

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
OFFICE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PROJECT CLOSEOUT

Closeout Notice Date 01/05/95

Project No. C-50-640_____

Center No. 10/24-6-Q6096-0A0_

Project Director BADRE A N_____

School/Lab GVU_____

Sponsor UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA/ATHENS, GA_____

Contract/Grant No. GCATT94.05.G_____ Contract Entity GIT_

Prime Contract No. GCATT94.05.G_____

Title GCATT SEED GRANTS_____

Effective Completion Date 940630 (Performance) 940630 (Reports)

Closeout Actions Required:

Y/N Date
Submitted

Final Invoice or Copy of Final Invoice

N _____

Final Report of Inventions and/or Subcontracts

N _____

Government Property Inventory & Related Certificate

N _____

Classified Material Certificate

N _____

Release and Assignment

N _____

Other _____

N _____

Comments_____

Subproject Under Main Project No. _____

Continues Project No. _____

Distribution Required:

Project Director

Y

Administrative Network Representative

Y

GTRI Accounting/Grants and Contracts

Y

Procurement/Supply Services

Y

Research Property Management

Y

Research Security Services

N

Reports Coordinator (OCA)

Y

GTRC

Y

Project File

Y

Other _____

N

N

FINAL REPORT

GCATT SEED GRANT

This was a project funded jointly to the University of Georgia and Georgia Tech. Georgia Tech contribution was intended to be a minor one. We basically assisted in a consulting role to the main effort at the University of Georgia. The main goal of the Georgia Tech effort was to inspect and review the usability of the teacher video scanning system. My role was to evaluate and make recommendations on the user interface to the system used by teachers to review and categorize video clips of the activities in their classes.

I was initially introduced to the system via the teleconferencing facilities at the University of Georgia and Georgia Tech. After this initial encounter with the system, its purpose, and some of its functionality, I went to the University of Georgia in order to use the system for inspection purposes. In general, the interface was very well designed. I suggested corrections in some areas of layout design. In some cases the placement of objects needed improvement or the way lists were ordered needed to be reversed. There were minor problems with some of the labeling. The interaction and the navigation were very well designed relative to the functionality of the system.