GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY OFFICE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION SPONSORED PROJECT INITIATION

ho action Goton

Project Title:

Aging Effect of Radiation on a Dormant Nematode

Green cond Project No: G-32-650

Project Director: Dr. Edward K. Yeargers

Sponsor: DHEW/PHS/NIH - National Institute on Aging

From 1/1/79

Agreement Period:

Until

2/1/79

Date: ____

12/31/79 (01 Year)

Type Agreement: Grant No. 1 R01 AG01061-01

Amount:

\$15,133 New PHS Funds (G-32-650) 797 GIT Contribution (G-32-323) \$15,930 Total

Reports Required: Annual Progress Report with Continuation Applications Terminal Progress Report upon Grant expiration

Sponsor Contact Person (s):

Technical Matters Betty H. Pickett, Ph.D. (Dr. Murphy) Assoc. Director for Extramural and Collaborative Research Programs National Institute on Aging Bethesda, MD 20014

Contractual Matters (thru OCA)

Ruth S. McClure Grants Management Officer National Institute on Aging Bethesda, MD 20014

Defense Priority Rating:	none	:
Assigned to:	Biology	. (School/Laboratory)
COPIES TO:		
Project Director		Library, Technical Reports Section
Division Chief (EES)		EES Information Office
School/Laboratory Direct	· 30	EES Reports & Procedures
Dean/Director-EES		Project File (OCA)
Accounting Office		Project Code (GTRI)
Procurement Office		Other
Security Coordinator (OC	A)	
Reports Coordinator (OCA	N.	

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY OFFICE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION

SPONSORED PROJECT TERMINATION

Date: 2/18/81

Project Title:

Aging Effect of Radiation on a Dormant Nematode

Project No: G-32-650

Project Director: Dr. Edward K. Yeargers

Sponsor:

DHEW/PHS/NIH - National Institute on Aging

_Effective Termination Date: <u>12/31/79</u> Clearance of Accounting Charges: -----

Grant/Contract Closeout Actions Pensining

Grant/Contract Closeout Actions Remaining:

- Final Invoice and Closing Documents
- Final Fiscal Report

x Final Report of Inventions

X Govt. Property Inventory & Related Certificate

Classified Material Certificate

Other

		· _ •	
Assigned to:	Biology	•	(School/Internet)
COPIES TO:	•		
Project Director Division Chief (EES) School/Laboratory Director Dean/Director—EES Accounting Office Procurement Office Security Coordinator (OCA)		EES Proje Proje Other	ry, Technical Reports Section nformation Office et File (OCA) et Code (GTRI) OCA Research Property Coordinator pense

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30332

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER

May 28, 1980

Grants Management Officer National Institute on Aging DHEW/PHS/NIH Bethesda, Maryland 20205

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is the <u>Einal Report</u> of Research Grant Expenditures (form HEW-489) for Grant No. 1 RO1 AG01061-01 covering the period January 1, 1979 to December 31, 1979.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please let us know.

Sincerely,

David V. Welch, Manager Grants and Contracts Accounting

DVW/BITS/jb Enclosure cc: Dr. E. K. Yeargers Dr. J. W. Crenshaw, Jr. Mr. E. E. Renfro Mr. O. H. Rodgers File G-32-650

Department of Health,	Grant No. 1 R01 AG01061-01				
NAME AND ADDRESS OF GRANTEE INSTITU	DATE OF THIS REP	ORTING PERIOD			
	-	TRANSACTION NO.		FROM 1/1/79	то 12/31/79
Georgia Institute of Technology			R1AG01061A	PROJECT PERIOD	
Atlanta, Georgia SUSSZ	Atlanta, Georgia 30332		TUTIONAL ID NO.	FROM 1/1/79	то 12/31/79
		G-32-650		CHECK IF FINAL REPORT	
1. Expenditures of DHEW Funds for this R	eporting Period	: :	······································		,
a. Personnel	\$		h. Alterations and	renovations	
b. Consultant services			i. Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
c. Equipment				· · ·	
d. Supplies			j. Total direct cos	sts	\$ 9,434.97
e. Travel, domestic			k. Indirect costs:	Å S&W □ TDC	
f. Travel, foreign			Base \$ 7,47	72.00	\$ 5,678.72
g. Patient care costs	-		I. TOTAL		\$ 15,113.69
2. Expenditures from Prior Periods (previou	usly reported)		· · ·		-0-
3. Cumulative Expenditures				·	15,113.69
4. Total Amount Awarded – Cumulatively			·	·	15,133.00
5. Unexpended Balance (Item 4 less Item 3)				19.31
6. Unliquidated Obligations					-0-
7. Unobligated Balance (Item 5 less Item 6)) 				19.31
8.a. Cost Sharing Information Grantee C	ontribution Th	is Perio	d ·		797.21
b. % of Total Project Costs (Item 8a divid	led by total of	Items 1	and 8a)	••• • •	% 5.0
9.a. Interest/Income (enclose check)		<u></u>	•		·
b. Other Refundable Income (enclose che	eck)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10. Remarks					

I hereby certify that this report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that all expenditures reported herein have been made in accordance with appropriate grant policies and for the purposes set forth in the application and award documents.

-

	May 27, 1980
Dr. E. K. Yeargers Associate Professor	Date
	5/28/80
SIGNATURE OF INSTITUTION OFFICER	DATE
David V. Welch, Manager, Grants & Contracts Accounting 404/894/4624 REPORT OF RESEARCH GRANT	
404/894/4624 REPORT OF RESEARCH GRANT	
EXPENDITURES	

.

Edward K. Yeargers 435-52-8113

3

Final Report: Project # 1-R01-AGO 1061-01 RAD

Project Name: The work to be described here was performed between January 1, 1979 and December 31, 1979. The title of the project is "Aging Effect of γ -Radiation on a Dormant Nematode".

Personnel: The Principal Investigator was Dr. Edward K. Yeargers, Associate Professor of Biology, who served during the full grant period at 25% time. No other professional personnel were involved.

Summary: The purpose of this research was to investigate the lifeshortening effect of an externally-applied insult to a nematode while this animal was in a state of slow metabolism - the dauer state. I found that 6×10^4 rad of γ -rays given during the "non-aging" state have a real, but small, effect on the lifespan of the worm - following resumption of the normal life cycle. The results are consistent with either of two explanations: a. Aging in this nematode is mainly controlled by an internal, pre-programmed mechanism which is largely unaffected by large doses of ionizing radiation. b. The nematode has a very efficient radiation-resistant repair mechanism for damage from ionizing radiation, even when in the dauer condition.

The Experimental System: <u>Caenorhabditis elegans</u> is a free-living, normally-hermaphroditic nematode. It has been used in studies on aging in a number of laboratories because of its ease of culture, small size (adults are about 1 mm long), short lifespan, specific aging symptoms, and simple body-organization (1). <u>C</u>. <u>elegans</u> undergoes four "obligate" larval stages (L1-L4) following hatching of the egg. At 20° C, the adult, reproductive stage occurs about three days after hatching. Four days later, egg-laying ceases and degenerative changes of physical, chemical, and morphological natures associated with aging become noticeable; death occurs about 10 days later, depending on the specific culture techniques used. Careful work in several laboratories has resulted in accurate data on the morphological and cellular changes which occur in <u>C</u>. <u>elegans</u> as it progresses through its life cycle (2-6). The various developmental changes between laying of the egg and the death of the adult occur at reasonably predictable times for given culture conditions.

If <u>C</u>. <u>elegans</u> larvae are starved early (starting at about 10 hours), they enter the "dauer" stage at the second molt, i.e., at the end of L2 (4,5). Compared to normal L3 worms, dauer larvae apparently are in a muchlowered metabolic state; resumption of feeding and a return to normal growth follow exposure to sufficiently high food concentration. It has been reported, however, that the post-dauer survival time of <u>C</u>. <u>elegans</u> is independent of the length of the dauer stage. Thus, <u>C</u>. <u>elegans</u> apparently may not age significantly during that period (6).

Report on the Work: Inasmuch as the post-dauer lifetime of <u>C</u>. elegans is independent of the time spent by the worm as a dauer larva, it can thus be inferred that dauer larvae do not age. This observation suggests strongly that external insults (e.g. cosmic radiation, air pollutants) may be far less important in aging in <u>C</u>. elegans than preprogrammed aging. I originally proposed that an external insult - in the form of large doses of γ -rays would test the ability of C. elegans dauer larvae to resist or repair

1

Edward K. Yeargers 435-52-8113

ъ

radiation-induced damage. If the post-dauer-survival was minimally affected by the γ -rays, the primacy of internal, as opposed to external, factors in aging would be buttressed.

Newly formed dauers were isolated and suspended in a non-nutritive nematode buffer, called M9, at a concentration of about 100/ml, in a cotton-stoppered test tube (3). Gamma-ray doses of 0, 5, 10, 25, or 60 krad were administered by placement of the worms at different distances from a cobalt-60 radiation source. These doses were given continuously over 3, 6, 10 or 20 day periods. After irradiation the dauers were placed on agar plates which contained their normal bacterial food; reversion to obligate stages by the worms occurred within 12 hours. The obligate worms were checked daily until they died.

Results and Discussion: The results are given in Table 1. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for the mean lifetime are given in order that individual mean lifetimes can be compared. However, a comparison of variation in all lifetimes with dose alone (independent of irradiation time) or of variation in all lifetimes with irradiation time alone (independent of dose) cannot be made accurately with such confidence intervals, inasmuch as such pooling of data leads to large errors. Thesse kinds of comparisons <u>can</u> be made satisfactorily by the method of analysis of variance, a procedure which was run on the data of Table 1. Analysis of variance shows that the differences in mean lifetimes with dose alone and irradiation time alone are significant at the 0.1% level. This indicates that the effects of dose and irradiation time on the post-dauer lifetimes are real although, from consideration of the overlap of the individual confidence intervals in Table 1, small. The major variation apparently is a post-dauer lifetime increase after 10 krad of radiation given over three to ten days.

These data indicate that, using post-dauer lifespan as an index, <u>C. elegans</u> dauer larvae are rather tolerant of ionizing radiation compared to most organisms. A number of insects and some microorganisms also can withstand several tens of kilorads before showing effects (7). Rather than being interested in mortality curves, my purpose was to apply to the "non-aging" nematode a stress which normally has the effect of shortening lifespan. With this in mind, it is clear that a considerable radiation stress has only a small effect on post-dauer survival time.

Two different explanations, taken separately or together, are possible: First, it may be that aging, as measured here, is rather strictly under internal control in the nematode - as long as the animal does not suffer gross mechanical injury. The nature of such an internal mechanism is unclear; it would have to be resistant to large doses of radiation. A small, nonprotein hormone, for instance, might be appropriate. Its size would give a small probability of being "hit" in an inactivation event; proteins are inactivated, typically, by doses of the order of 10 krad. Second, the γ -radiation may well be causing damage which could affect aging, as measured here, but the damage is repaired. The evidence for repair of radiation damage is extensive (8). The usual interpretation of the dauer state, however, is that it is a metabolically-depressed state, 02 consumption being only about 10% that of obligate stages for the same worm (9). Thus, the likelihood of a repair mechanism would be lessened inasmuch as it would surely require energy. On the other hand, the question of whether a "dormant" dauer larva actually has a metabolically depressed repair system has not ever been addressed, to my knowledge.

2

Edward K. Yeargers 435-52-8113

3

Literature Cited:

- Zuckerman, B.M., in Spec. Rev. Exp. Aging Res., ed. Elias, M.F., p. 429 (1976).
- 2. Sulston, J.E., and H.R. Horvitz, Dev. Biol. 56 110 (1977).
- 3. Byerly, L., R.C. Cassada, and R.L. Russell, Dev. Biol. 51 23 (1976).
- 4. Hirsh, D., D. Oppenheim, and M. Klass, Dev. Biol. 49 200 (1976).
- 5. Cassada, R.C., and R.L. Russell, Dev. Biol. 46 326 (1975).
- 6. Klass, M. and D. Hirsh, Nature 260 523 (1976).
- Bacq, F., and P. Alexander "Fundamentals of Radiobiology," Pergamon Press 1961 p. 301.
- 8. Alper, T., in "Sterilization of Medical Products by Ionizing Radiation", International Conference, Vienna 1977, Multisciences Publ. 1978, p. 9.
- 9. Dr. G. Anderson, Georgia Institute of Technology, Personal communication (1979).

Publication Submitted to the Journal of Nematology:

"Aging Effect of γ-Radiation on Dauer Larvae of Carnorhabditis elegans"

Edward Yeargers 435-52-8113

Table 1: Average post-dauer lifetimes $(\bar{\tau})$, 95% confidence intervals of the sample mean (CI₉₅), and sample sizes (n) for different irradiation times and doses.*

Irradiatio time in da		Irradia	tion dose in	n krad.	•	-
	0	5	10	25	60	•
•	$\tau = 9.4$					-
: 0	$CI_{95} = \pm 0.5$					
	n = 61	•		•	•	
·	9.6	9.6	10.1	- 9.2	9.0	
3	±0.8	±1.0	±0.6	±0.6	±0.8	
	29	. 27	34	31	35	
<u> </u>	9.7	9.6	10.2	9.5	9.3	
6	±0.4	±0.5	±0.6	±0.6	±0.4	
	40	43	45	35	92	
	10.2	10.9	12.0	9.3	9.8	 .
10	±1.2	±0.9	±0.6	±0.7	±0.6	
• 27	• 27	17	23	22	42	
<u> </u>	10.2	9.7	9.6	9.7	9.1	
20	±0.5	±0.4	±0.4	±0.4	±0.4	
	53	52	44	. 42	71	

*Notes: a. The lifetime of each worm is accurate to one day. The extra decimal in the above data reflects the fact that, with large sample sizes, the average is more accurate than its components.

b. CI₉₅ is that interval about the sample mean within which one can be 95% certain that the true mean lies. This provides a method for comparing lifetimes for different doses and/or irradiation times. As explained in [Notes: continued on next page

Edward Yeargers 435-52-8113

}}

(Notes: continuation)

the text, analysis of variance methods showed overall effects of dose alone and time alone which were both significant at the 0.1% level.

5