43
- Big Island	2	~~
- Big Island	3	K
St. Joe Paper Company - Port St. Joe	1	А
Union Bag-Camp Paper Corporation - Savannah	2	Q
West Virginia Pulp and Paper Company - Coving	ton 6	T
- Coving		***
- Charles		-
	· · · · ·	

THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY

Appleton, Wisconsin

CONTINUOUS EVALUATION OF CORRUGATING MEDIUM

Project 1108-17

Progress Report 79

to

đ

ΥŤ.

FOURDRINIER KRAFT BOARD INSTITUTE, INC.

December 1, 1960

TABLE OF CONTENTS--CONTINUED

PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF TEST RESULTS OBTAINED AT THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY--Continued

Summary of Test Results for November, 1960--Continued

Machine	P	22
Machine	• Q	23
Machine	R	23
Machine	S	24
Machine	T	24

DISCUSSION OF CONCORA FLAT CRUSH TEST RESULTS OBTAINED AT THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY AND THOSE OBTAINED AT THE MILLS 25

Comparison of Institute and Mill Concora Results on Individual Rolls for November, 1960 26

A Comparative Summary for Each Machine of the Concora Flat Crush Averages Based on Institute Data and Those Based on Mill Data

A Tabulation for Each Machine of the Average Difference (per cent) Between the Concora Flat Crush Average Based on Institute Data and That Based on Mill Data (Corresponding Data for the Two Previous Periods are Also Shown) 28

Page

28

THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY

Appleton, Wisconsin

SUMMARY

The purpose of this study is to provide a continuous evaluation of the quality and runability of the corrugating mediums manufactured by members of the Fourdrinier Kraft Board Institute. The program is implemented in the following way: Two rolls of corrugating medium are submitted on a weekly basis from the production of each machine. Each roll is evaluated for basis weight, caliper, Concora flat crush (conditioned after fluting), and runability, the latter being measured by corrugating each roll under standardized conditions into A-flute board at 600 feet per minute with minimum tension。 If runability is unsatisfactory at this speed, the speed of the corrugator is reduced by increments of 25 f.p.m. until satisfactory runability is obtained as indicated by the absence of ruptured flutes. If the runability is satisfactory at 600 f.p.m. with minimum tension, the tension is increased by increments of 1/2 lb. per in. to determine the maximum tension at which satisfactory runability is obtained. The maximum tension used is 1-1/2 lb. per in. Flat crush tests are made on the singlefaced board obtained at the maximum speed with minimum tension.

In addition to the evaluation carried out at the Institute as described above, each participant may, if he so desires, evaluate each roll of corrugating medium for Concora flat crush (conditioned after fluting) and submit the results to The Institute of Paper Chemistry, thus providing an opportunity to include a comparison of Institute and mill Concora flat crush results in the monthly progress reports.

The study, as described in the preceding paragraphs, provides several important benefits. For example, it enables each participant to evaluate his quality position in relation to the rest of the industry on a continuing basis. In addition, it provides a basis for comparing Concora flat crush results obtained at the Institute with those obtained at the mills on corresponding rolls of medium. This type of comparison is a helpful adjunct to conventional calibration procedures. Another benefit is provided by virtue of the fact that the study is accumulating an evergrowing reserve of background information essentual for the judicious interpretation of any proposed specifications on corrugating medium whether on a company or industry basis.

During the month of November, 92 rolls of corrugating medium were submitted to The Institute of Paper Chemistry from the production of twenty machines.

Shown below are the maximum and minimum current machine averages noted for each test during November (the current machine average is the average of the results obtained on all rolls submitted from a given machine during the current period); also given for each test is the current F.K.I. average which is determined by averaging the current machine averages and is indicative of the test level being maintained by the industry as a whole to the extent that the industry is represented by the participating machines:

Ĵ,

Page 3 Progress Report 79

·	Maximum Current Machine Average	Minimum Current Machine Average	Current F.K.I. Average
Basis weight, 1b.	2 9•3	25.7	27.3
Caliper, pt.	11.6	9.0	10.3
Concora flat crush, p.s.i. (Conditioned after fluting)	39.5	32. 6	35.9
Single-face flat crush, p.s.i.	38.3	30.1	33.6

The runability data for the 92 rolls of medium evaluated during November are summarized as follows:

Runability	Number of Rolls	Percentage of Total Rolls
Less than 600 f.p.m. with minimum tension	2	2.2
600 f.p.m. with minimum tension	8	8.7
600 f.p.m. with tension of $1/2$ lb. per in.	20	21.7
600 f.p.m. with tension of 1 lb. per in.	20	21.7
600 f.p.m. with tension of $1-1/2$ lb. per in.	42	45.7

Concora flat crush results obtained on specimens conditioned after fluting were submitted for fifteen of the twenty machines from which rolls were received during the current month. The comparisons of Concora flat crush test results based on the average result obtained at the Institute and at the mill for all rolls compared for each machine are summarized below. Shown in this summary is the number of machines (and the percentage of the total machines which they represent) whose Concora test averages fall within the indicated percentage ranges from the results obtained at the Institute on the same rolls.

9

Page 4 Progress Report 79

Average Percentage Difference Between Institute and Mill Concora Flat Crush Test Results ^a	Number of Machines	Percentage of All Machines
<u>+</u> 1.0	1	6.7
<u>+</u> 2.5	6	40.0
<u>+</u> 5.0	9	60.0
<u>+</u> 10.0	14	93.3
<u>+</u> 13•4	15	100.0

^aThe average obtained at the Institute was used as the reference in the calculation of the percentage differences.

CONTINUOUS EVALUATION OF CORRUGATING MEDIUM

PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY

The purpose of this study is to provide a continuous evaluation of the quality and runability of corrugating medium produced by members of the Fourdrinier Kraft Board Institute. The study, as it progresses, is accumulating a backlog of data and experience which provides several important benefits. For example, it enables each participant to evaluate his position in relation to the rest of the industry. In addition, it provides background information essential for the judicious interpretation of any proposed specifications on corrugating medium (on either a company or industry basis). The program also provides a basis for comparing Concora results obtained at the Institute with those obtained at the mills on corresponding rolls of medium. This comparison is a helpful adjunct to conventional calibration procedures.

PROCEDURE FOR PARTICIPATING

The procedure for participating in this study involves the submission of two rolls of corrugating medium per week from each machine to The Institute of Paper Chemistry. These rolls are taken from regular production runs on <u>different</u> days. Each roll is 10 to 12 inches wide and contains approximately 5,000 lineal feet of medium (approximately 30 inches in diameter). When received by the Institute, each roll is assigned a code letter and number. The rolls are numbered in the sequence in which they are received. Code letters are assigned on the basis of machines, and a given machine is assigned a

different code letter each month in order to mask the identity of the mills. For purposes of reference, an outline of this program which describes the necessary instructions for sampling was appended to Progress Report One in this series.

PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF TEST RESULTS OBTAINED AT

THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY

During the month of November, ninety-two rolls of corrugating medium were selected from the production of twenty machines and submitted to The Institute of Paper Chemistry for evaluation. A tabulation of the number of rolls submitted from each machine is given in Table I.

Each sample of corrugating medium was evaluated for basis weight, caliper, Concora flat crush (conditioned after fluting), H. and D. flat crush (single-faced board), and runability. (Concora flat crush results obtained on specimens tested immediately after fluting were included in Progress Reports 45 through 57). Runability was measured by corrugating each roll under standardized conditions on the Institute's corrugator into A-flute board at 600 feet per minute with minimum tension. If unsatisfactory runability occurred at this speed, the corrugator was slowed down in increments of 25 f.p.m. until satisfactory runability was obtained (no ruptured flutes). If the medium fabricated satisfactorily at 600 f.p.m. with minimum tension, further runs were made at higher tensions to determine when cracking occurred. The higher tensions used were 0.5 lb. per inch, 1.0 lb. per inch, and 1.5 lb. per inch.

Page 7 Progress Report 79

TABLE I

NUMBER OF ROLLS OF CORRUGATING MEDIUM SUBMITTED

FOR EVALUATION FROM EACH MACHINE

Machine Code

ĴЪ,

Number of Rolls

A B C D	4 7 5 6
E F G H	6 2 5 3
I J K L	4 2 3 4
M N O P	, 2 5 4
Q R S T	6 6 3 11
Total	92

Page 8 Progress Report 79

Flat crush was determined on the board obtained at a speed of 600 f.p.m. with minimum tension. In addition to information about quality, these results will provide data which may be useful in studying the relationship between Concora flat crush and combined board flat crush for each participant's medium.

As requested by members of the F.K.B.I., the Concora medium test results are calculated on the basis of pounds of load per unit area rather than on the basis of the formula suggested by the Concora manufacturer and are reported as Concora flat crush test results. In Progress Reports One and Two, the Concora medium test results were reported on the basis of the formula suggested by the Concora manufacturer.

The average test results obtained on the rolls of corrugating medium submitted by each participant (current machine averages) are shown in Table II and graphically presented in Figures 1 to 4. In addition to a comparison of the test data obtained for the various machines, Table II also presents the current F.K.I. averages, cumulative F.K.I. averages, and the F.K.I. indexes. The current F.K.I. average is the average of test results for all machines participating in the study during the current month. The cumulative F.K.I. average is based on the results for the previous twelve-month period excluding the result for the current period. The F.K.I. index is obtained as follows:

> <u>current F.K.I. average</u> x 100 = F.K.I. index (%) cumulative F.K.I. average

The F.K.I. index provides a ready means of comparing the current quality with previous results. An index greater than 100% indicates that current quality is higher than the average result for the previous twelve periods; an index

TABLE	II
-------	----

ŧ

1

1

è

.....

•


SUMMARY OF CURRENT MACHINE AVERAGES November, 1960										
Code	Basis Weight,	Caliper,	Concora Flat Crush,	Single-Face						
	lb.	points	p.s.i.	Flat Crush, p.s.i.						
A	28.0	9.0	36.5	31.8						
B	26.6	10.4	37.7	35.3						
C	27.1	9.8	35.1	33.3						
D	27.3	10.4	35.5	33.2						
E	26.8	10.4	38.3	35°9						
F	27.8	11.6	32.9	30°1						
G	26.8	10.1	36.5	34°2						
H	29.3	10.0	34.9	31°6						
I	25.7	9.6	39•5	35•5						
J	26.9	10.3	33•1	31•2						
K	26.3	10.4	33•2	31•2						
L	27.7	10.0	35•9	34•7						
M	27.0	11.0	37°1	36.0						
N	28.2	11.0	39°4	38.3						
O	27.5	10.4	35°7	33.7						
P	27.5	9.9	34°4	31.9						
Q	27.4	9.6	36.1	33.6						
R	27.8	10.1	35.1	32.9						
S	27.6	10.2	37.9	36.5						
T	26.4	10.7	32.6	30.1						
Current F.K.I. Average	27.3	10.3	35•9	33.6						
Cumulative F.K.I. Average	27.4	10.3	36•3	33.0						
F.K.I. Index, %	99.6	100.0	98•7	101.8						

.


Fourdrinier Kraft Board Institute, Inc. Project 1108-17

4

Page 9 Progress Report 79



40



Page 11

Progress Report 79

machine average **Cumulative** machine average

below 100% indicates that current quality is lower than the average result for the previous twelve periods.

In Table II the current machine averages for the month of November are summarized. It may be noted in Table II and Figure 1 that basis weight varied from a low of 25.7 lb. for Machine I to a high of 29.3 lb. for Machine H. The current FoKoIo average for basis weight was 27.3 lb., which was slightly lower than the cumulative FoKoIo average of 27.4 lb. Of the current machine averages shown in Table II, only the average for Machine I was below the 26-lb. minimum requirement of Rule 41. On the basis of individual rolls, it may be noted that the tabulated data for each machine shown in Tables III through XXII included four basis weight averages which were below 26 lb.

With regard to the caliper results for the current period, it may be seen in Table II and also in Figure 2 that the lowest current machine average of 9.0 points was associated with Machine A, and the highest average of 11.6 points was associated with Machine F. The current F.K.I. average of 10.3 points was the same as the cumulative F.K.I. average. The minimum caliper requirement of nine points specified in Rule 41 was met by all participants on the basis of the current machine averages shown in Table II. On the basis of imdividual rolls, there was one caliper average below 9 points.

The Concora flat crush averages are presented graphically in Figure 3 based on the data in Table II. An inspection of these results reveals that 39.5 p.s.i. was the highest average and 32.6 p.s.i. the lowest. Machine I had the highest average, whereas Machine T had the lowest average. The

Page 13 Progress Report 79

current F.K.I. average of 35.9 p.s.i. was slightly lower than the cumulative F.K.I. average of 36.3 p.s.i.

The highest single-face flat crush average of 38.3 p.s.i. was obtained on the medium from Machine N and the lowest of 30.1 p.s.i. on the medium from Machines F and T. These data are shown in Table II and are presented graphically in Figure 4. The current F.K.I. average was 33.6 p.s.i., whereas the cumulative F.K.I. average was 33.0 p.s.i.

The runability data for the 92 rolls of medium evaluated during November are summarized as follows:

Runability	Number of Rolls	Percenta ge of Total Rolls
Less than 600 f.p.m. with minimum tension	2	2.2
600 f.p.m. with minimum tension	8	8.7
600 f.p.m. with tension of 1/2 lb. per in.	20	21.7
600 f.p.m. with tension of 1 lb. per in.	20	21.7
600 f.p.m. with tension of $1-1/2$ lb. per in.	42	45.7

For the current period, the current $F_{\circ}K_{\circ}I_{\circ}$ average for single-face flat crush was slightly higher than its respective cumulative $F_{\circ}K_{\circ}I_{\circ}$ average whereas the current $F_{\circ}K_{\circ}I_{\circ}$ averages for basis weight and Concora flat crush were slightly lower than their cumulative $F_{\circ}K_{\circ}I_{\circ}$ averages and the current $F_{\circ}K_{\circ}I_{\circ}$ average for caliper was the same as its cumulative $F_{\circ}K_{\circ}I_{\circ}$ average.

The test results obtained on the sample lots submitted from the production of each of the machines are shown in Tables III through XXII for Machines A through T, respectively. The maximum, minimum, and average test

ş

Page 14 Progress Report 79

results obtained on each sample lot are shown for all tests except basis weight for which only the average is shown; in addition, the over-all average result for all sample lots submitted from a given machine is shown for each test. The latter over-all averages are reported as "current machine averages." A cumulative machine average is also shown and is calculated by averaging the current machine averages for the previous twelve periods (excluding the current period). Also shown for each machine in Tables III to XXII are the machine factor and machine index which are defined as follows:

<u>current machine average</u> x 100 = machine factor (%)

<u>current machine average</u> x 100 = machine index (%) cumulative F.K.I. average

The machine factor and machine index provide a means for comparing the current machine average with either the previous results for that particular machine or with the cumulative results for all machines--i.e., the cumulative F.K.I. average.

TABLE III

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE A November, 1960

Code	Date Made	Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	Basis Weight, lb. per 1000 sq. ft.	Caliper, points Max. Min. Av.			Conco: Max.	ra Flat p.s.i. Min.	Crush, Av.		-Face I p.s.i. Min.		Runability, Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m.,
oouc	TRACE	necu.	10.0	1000 340 100	Max.	LTTLU 0	41.V e	Piak •	riTu •	4.V •	nax.e	min•	ÆV.	lb./in.
A-1 A-2 A-3 A-4	10-19-60 10-19-60 10-19-60 10-19-60	10-31-60 10-31-60 11- 1-60 11- 1-60	45 46 47 48	27•9 27•4 28•4 28•4	9•5 9•2 9•8 9•2	8.5 8.5 8.9 8.7	9.0 8.8 9.2 9.0	39.0 35.4 40.2 40.2	34.2 33.0 36.6 31.8	37•4 34•2 37•9 36•6	33•4 31•6 33•8 34•6	31.0 28.6 31.0 32.0	32.1 30.0 32.3 32.8	1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2
Cumula Machin	t Machine A tive Machine e Factor, % e Index, %	e Average		28.0 27.5 101.8 102.3			9.0 9.2 97.5 87.8			36.5 34.6 105.7 100.5			31.8 30.1 105.7 96.5	

TABLE IV

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE B November, 1960															
B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7	10-24-60 10-26-60 10-31-60 11- 4-60 11- 7-60 11-15-60 11-16-60	10-31-60 11- 1-60 11- 7-60 11- 7-60 11-10-60 11-17-60 11-22-60	59 2 593 594 595 596 597 598	26.8 26.1 26.5 26.8 26.5 26.9 26.9	10.5 10.8 11.0 11.0 10.8 10.8 10.7	9.6 10.0 10.1 10.5 10.2 10.0 9.8	10.1 10.4 10.6 10.8 10.5 10.4 10.3	41.4 40.2 43.2 37.2 37.8 40.2 40.2	36.0 37.2 37.2 34.2 34.8 36.6 34.8	39.0 38.6 39.4 35.6 36.0 37.7 37.7	38.0 35.6 36.4 34.8 35.0 38.2	36.0 35.0 34.0 33.8 33.6 34.2 35.8	37•1 35•3 34•7 34•8 34•2 34•8 36•5	1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2 1 1 1-1/2 1	Progress Repo
Cumulative Machine Average 26.8 10.7							37.7 39.1 96.4 103.8			35•3 35•7 99•0 107•2		age 15 port 79			

TABLE V

÷

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE C November, 1960

Code	Date Made	Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	Basis Weight, lb. per 1000 sq. ft.		aliper, pints Min.	Av.		ra Flat p.s.i. Min.	Crush, Av.	÷	-Face F p.s.i. Min.		Runability, Maximum Tension at 603 f.p.m., 1b./in.
C-1 C-2 C-3	10-28-60 11- 8-60 11-11-60	11-16-60 11-16-60 11-16-60		27.3 27.4 27.1	10.3 10.1	10.0 9.6	10.1 10.1 9.9 9.6	37•8 38•4 36•0 36•0	32.4 34.8 31.8 33.0	35.8 36.4 34.3 34.2	35•4 33•2 33•4 34•8	33•4 32•8 31•8 32•8	34.2 33.0 32.4 34.1	1 1 1-1/2 1-1/2
C-4 C-5	11-15-60 11-16-60	11-23-60 11-23-60		27.2 26.5	9•8 9•7	9.2 9.1	9•0 9•4	36.0	34.2	34.9	33.6	31.6	32.6	1-1/2
Cumula Machir	nt Machine A ative Machin ne Factor, % ne Index, %	e Average		27.1 26.9 100.8 98.9	۰ ۰		9.8 10.1 97.2 95.9			35.1 36.7 95.7 96.6			33•3 33•9 98•2 100•9	

TABLE VI

				•	SUMMARY O		RESULTS		CHINE D						
D-1 D-2 D-3 D-4 D-5 D-6		$\begin{array}{c} 11-1-60\\ 11-11-60\\ 11-22-60\\ 11-22-60\\ 11-23-60\\ 11-23-60\\ 11-23-60\end{array}$	74 75 76 77 78 79	27.4 27.0 27.5 27.4 27.4 27.4	11.1 11.3 11.0 10.2 11.5 10.7	10.0 10.3 10.0 9.3 10.2 9.9	10.5 10.9 10.4 9.7 10.8 10.2	39•6 37•8 36•6 37•2 39•0 37•8	33.0 33.0 31.2 32.4 36.6 32.4	36:4 35.6 34.1 34.6 37.6 34.9	35.2 33.6 31.8 35.2 36.6 33.8	33.6 30.6 29.2 32.4 34.4 31.2	34•4 32•2 30•7 34•1 35•1 32•5	1 1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2	Pa Progress Repo
Cumula Machir	nt Machine Av ative Machine ne Factor, % ne Index, %	erage Average		27.3 27.0 101.3 99.8			10.4 10.6 97.9 101.6			35.5 35.0 101.4 97.7			33.2 31.3 105.8 100.6		age 16 ort 79

TABLE VII

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE E November, 1960

Code	Date Made	Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	Basis Weight, lb. per 1000 sq. ft.		aliper, oints Min.	Av.	Conco Max.	ra Flat p.s.i. Min.	,	<u> </u>	-Face p.s.i. Min.		Runability, Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m., 1b./in.
E-1 E-2 E-3 E-4 E-5 E-6	10-12-60 10-18-60 10-19-60 10-27-60 10-28-60 10-31-60	11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11-8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60	339 562 600 847 888 1005	27.0 26.7 26.3 26.8 26.3 27.5	10.9 10.4 11.0 10.9	9.7 10.0 10.0	10.6 10.4 10.0 10.6 10.5 10.5	41•4 40•2 39•0 40•2 38•4 44•4	35•4 34•8 36•6 34•8 36•0 37•8	39•0 37•8 37•4 36•6 37•4 41•6	39.2 36.4 37.4 35.4 36.8 38.0	37•4 33•2 34•6 33•2 35•4 35•2	38.2 34.4 36.1 34.4 35.8 36.5	Min. Note a. Min. Min. Min. Min.
Cumula Machin	t Machine A tive Machin e Factor, % e Index, %	e Average		26.8 26.7 100.4 97.7			10.4 10.3 101.1 101.7			38•3 38•3 100•0 105•4			35.9 35.3 101.7 109.0	

TABLE VIII

					SUMMARY OF TES	T RESULTS ember, 19		CHINE F	•	-				
F-1 F-2	11- 3-60 11- 3-60	11-10-60 11-10-60	409 410	27.8 27.9	12.0 11.5 11.8 11.0		34 .2 34 . 2	32.4 31.2	33.1 32.8	30.8 31.0	28.6 28.4	30.1 30.2	1-1/2 1-1/2	Progre
Cumula Machin	nt Machine A ative Machin ne Factor, % ne Index, %	e Average		27.8 27.2 102.1 101.6		11.6 10.7 108.3 112.6		-	32.9 33.9 97.3 90.6			30.1 30.8 98.0 91.4		Page 193 Report
a Max	imm speed a	t which this	s roll con	ild be corrugat	ted with minim	m tensior	was 57	5 f •p•m	lø					17 79

•

^a Maximum speed at which this roll could be corrugated with minimum tension was 575 f.p.m.

2

TABLE IX

.

~

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE G November, 1960

Code	Date Made	? Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	lb. per		aliper, oints Min。	A-r	Conco Max.	ra Flat p.s.i. Min.	Crush,	-	-Face p.s.i Min.		Runability, Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m., lb./in.
code	Made	Necu.	NO o	1000 sq. ft.	ria	FLIIO	Av.	riake	1.17110	er o	PICIA	LTTL®	42 Y +	10•/111•
G-1	10-24-60	10-27-60		26.6	10.2	10.0	10.1	40.8	34.8	37.1	35.2	34.0	34•5	1/2
G-2	11- 8-60	11-16-60		27.3	10.6	10.0	10.3	39.0	33.0	36.0	34.0	32.6	33.0	1/2
G-3	11-11-60	11-16-60		26.8	10.3	9.6	10.0	37.2	35.4	36.0	35.2	32.6	33.7	1-1/2
G-4	11 - 15-60	11-23-60		27.1	10.8	10.0	10.4	38.4	34.8	36.1	35.0	34.6	34.9	1
G-5	11-16-60	11-23-60		26.5	10.0	9.7	9.9	38.4	35•4	37•4	36.0	34.6	35.0	1
Curren	t Machine A	verage		26.8			10.1			36.5			34.2	
	tive Machin	0		27.1			10.1			37.6			34.2	
	e Factor, %			99.1			100.0			97.1			100.0	
	e Index, 🕱			98.0			98.8			100.5			103.8	

TABLE X

					SUMMARY O		RESULTS mber, 1		CHINE H	I					
H-1 H-2 H-3	10-27-60 10-27-60 10-28-60	11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60	43 44 45	29.2 29.4 29.3	10.5 10.2 10.2	9•7 9•6 9•5-	10.0 10.0 9.9	36.0 39.0 35.4	34 . 2 35.4 31.2	34•9 36•7 33•0	31.8 33.0 34.8	30.0 30.6 30.8	31.0 31.9 32.0	1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2	Progre
Cumula Machin	nt Machine A ative Machin ne Factor, % ne Index, %	e Average		29.3 28.4 103.3 107.0			10.0 10.3 97.1 97.1			34.9 33.5 104.0 96.0			31.6 30.5 103.6 95.9		eas Report 79

TABLE XI

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE I November, 1960

	Date	Date	Mill Roll	Basis Weight, lb. per		aliper, oints		Conco	ra Flat p.s.i.	Crush,		e-Face		Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m.,	
Code	Made	Recd.	No.	1000 sq. ft.	Max.	Min.	Av.	Max.	Min.	Av.	Max.	Min.	Av.	lb./in.	
I-1	10-23-60	11-10-60	3	25.4	10.0	9.2	9.6	42.6	37.2	40.1	37.6	34.8	36.2	Min.	
I-2	10-24-60	11-10-60	4	25.7	9.6	9.1	9.4	43.8	39.0	40.7	36.2	34.0	35•4	1	
I-3	11- 7-60	11-18-60	5	25.4	10.3	9•7	10.0	40.2	36.0	38.2	35.6	34.8	35.0	Note a.	
I-4	11- 7-60	11-18-60	6	26.4	9•9	9•3	9.6	44.4	36.0	39.1	36.8	34.8	35•5	1/2	
Curren	t Machine A	verage		25.7			9.6			39•5	•		35•5		
	tive Machin			25.9			9.6			38.7			36.1		
	e Factor, %			99•3			100.0			102.1			98•5		
	e Index. %			94.0			94.0			108.7			107.8		

TABLE XII

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE J November, 1960

J-1	11-10-60	11-15-60	407	26.8	10.3	10.0	10 .2	34•8	30.0	33•4	31.4	30.0	31.0	1-1/2
J-2	11-10-60	11-15-60	408	27.0	10.7	10.3	10 . 4	34•8	30.6	32•9	31.8	31.0	31.3	1-1/2
Cumula Machir	nt Machine A ntive Machin ne Factor, % ne Index, %	e Average		26.9 27.8 96.8 98.3			10.3 11.0 94.0 100.5			33.1 36.8 90.1 91.1			31 .2 32.9 94.8 94.5	

a Maximum speed at which this roll could be corrugated with minimum tension was 575 f.p.m.

Page Progress Report

79 79

Runability.

TABLE XIII

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE K November, 1960

Code	Date Made	Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	Basis Weight, lb. per 1000 sq. ft.		aliper, oints Min.	Av.		ra Flat p.s.i. Min.			-Face 1 , p.s.i. Min.		Runability, Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m., lb./in.
K-1 K-2 K-3	10- 8-60 10-24-60 10-27-60	11 8-60 11-17-60 11-17-60	1409 3936 4292	26.0 26.6 26.5	10.7 11.0 11.0	10.0 10.0 10.2	10.2 10.5 10.6	36.6 33.0 34.2	33.6 31.8 30.0	34•8 32•4 32•3	33.2 34.0 31.4	30.0 31.0 29.0	31.4 31.8 30.4	1 1/2 1
Cumula Machin	t Machine A tive Machine Factor, % Me Index, %	e Average		26.3 27.0 97.8 96.2			10.4 10.6 98.2 101.8			33.2 35.6 93.2 91.2			31.2 33.1 94.3 94.7	

.

TABLE XIV

					SUMMARY (r RESULT: ember, 19		ACHINE 1	L					
L-1 L-2 L-3 L-4	10-24-60 10-24-60 10-25-60 10-25-60	11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60	41 42 43 44	28.2 27.9 27.4 27.4	10.8 10.4 10.6 10.6	9.0 9.7 8.9 9.6	10.0 10.0 10.0 10.1	40.2 37.8 36.0 36.0	34.2 36.0 33.6 32.4	37•8 36•7 34•6 34•4	35•4 36•2 37•2 34•8	33•4 34•4 33•6 33•0	34•3 35•3 35•4 33•9	1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2	
Cumula Machin	nt Machine A ntive Machin ne Factor, % ne Index, %	e Average		27.7 28.7 96.5 101.1			10.0 9.6 104.6 97.6	·		35•9 35•9 100•0 -98•7			34.7 33.2 104.5 105.3		

Page 20 Progress Report 79

TABLE XV

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE M November, 1960

Code	Date Made	Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	Basis Weight, lb. per 1000 sq. ft.		aliper, oints Min.	Av.	Conco: Max.	ra Flat p.s.i. Min.	Crush, Av.	<u> </u>	-Face p.s.i. Min.		Runability, Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m., lb./in.
M-1 M-2 M-3 M-4	11- 2-60 11- 2-60 11-16-60 11-16-60	11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11-23-60 11-23-60	411 412 419 420	26.6 27.0 27.3 27.3	11.2 11.1 11.6 12.0	10.5 10.0 9.9 10.8	10.9 10.8 10.9 11.3	36.0 40.2 39.0 40.8	33.6 36.6 31.8 37.8	34•7 37•8 36•8 39•2	36.0 36.2 38.0 38.0	33.8 34.4 35.6 36.0	35.1 35.4 36.4 37.1	1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2
Cumula Machir	nt Machine A ntive Machin ne Factor, % ne Index, %	e Average		27.0 27.1 99.9 98.7			11.0 11.0 100.0 107.2			37.1 35.5 104.6 102.2			36.0 32.7 110.0 109.2	,

*

TABLE XVI

					SUMMARY O		RESULTS		CHINE N						
N-1 N-2	10-24-60 11- 2-60	11- 8-60 11- 8-60	290 291	28•5 27•9		10.6 10.8	10.9 11.0	43 . 2 40 . 2	38•4 36•0	40•8 37•9	40.8 38.4	37 . 8 35 . 8	39•4 37•2	1 1	
Cumula Machin	t Machine A tive Machin e Factor, % e Index, %	e Average		28.2 27.2 103.5 102.9			11.0 10.6 104.0 107.0			39•4 37•4 105•3 108•3			38.3 34.2 112.2 116.3		

Fourdrinier Kraft Board Institute, Inc. Project 1108-17

Page 21 Progress Report 79

TABLE XVII

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE O November, 1960

Code	Date Made	Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	Basis Weight, lb. per 1000 sq. ft.		aliper, oints Min.	Av.	Concor Max.	ra Flat p.s.i. Min.	Crush, Av.		e-Face H , p.s.i. Min.		Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m., 1b./in.
0-1	10-24-60	10-27-60		26.5	11.3	10.1	10.6	36.0	33.0	34•3	33.0	31.6	32.5	Min.
)_2	11- 8-60	11-16-60		27.6	10.5	10.0	10.1	36.0	33.0	35.2	35.0	32.8	33.9	1 1-1/2
-3	11- 9-60	11-16-60		27.4	10.3	10.0	10.1	37.2	34.2	35•4	34.6	31.6	33.1	1-1/2
-4	11-11-60	11-16-60		27.9	10.8	10.2	10.5	37.2	32.4	34•9	36.6	30.4	34.0	1-1/2 1-1/2
-5	11-16-60	11-23-60		27.9	11.1	10.5	10.8	40.2	37.8	38•9	36.2	34•4	34•9	1-1/2
				27 5			10.4			35•7			33.7	
	t Machine A			27•5 27•5			10.3		,	38.6			35.3	
	tive Machin			100.0			100.7			92.5			95•5	
	ne Factor, % ne Index, %			100.2			101.4			98.3			102.1	

TABLE XVIII

					SUMMARY	OF TES Nov	ST RESUL	rs for 1 1960	ACHINE	P		•			
P-2 10- P-3 11-	-26-60 -26-60 - 8-60 - 8-60	10-31-60 10-31-60 11-15-60 11-15-60	405 406 413 414	27•9 27•7 27•5 26•8	10.6 10.6 9.8 10.0	10.0 10.0 9.0 9.1	10.2 10.2 9.5 9.7	37.8 36.6 36.0 32.4	33•6 33•0 33•6 30•6	36.0 34.9 35.0 31.4	35•2 34•6 33•2 30•0	32.4 33.2 30.0 28.0	33.8 33.7 31.0 29.0	1 1-1/2 1-1/2 1-1/2	Progress
Current Ma Cumulative Machine Fa Machine In	Machine ctor, %	e Average		27.5 27.3 100.5 100.3			9•9 9•5 104•4 96•5			34•4 35•3 97•3 94•5			31.9 31.9 100.0 96.7	·	Page 22 3 Report 79

TABLE XIX

٤

۲

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE Q November, 1960

				St	mmary oi	F TEST F	LE XIX ESULTS mber, 1	FOR MA	CHINE Q	ł				
ode	Date Made	Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	Basis Weight, lb. per 1000 sq. ft.		aliper, pints Min.	Av.	Conco: Max.	ra Flat p.s.i. Min.	Crush, Av.		-Face 1 p.s.i. Min.		Aunability, Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m., 1b./in.
-1	10-20-60	11- 8-60	401	26.5	10.0	9•3	9.6	36.6	33.0	34•4	35.6	32.6	34.0	1/2
2	10-20-60	11- 8-60	402	27.9	9.8	9.2	9.6	41.4	37.8	39•4	37.6	34.0	36.2	1
3	10-27-60	11-14-60	403	27.6	10.0	9•3	9•7	38•4 40•2	33.0 37.2	37•2 38•6	35•4	33.0 32.2	34.1 33.8	1/2 1 -1 /2
4	10-29-60 11- 2-60	11-14-60	404 405	27.9 27.1	10.2 9.7	9•7 9•0	9•9 9•3	40•2 36•0	31 . 8	34•4	35 . 2 34 . 6	30.6	32.4	1/2
5 6	11- 2-60	11-23-60	40 <i>5</i> 406	27.4	9•5	8.8	9.2	34.2	31.8	32.4	32.4	29.0	31.1	Min.
ren	t Machine Av	verage		27.4			9.6			36.1			33.6	
	tive Machine	e Average		27.3			9.9			37.3			33.2	
	e Factor, %			100.3			96.9			96.7			101.1	
chin	e Index, %			100.0			93.2			99•3			102.0	
						TAI	RLE XX							

November, 1960

R-1 R-2 R-3 R-4 R-5 R-6	10-25-60 10-25-60 10-25-60 10-25-60 10-25-60 10-25-60	11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60	J-1 J-2 J-3 J-4 J-5 J-6	28.1 27.9 27.4 27.9 28.2 27.6	10.8 11.0 10.6 10.8 10.6 10.5	10.0 9.4 9.3 9.4 9.6 9.8	10.2 10.2 9.9 10.0 10.1 10.1	37.8 37.8 37.8 34.8 35.4 39.0	33.0 33.0 34.2 31.2 33.0 34.2	36.1 35.2 35.4 33.8 34.1 36.2	36.6 32.4 35.6 32.6 34.4 33.2	34.0 29.6 33.0 29.4 30.8 31.4	35.2 31.5 34.3 30.8 33.2 32.3	1-1/2 1/2 1 1/2 1/2 1/2	Pa Progress Repo
Cumula Machin	nt Machine A ative Machin ne Factor, % ne Index, %	e Average		27.8 26.9 103.5 101.7			10.1 10.0 100.3 98.2			35.1 34.6 101.6 96.7			32.9 31.6 104.2 99.8		age 23 ort 79

TABLE XXI

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR MACHINE S November, 1960

Code	Date Made	Date Recd.	Mill Roll No.	Basis Weight, lb. per 1000 sq. ft.		aliper, xints Min.	Av.	Conco Max.	ra Flat p.s.i. Min.	Crush, Av.		e-Face 1 , p.s.i. Min.		Maximum Tension at 600 f.p.m., 1b./in.	-17 -17
S-1 S-2 S-3	9-20-60 9-26-60 9-29-60	11- 8-60 11- 8-60 11- 8-60	422 424 425	27.4 27.1 28.4	10.5 10.2 10.8		10.2 10.0 10.4	39.0 41.4 40.2	35•4 36•0 37•2	37.0 38.2 38.6	36•6 38•8 38•2	35.0 36.0 35.6	35•8 37•0 36•7	1 1-1/2 1-1/2	Their D.
Cumula Machir	nt Machine A ative Machin ne Factor, % ne Index, %	e Average		27.6 27.6 100.0 100.8			10.2 10.4 98.8 99.9			37.9 39.3 96.6 104.3			36.5 35.6 102.5 110.8		ann'
						TA	BLE XXI	I							
				•	SUMMARY		RESULT		ACHINE	Т	-				
T-1 ^a T-2 ^a T-4 ^a T-5 ^a T-6 T-7 T-8 T-9 T-10 T-11	9-17-60 9-19-60 9-25-60 10-2-60 10-10-60 10-16-60 10-19-60 10-24-60 10-26-60 11-4-60 11-11-60	10-31-60 $10-31-60$ $10-31-60$ $10-31-60$ $11-22-60$ $11-22-60$ $11-22-60$ $11-22-60$ $11-22-60$ $11-22-60$ $11-22-60$ $11-22-60$ $11-22-60$	112 113 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123	25.9 26.3 26.2 26.5 26.8 26.1 26.4 26.5 26.8 26.4 26.4 26.3	11.0 10.8 11.2 11.6 11.0 10.9 10.9 10.7 11.0 11.2 10.8	10.2 10.0 10.5 11.0 10.6 10.3 10.2 10.0 10.7 10.7	10.7 10.5 11.0 11.2 10.8 10.7 10.5 10.5 10.8 10.9 10.5	31.8 34.8 34.2 33.0 34.2 33.6 33.0 37.2 35.4 39.6 36.6	29.4 31.8 28.8 27.0 31.8 30.6 31.2 34.2 30.6 31.8 32.4	30.0 33.0 31.1 30.0 33.2 31.8 31.9 35.4 32.2 34.7 34.9	31.6 32.8 31.4 28.0 32.2 31.8 30.4 32.8 31.6 30.0 32.4	27.2 30.0 26.4 31.0 28.2 28.8 30.2 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 29.6	29.4 31.6 28.9 27.3 31.6 30.3 29.7 31.6 30.2 29.4 30.9	1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/	rage Progress Report
Cumula Machin Machin	nt Machine 4 ative Machin he Factor, % he Index, %	e Average		26.4 26.4 100.0 96.3	1		10.7 10.5 102.0 104.6			32.6 34.7 93.8 89.6		·	30.1 31.4 95.8 91.2		79

^a These rolls were received too late for inclusion in last month's report.

Fourdrinier Kraft Board Institute, Inc. Project 1108-17

Runability,

Page

41

t

Page 25 Progress Report 79

DISCUSSION OF CONCORA FLAT CRUSH TEST RESULTS OBTAINED AT THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY AND THOSE OBTAINED AT THE MILLS

In Table XXIII a comparison of Institute and Mill Concora flat crush test results obtained on conditioned specimens is given for the month of November. These comparisons were initiated in Progress Report 30 and permit interested participants to submit their Concora flat crush test results to The Institute of Paper Chemistry so that comparative results may be included in the monthly reports. Data sheets for supplying this information may be obtained from the Institute. Comparisons of this kind are a helpful adjunct to other calibration procedures. It may be noted in Table XXIII that fifteen of the twenty participating machines are included in this comparison of Concora flat crush data. Shown in Table XXIII are the Institute and mill Concora averages for each roll included in this comparison, the difference between the roll average based on Institute data and that based on mill data, the Institute and mill averages based on all rolls included in the comparison, and the difference between these over-all averages.

The Concora flat crush data shown in Table XXIII are summarized in Part I of Table XXIV where for each machine the following information is given: (1) Current machine average based on Institute data, (2) current machine average based on mill data, (3) the average difference--that is, the difference between the current machine average based on Institute data and that based on mill data and (4) the meximum difference encountered in comparing Institute and mill test averages for individual rolls. In Part II of Table XXIV the average difference of Part I has been converted to per cent by dividing it by the Institute TABLE XXIII

INSTITUTE AND MILL CONCORA FLAT CRUSH TEST RESULTS ON INDIVIDUAL ROLLS FOR NOVEMBER, 1960

÷

-

٠

		Macl	nine A					Machine	В					Machine	C		
	Mill			lat Crus	h. p.s.i.		Mill			Flat Cru	sh. p.s.i.		Mill	-	Concora	Flat Cr	ush, p.s.
Code	Roll No.	Date Made	Insti- tute	Mill	Differ- ence a	Code	Roll No.	Date Made	Insti- tute	Mill	Differ- ence	Code	Roll No.	. Date Made	Insti- tute	Mill	Differ- ence ^a
A-1	45	10-19-60	37•4	39.6	+2.2	B-1	592	10-24-60	39.0	41.8	+2.8	C-1		10-28-60	35.8	36.5	+0.7
A-2	46	10-19-60	34.2	40.0	+5.8	B-2	593	10-26-60	38.6	40.4	+1.8	C-2		11- 8-60	36.4	37.9	+1.5
A-3	47	10-19-60	37.9	38.4	+0•5	B-3	594	10-31-60	39•4	42.4	+3.0	C-3		11-11-60	34-3	34.9	+0.6
A- 4	48	10-19-60	36.6	38.4	+1.8	B-4	595	11-4- 60	35.6	38.8	+3.2	C-5		11-16-60	34•9	35.0	+0.1
						B-5	596	11- 7-60	36.0	40.2	+4.2						
						в-6	597	11-15-60	37.7	39.0	+1.3						
						B-7	598	11-16-60	37•7	38.9	+1.2						
Curre	nt Mac	hine Av.	36.5	39.1	+2.6	Curre	nt Mach	ine Av.	37•7	40.2	+2.5	Curre	nt Mac	chine Av.	35•4	36.1	+0.7
		Mac	hine E					Machin	e F					Machin	e_G		
Z-1	339	10-12-60	39.0	37.7	-1.3	F-1	409	11-3-60	33.1	31.7	-1.4	G-1		10-24-60	37.1	38.2	+1.1
E-2	562	10-18-60	37.8	37.4	-0.4	F-2	410	11-3-60	32.8	35.0	+2.2	G-2		11- 8-60	36.0	35+3	-0.7
E-3	600	10-19-60	37•4	39.5	+2.1							G-3		11-11-60	36.0	36.6	+0.6
2 - 4	847	10-27-60	36.6	37.0	+0.4							G-5		11-16-60	37.4	38.5	+1.1
S-5	888	10-28-60	37•4	38.9	+1.5	•									2		
E6	1005	10-31-60	41.6	39.6	-2. 0 ·												
Curre	nt Mac	hine Av.	38.3	38.4	+0.1	Curre	nt Mach	ine Av.	32.9	33•4	+0.5	Curre	nt Mac	hine Av.	36.6	37.2	+0.6
		Mac	hine J					Machin	<u>e K</u>					Machine	M		·
J-1	407	11-10-60	33•4	36.2	+2.8	K-l	1409	10-8-60	34.8	34.1	-0.7	M-1	411	.11260	34•7	36.0	+1.3
J-2	408	11-10-60	32.9	34.7	+1.8	K-2	3936	10-24-60	32.4	34.4	+2.0	M-2	412	11- 260	37.8	34.9	-2.9
						K-3	4292	1027-60	32.3	33.7	+1.4		•		2		
Curre	nt Mac	hine Av.	33.1	35.4	+2.3	Curre	nt Mach	ine Av.	33.2	34.1	+0.9	Curre	nt Mac	hine Av.	36.2	35.4	-0.8

^a This difference is the amount in p.s.i. units by which the mill result is higher or lower than the Institute result.

TABLE XXIII(Continued)

		Machine	N					Machi	ine O					Ma	chine P		
	Mill		Concora	Flat Crus	h. p.s.i.		Mill		Concora	Flat Cru	sh. p.s.i.		Mill		Concora	Flat C	rush.p.s.i.
Code	Roll No.	Date Made	Insti- tute	Mill	Differ- ence a	Code	Roll No.	Date Made	Insti- tute	Mill	Differ- ence a	Code	Roll No.	Date Made	Insti- tute	Mill	Differ- ence a
									0200	******	01100	0040		1220	0-0-		
N-1	290	10-24-60	40.8	40.8	0.0	0-1		10 -24- 60	34.3	34.9	+0.6	P-1	405	10-26-60	36.0	38.6	+2.6
N-2	291	11- 2-60	37•9	39.2	+1.3	0-2		11- 8-60	35.2	35.5	+0.3	P2	406	10-26-60	34.9	39.4	+4.5
						0-3		11- 9-60	35.4	37.9	+2.5	P-3	413	11-8-60	35.0	39.5	+4.5
						0-4		11-11-60	34.9	36.8	+1.9	P-4	414	11-8-60	31.4	38.6	+7.2
						0-5		11-16-60	38.9	40.3	+1.4	•			2		• • •
Curre	nt Mach	ine Av.	39•4	40.0	+0.6	Curre	nt Macl	hine Av.	35•7	37.1	+1.4	Curre	nt Mac	hine Av.	34•4	39.0	+4.6
		1 6	. 0					X 1. 1.							_		
		Machine			<u> </u>			Machir	ie S					Machi	ine T		
Q-1	401	10-20-60	34•4	38.3	+3.9	S-1	422	9-20-60	37.0	38.9	÷1.9	T-1	112	9-17-60	30.0	32.9	+2.9
Q-2	402	10-26-60	39.4	42.9	+3.5	S-2	424	9-26-60	38.2	39.2	+1.0	T-2	113	9-19-60	33.0	34.8	+1.8
Q-3	403	10-27-60	37.2	39.4	+2.2	S-3	425	9-29-60	38.6	39.4	+0.8	T-4	116	10-2-60	30.0	32.2	+2.2
Q-4	404	10-29-60	38.6	38.6	0.0					27-4		T-5	117	10-10-60	33.2	37.8	+4.6
Q-5		11-2-60	34.4	37.1	+2.7							Ť-6	118	10-16-60	31.8	35.8	+4.0
Q-6		114-60	32.4	38.1	+5.7							T-7	119	10-19-60	31.9	34.1	+2.2
• •	4		2	2								T-8	120	10-24-60	35•4	37.2	+1.8
												T-9	121	10-26-60	32.2	35.6	+3•4
Curre	nt Macl	hine Av.	36.1	39.1	+3.0	Curre	nt Mac	hine Av.	37.9	39.2	+1.3	Curre	nt Maci	hine Av.	32.2	35.0	+2.8

INSTITUTE AND MILL CONCORA FLAT CRUSH TEST RESULTS ON INDIVIDUAL ROLLS FOR NOVEMBER, 1960

^a This difference is the amount in p.s.i. units by which the mill result is higher or lower than the Institute result.

-

						TABL	E XXIV	T											
PART I: A COMPARATIVE SUM	MARY FOR	EACH MAC	HINE	of the	CONCORA	FLAT (RUSH	AVER	GES BA	SED ON :	INSTIT	UTE DA	ra and 1	THOSE B	ASED ON	MILL DA	ATA		
Machine Code A	В	c	D	E ·	F	G	н	Ţ	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Number of Rolls Compared 4	7	4	0	6	2	4	0	0	2	3	0	2	2	5	4	6	0	3	8
Concora Flat Crush, p.s.i. Current Machine Av. (Institute) ^a 36. Current Machine Av. (Mill) ^a 39. Average Difference ^b +2. Maximum Difference ^c +5. PART II: A TABULATION FOR	1 40.2 6 +2.5 8 +4.2	35.4 36.1 +0.7 +1.5 HINE OF	THE 4	38.3 38.4 +0.1 +2.1					33.1 35.4 +2.3 +2.8 STWEEN '		CORA I	36.2 35.4 -0.8 -2.9	39.4 40.0 +0.6 +1.3 JSH AVER	35.7 37.1 +1.4 +2.5	34.4 39.0 +4.6 +7.2 SED ON 2	36.1 39.1 +3.0 +5.7		37.9 39.2 +1.3 +1.9	32.2 35.0 +2.8 +4.6

Average Difference, % ^d Current Report (November)	+7.1	+6.6	+2.0	 +0.3	+1.5	+1.6	 	+6.9	+2.7	 -2.2	+1.5	+3.9	+13.4	+8.3	 +3•4	+8.7
	+3.2	+0.0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	 	4 2	10.3		1. 9	40.3	 +3.0	+0.5	-0.5	+8.7	+5-4		
77th Report (October)	+3.2	t5	-0.3	 *1+1	-0.4	TU.)	 	+4+0	.0.5	 				.10.0	-1.5	+7.7
75th Report (September)	+0.9	+2.2	-5.3	 -5-0	+1.7	+0.5	 	+3•7	+0.3	 +0+4	+8•2	-0•)	+8.2	+10.9	 -++•,2	

^a Comparisons based on current machine average include only those rolls for which mill data were submitted.

^b Average difference is the difference between the current machine average based on Institute test results and that based on mill test results with the Institute test results used as the reference. See Table XXIII.

^c Maximum difference is the greatest difference encountered in comparing Institute and mill test averages for individual rolls. See Table XXIII.

d Average difference (per cent) is computed by dividing the average difference in p.s.i. (shown above in Part I of this table) by the Institute current machine average and multiplying the result by 100 to obtain the average difference in per cent.

3

٦

average and multiplying the result by 100. The average differences in per cent for the current report and the two preceding reports are shown. It may be seen that, for the current period, the highest average difference of 13.4% was associated with Machine P and the lowest of 0.3% with Machine E. In the majority of comparisons, agreement between Institute and mill data was good, as evidenced by the following comparison of Institute and mill Concora flat crush results which shows the number of machines (and the cumulative percentage of all machines which this number represents) whose average Concora flat crush test results for the month of November fall . within designated percentage ranges from the corresponding data obtained at the Institute:

Average Percentage Difference Between Institute and Mill Concora Flat Crush Test Results ^a	Number of Machines	Percentage of All Machines
<u>+</u> 1.0	1	6.7
<u>+</u> 2.5	6	40.0
<u>+</u> 5.0	9	60.0
<u>+</u> 10.0	14	93•3
<u>+</u> 13.4	15	100.0

^a The average obtained at the Institute was used as the reference in the calculation of the percentage differences.

į,

)

3

1

Page 30 Progress Report 79

THE INSTITUTE OF PAPER CHEMISTRY

W. N. Hubert, Research Aide Container Section

u. a

R. C. McKee, Chief Container Section



k

]

).

3

₽

Ņ

Ş,

Ţ