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ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STATION
georgia institute of technology - atlanta, georgia 30332
12 May 1975

Johns Hopkins University<br>Applied Physics Laboratory<br>8621 Georgia Avenue<br>Silver Spring, Maryland 20910<br>Attention: Dr. C. C. Kilgus<br>Reference: APL Subcontract No. 600128, Task 5 (Brassboard SEASAT Antenna Evaluation)<br>Subject: Monthly Contract Technical Report No. 1 covering the period 1 March 1975 to 30 April 1975

Dear Dr. Kilgus:

This is the first monthly progress letter under Task 5 of the above referenced contract and covers the period 1 March 1975 to 30 April 1975.

Contract negotiations were completed during this report period for Task 5 under the above referenced contract and this effort has been designoted as Georgia Tech Project A-1617-010. D. G. Bodnar will serve as project director and N. T. Alexander will serve as assistant project director on subject task. This project will be administratively assigned to the Sensor Systems Division of Georgia Tech.

A meeting was held at Georgia Tech on 13 February 1975 to review the Georgia Tech SEASAT scatterometer antenna program. Attendees of the meeting were C. C. Kilgus from APL, V. Yeffstig from NASA -WFC, D. Scaff of JPL, and D. G. Bodnar, N. T. Alexander, R. M. Goodman, Jr., and H. P. Cotton from Georgia Tech. Design concepts and program milestones were discussed during the meeting.
J. M. Schuchardt of the Special Techniques Division of Georgia Tech was appointed in February 1975 as Reliability and Quality Assurance Engineer for the SEASAT-A Program at Georgia Tech. Mr. Schuchardt discussed by telephone the R\&QA requirement for SEASAT with M. Cole of APL on 18 February and C. M. Russel of APL on 21 February 1975.
D. G. Bodiar contacted M. C. Bailey of NASA-LRC by telephone on 3 March 1975 to determine the latest antenna requirements for the scatterometer antennas. A comparison of these specifications and a slightly different set obtained verbally from APL was sent to C. C. Kilgus of APL on 3 April 1975.

A group of test sections of slotted waveguides were fabricated for slot conductance measurements during the reporting period. Unfortunately, the edge slots were improperly cut due to flexure of the end mill cutter. A shorter cutter has been obtained to help alleviate this flexure and new edge slot test sections will be cut. The broadwall slot test sections must also be recut since these slots were accidentally cut longer than can be used. It is expected that the new test sections will be ready during the next reporting period.

A SEASAT meeting was held at Georgia Tech on 24 April 1975. Attendees of the meeting included C. C. Kilgus, M. Shields, L. D. Eckard, H. W. Wong of APL, R. D. Welsh, Jr. of NASA-WFC, W. F. Croswell, L. A. Williams and W. H. Lee of NASA-LRC, and D. G. Bodnar, N. T. Alexander, R. M. Goodman, Jr., H. P. Cotton and R. R. Sheppard of Georgia Tech. Discussion concerned tolerance requirements, cost drivers and prices for various options on the space qualified antennas.

Respectfully submitted,
-

Donald G. Bodnar
Project Director Project A-1617-010

DGB: 1b
Approved: $>$
E. K. Reedy, Head

Systems Technology Branch
Sensor Systems Division
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10 June 1975

Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910<br>Attention: Dr. C. C. Kilgus<br>Reference: APL Subcontract No. 600128, Task 5 (Brassboard SEASAT Antenna Evaluation)<br>Subject: Monthly Contract Technical Report No. 2, Covering the Period 1 May 1975 to 31 May 1975

Dear Dr. Kilgus:
This is the second monthly progress letter under Task 5 of the above referenced contract and covers the period 1 May 1975 to 31 May 1975.

On May 16, 1975 a letter was sent to Mr. K. M. Taylor of APL by Mr. R. H. Tatum of Georgia Tech requesting a redirection of effort on the program as discussed by Dr. C. C. Kilgus of APL and Dr. D. G. Bodnar of Georgia Tech. Basically the request called for deletion of the construction of the full length brassboard antenna and performance of additional mechanical studies.

Dr. C. C. Kilgus gave Georgia Tech a new set of specifications for the electrical performance of the SEASAT scatterometer antennas during the 24 April 1975 SEASAT meeting at Georgia Tech. A substantial change in frequency from 13.9 GHz to 14.595 GHz was called for and a relaxation of sidelobe levels from 25 dB for all cuts to 20 dB and 13 dB for cuts in the narrow beam and broad beam planes, respectively. This change in specifications required a redesign of the antenna since the desired performance could be obtained with a substantially smaller antenna. Thus a potential savings in weight and overall size of the antenna might be possible. The redesigned Mode I scatterometer antenna would have a minimum cross section of 12.7 cm ( 5.0 inches) high by 10.2 cm ( 4.0 inches) deep by 254 cm ( 100 inches) long while the Mode II minimum cross section would be 7.6 cm ( 3.0 inches) high by 5.1 cm ( 2.0 inches) deep by 254 cm (100 inches) long. Evaluation is currently underway to determine if the reduced transverse (to the long dimension) cross section will be stiff enough or if a larger box must be built around the active antenna structure to provide the requisite rigidity.

New quarter-length horns had to be made based on the new antenna dimensions to evaluate the effect on slot conductance. Drawings for these were sent to the shop during this reporting period, but fabrication has not yet
been completed. The new broadwall and edge wall slotted waveguide test sections were completed during the reporting period. Slot conductance measurements will be initiated as soon as the new horns are completed.

Preliminary data was measured on a broadwall slot antenna and on an edge slot antenna that had been built earlier in the program without the benefit of slot conductance data measured at 14.6 GHz , the new operating frequency. This data was measured simply to obtain some performance data from which to scale. The edge slot array, designated E3A, used a 1.52 cm ( 0.600 inch) slot spacing which produces a nominal $5.0^{\circ}$ scan of the beam from array broadside at 14.6 GHz . This array had 48 slots and was placed in an uncorrugated trough horn designated for 13.9 GHz . The output of the horn was covered with a wire grid polarization filter. Measured data at 14.6 GHz for this horizontally polarized array is given in Table $I$. Without the grid cross polarized lobes were only 7 dB below the main beam due to the large tilt angle of the slots. The polarization grid reduced cross polarization by 19 dB . The full length array will require smaller tilt angles for the slots and so the cross polarization of the full length array will be much lower without the polarization grid than it is for the quarter-length array. It may be possible to eliminate the grid in the final antennas if the cross polarization is reduced sufficiently by the decrease in slot angles. The calculated beam position is $5.0^{\circ}$ compared to the measured $3.9^{\circ}$. The difference between these two values, it is felt, is due to the fact that a great deal of care was not used in setting up the angle reference for these preliminary measurements. The high azimuth plane sidelobe level is the result of the lack of information on slot conductance at 14.6 GHz when the design was performed. VSWR, cross polarization, and elevation plane parameters appear acceptable.

Preliminary data was also taken at 14.6 GHz on a broadwall slot antenna. The array and its uncorrugated trough horn were both designed for 13.9 GHz operation and so the performance was not expected to be very good at 14.6 GHz . However, no other broadwall slot antenna was available at the time. The broadwall slot array, designated B 1 , had 41 slots and used a 1.78 cm ( 0.700 inch) slot spacing which produces a nominal $10.5^{\circ}$ scan of the beam from broadside at 14.6 GHz . The measured data for this vertically polarized antenna is given in Table $I$ and shows that VSWR and sidelobe levels are acceptable. The elevation plane beamwidth is too narrow since the aperture was designed for 13.9 GHz operation with corrugations in the trough horn. The corrugations were covered with sheet metal for the measurements reported in Table $I$. The antenna gain was very low since the slot conductances turned out to be much smaller than assumed in the design.

The measurements reported in Table $I$ are intended to be used as preliminary data only and are not intended to imply any limitations of the proposed technique. Substantially better performance is expected using a design based on slot conductance data taken at 14.6 GHz . Measurement of the new slot data will begin shortly.

Isolation measurements were made with the two previously discussed antennas situated one above the other as they will be in the final configuration. Isolation between the two waveguide inputs was greater than 40 dB .

TABLE I

MEASURED DATA FOR PRELIMINARY QUARTER LENGTH SCATTEROMETER ANTENNAS AT 14.6 GHz

|  | Vertically Polarized Antenna |  | Horizontally Polarized Antenna |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Az. Plane | E1. Plane | Az. Plane | El. Plane |
| Beam Position | $12.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $3.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ |
| 3 dB Beamwidth | 2.1 | $18.7^{\circ}$ | $2.4^{\circ}$ | $24.3{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Sidelobe Level | -21 dB | $-13 \mathrm{~dB}$ | $-9 \mathrm{~dB} *(-19 \mathrm{~dB})$ | -25 |
| Gain | 20.4 dBi | - | 25.9 dBi | - |
| Cross Polarization | N.M. | N.M. | $-26 \mathrm{~dB}$ | -32 dB |
| VSWR | $1.3: 1$ | - | $1.1: 1$ | - |

*Shoulder
N.M. $=$ not measured

The electrical redesign of the scatterometer antenna requires reduced horn aperture dimensions and the mechanical design has been altered to incorporate these revised dimensions. The broadwall and edge slot array horns have been incorporated into a single assembly. This yields advantages in fabrication weight and thermal transport. The large temperature differential between the horns which existed in the previous design is eliminated. The smaller overall dimensions of the antenna allow the use of 0.030 inch thick aluminum sheet; the previous design required the use of 0.015 inch material due to the weight restrictions.

The smaller cross section ( $5 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{x} 4 \mathrm{in}$.) of the Mode I antennas also allows the antennas to be tilted $40.5^{\circ}$ in the stowed configuration. A double acting hinge which would rotate the antenna at deployment is therefore no longer a requirement; a single pin hinge can be used.

The estimated weight of the Mode I antenna is 19 pounds, down from the 25.3 pound estimated weight for the two horn assemblies of the previous design. The lower weight estimate is due to the elimination of the broadwall slot array horn corrugations, the incorporation of the two horns into one assembly, and the reduction of the overall dimensions.

The moments of inertia of the Mode I dual horn assembly, taken about the vertical and horizontal axes of the cross section, have been calculated to be 1.5 in $^{4}$ and 3.1 in $^{4}$, respectively. The section moduli of the cross seclion in the same directions were calculated and found to be $1.0 \mathrm{in}^{3}$ and $1.3 \mathrm{in}^{3}$, respectively.

The survey of applicable materials was substantially extended to insure the most advantageous choice of materials. Emphasis was placed on boron-epoxy, glass-epoxy, graphite-epoxy composite materials and extruded polystyrene foams. Advanced welding techniques including plasma arc, ion beam, laser beam and weld bonding were investigated. A foam and fiberglass design for the scattermeter antenna was also considered.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Respectfully submitted, } \\
& \text { - } \\
& \text { Donald G. Bodnar } \\
& \text { Project Director } \\
& \text { Project A-1617-010 }
\end{aligned}
$$

DGB:1b

## Approved: ,

E. K. Reedy, Head

Systems Technology Branch
Sensor Systems Division
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16 July 1975

Johns Hopkins University<br>Applied Physics Laboratory<br>8621 Georgia Avenue<br>Silver Spring, Maryland 20910<br>Attention: Dr. C. C. Kilgus<br>Reference: APL Subcontract No. 600128, Task 5 (Brassboard SEASAT Antenna Evaluation)<br>Subject: Monthly Contract Technical Report No. 3, Covering the Period 1 June to 30 June 1975

Dear Dr. Kilgus:
This is the third monthly progress letter under Task 5 of the above referenced contract and covers, the period 1 June 1975 to 30 June 1975.

The quarter-length trough horns were completed by the Georgia Tech shop during this reporting period. One horn was made for the edge siot array and one horn was made for the broadwall-slot array. Conductance measurements have been started with the waveguide tests completed during the preceding reporting period and the above mentioned horns. Measurements are made with a test section in its horn to duplicate the environment that the final arrays will experience. Conductance measurements are made for a fixed edge slot depth and a fixed broadwall slot length. The edge slots are then cut a little deeper and the broadwall slots a little longer and then the test sections are remeasured. In this manner slot conductance versus slot depth in the case of the edge slots and slot conductance versus slot length in the case of the broadwall slots is obtained. It is anticipated that these measurements will be completed during the next reporting period. Quarter-length arrays will be built based on the measured slot conductance data.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald G. Bodnar<br>Project Director<br>Project A-1617-010

Approved:


द E. K. Ruedy, Head Systems Technology Branelh Sensor Systems Division

DGB: 1b
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11 August 1975

Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Springs, Maryland 20910<br>Attention: Dr. C. C. Kilgus<br>Reference: APL Subcontract No. 600128, Task 5 (Brassboard SEASAT Antenna Evaluation)<br>Subject: Monthly Contract Technical Report No. 4, Covering the Period 1 July to 31 July 1975<br>Dear Dr. Kilgus:

This is the fourth monthly progress letter under Task 5 of the above referenced contract and covers the period 1 July 1975 to 31 July 1975.

The broadwall and edge slot conductance measurements were completed during this reporting period. Quarter length arrays were designed based on this measured data. Two broadwall arrays and two edge slot arrays were designed and fabrication of them has been completed. The four arrays built were (1) a high efficiency broadwall slot array, (2) a low efficiency broadwall slot array, (3) a high efficiency edge slot array, and (4) a low efficiency edge slot array. The high efficiency arrays were built to demonstrate that efficient scatterometer antennas could be designed and built. These high efficiency antennas, however, have larger slot displacements in the case of the broadwall slots and larger slot tilts in the case of the edge slots than will be present in the full length arrays. The low efficiency arrays were built to demonstrate that the desired pattern performance could be achieved with the smaller slot displacements and slot tilts expected from the final full length arrays. Using smaller displacements and tilts in the quarter length arrays means less power is coupled from the guide and hence more power is dissipated in the load. Consequently, these quarter length arrays are inefficient. The full length arrays will be four times longer than the current arrays and so will couple out essentially all of the power from the waveguide.

The assembled quarter length antennas are ready for testing. Initial VSWR measurements look very good. It is anticipated that the required rf testing will be completed during the next reporting period.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald G. Bodnar
Project Director
Project A-1617-010

## Approved;

E. K. Reedy, Head

Systems Technology Branch
Sensor Systems Division
DGB: 1b
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8 September 1975

Johns Hopkins University<br>Applied Physics Laboratory<br>8621 Georgia Avenue<br>Silver Springs, Maryland 29010<br>Attention: Dr. C. C. Kilgus<br>Reference: APL Subcontract No. 600128, Task 5 (Brassboard SEASAT Antenna Evaluation)

Subject: Monthly Contract Technical Report No. 5, Covering the Period 1 August to 31 August 1975

Dear Dr. Kilgus:
This is the fifth monthly progress letter under Task 5 of the above referenced contract and covers the period 1 August 1975 to 31 August. 1975.

Testing of the assembied quarter length antennas is nearing completion. Parallel polarized test results for the broadwall slot arrays appear satisfactory, while measurement problems occurred for cross-polarized measurements. Measurements made for the edge slot arrays indicate generally satisfactory data, except in the area of parallel and cross-polarized sidelobes. An examination of the measurement technique indicated that an accurate $90^{\circ}$ rotation of the transmitter antenna was not being performed while taking crosspolarized data. In addition, the quarter-length scatterometer antennas had not been properly oriented to reduce ground reflections. Consequently, new. cross-polarized measurements are being made on the edge slot and broadwall slot arrays. An additional problem was encountered in making the edge slot measurements. When the slots are cut in the wall of an edge slot array, the waveguide tends to bow. If the waveguide is not held straight while in the horn, then phase error is produced in the aperture distribution, altering the sidelobe level. Higher than expected and asymmetric sidelobes were observed for the edge slot array, indicating that the waveguide was not straight while the measurements were being taken. Preliminary remeasurements on these edge slot arrays showed that the asymmetry in the sidelobe level was removed when the waveguides were restraightened. No provision was made in the design of the quarter length test sections to accurately hold the waveguide straight, since this was not expected to be a problem. Consequently, the bending problem arose. Trovisions will be made in the flight qualified hardware for accurately holding the waveguide straight.

The majority of the data measured thus far meets or exceeds the SEASAT antenna requirements. The measurement technique errors described above are being corrected and additional data taken for these cases. Work has begun on the final report and it is anticipated that this writing should be completed near the end of September.

# Respectfully submitted, 1 

Donald G. Bodnar<br>Project Director<br>Project A-1617-010

Approved:
E. K. Reedy, Chief

Radar Applications Division
Systems \& Techniques Laboratory
DGB: 1D
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17 October 1975


#### Abstract

Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Springs, Maryland 29010 Attention: Dr. C. C. Kilgus Reference: APL Subcontract No. 600128, Task 5 (Brassboard SEASAT Antenna Evaluation)

Subject: Monthly Contract Technical Report No. 6, Covering the Period 1 September to 30 September 1975

Dear Dr. Kilgus: This is the sixth monthly progress letter under Task 5 of the above referenced contract and covers the period 1 September 1975 to 30 September 1975.


A final design review meeting on the SEASAT scatterometer antenna was held at Georgia Tech on 9 September 1975. The antenna requirements were reviewed, microwave and mechanical analyses and current designs presented, and results of the tests of the partial brassboard models discussed. Attending the meeting were C. C. Kilgus and L. D. Eckard, Jr., of APL, D. Staff of JPL, V. L. Yeffstig of NASA/WFC, and Georgia Tech personnel.

The writing of the final report is near completion. It is expected that the writing will be finished during the next month, and that final typing of the report should be complete near the end of October.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald G. Bodnàr
Project Director
Project A-1617-010
Approved:

```
,!
```

6. E. K. Reedy, Chief

Radar Applications Division Systems \& Techniques Laboratory

DGB:1b

## ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STATION

georgia institute of technology - atlanta, georgia 30332
12. November 1975

## Johns Hopkins University

Applied Physics Laboratory 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Springs, Maryland 29010

Attention: Dr. C. C. Kilgus
Reference: APL Subcontract No. 600128, Task 5 (Brassboard SEASAT Antenna Evaluation)

Subject: Monthly Contract Technical Report No. 7, Covering the Period 1 October to 31 October 1975

Dear Dr. Kilgus:
This is the seventh monthly progress letter under Task 5 of the above referenced contract and covers the period 1 October 1975 to 31 October 1975.

Preparations are being made to ship the model scatterometer antennas to APL. Testing of these antennas is complete, and they will not be required on future portions of the contract. The typing of the final report has been completed and it has been sent to the Photo Lab for reproduction.

Respectfully submitted,
fーレ・•••
Donald G. Bodnar
Project Director
Project A-1617-010
Approved :

E. K. Reedy, Chief

Radar Applications Division Systems \& Techniques Laboratory

DGB:1b

## ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STATION

georgia institute of technology - atlanta. georgia 30332

2 December 1975
Johns Hopkins University
Applied Physics Laboratory
8621 Georgia Avenue
Silver Springs, Maryland 29010
Attention: Dr. C. C. Kilgus
Reference: APL Subcontract No. 600128, Task 5 (Brassboard SEASAT Antenna Evaluation)
Subject: Monthly Contract Technical Report No. 8, Covering the Period 1 November to 30 November 1975
Dear Dr. Kilgus:
This is the eighth and final monthly progress letter under Task 5 of the above referenced contract and covers the period 1 November 1975 to 30 November 1975.
The final report was reproduced and sent to APL during this reporting period. In addition, two of the model scatterometer antennas built under Task 5 of the contract were shipped to Dr. C. C. Kilgus during the reporting period.

Respectfully submitted,

Approved: 7

Donald G. Bodnar
Project Director
Project A-1617-010
$\square$
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#### Abstract

A preliminary electrical, mechanical, and thermal design for the Mode I and Mode II flight antennas of the SEASAT-A satellite scatterometer has been performed. A non-resonant edge-slot array in a trough horn provides horizontal polarization, and a separate non-resonant broadwall slot array in a similar trough horn provides vertical polarization. These antennas operate at 14.6 GHz and combine to produce a dual polarized fan beam. A stiffened thin-wall box-beam structure is used to maintain the requisite mechanical tolerances. Both mechanical and electrical design considerations of the antenna are presented. Full frequency, quarter-length brassband model antennas were fabricated for both the edge slot and broadwall slot arrays and tested for conformance to the current specifications. Measured data on these antennas is presented and indicates that the desired performance from the full length antennas can be achieved with the design utilized.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

A microwave scatterometer experiment is one of the microwave experiments planned for the SEASAT-A satellite. The microwave scatterometer determines wind speed and direction by measuring radar cross section of a surface element of the ocean from two orthogonal azimuth elements. The function of the scatterometer antennas is to provide the scatterometer radar with dual polarized, fan-beam views of the earth's surface. Four beams oriented at $\pm 45^{\circ}$ and $\pm 135^{\circ}$ from the velocity vector are needed and will be produced by the Mode $I$ antennas. A fifth beam oriented along the velocity vector is also required and will be produced by a Mode II antenna. The beam centers lie along a cone with a half-angle of $40.7^{\circ}$ from nadir. These five fan beams will be produced by five waveguide antennas properly oriented to produce the correct footprint on the earth. One design is used for the Mode $I$ antennas and a slightly modified design is used for the Mode II beam. The desired footprint of the beams on the earth's surface is obtained by deployment of the antennas through single axis rotation from the spacecraft vehicle.

The Engineering Experiment Station at the Georgia Institute of Technology has performed a preliminary electrical, mechanical, and thermal design for the Mode $I$ and Mode II scatterometer flight antennas. This report documents the design studies and presents the final results and recommendations resulting from the study. In addition, this report presents measured data on full frequency, quarter-length models of the scatterometer antennas which were designed and built to validate the electrical performance predicted by the study.

Inquiries concerning the contents of this report should reference Project A-1617-010 and should be directed to:

[^0]
## II. FLIGHT ANTENNA DESIGN

## A. Performance Requirements

The electrical performance requirements for the scatterometer antenna of the SEASAT-A satellite are given in Table $I$. These requirements differ from those of a previous SEASAT-A antenna study [1] primarily in that the frequency was changed from 13.9 GHz to 14.6 GHz and that the sidelobe requirements have been relaxed. The mechanical performance requirements for this antenna are given in Table II and Figures 1, 2, 3. A preliminary electrical, mechanical and thermal design for the scatterometer antenna has been performed based on the new requirements. The results of this design are presented in this report.
B. Electrical Design

Five dual-polarized fan beams are required from the SEASAT-A scatterometer antenna system. The four beams of the Mode $I$ antenna are identical in all respects except for their angular orientation in space. The Mode II antenna has the same narrow-plane beamwidth as the Mode I antenna, but its broad plane beamwidth is roughly twice that of the Mode I antennas. After considering a number of alternative antenna designs [1], an optimum antenna for the scatterometer radar was selected to be a pair of slotted waveguides feeding separate trough horns. A traveling-wave array was recommended rather than a standing-wave array in order to increase the bandwidth available from the relatively long waveguide structure. Separate slotted arrays in separate trough horns are used to obtain the desired vertical and horizontal polarizations and maintain good isolation between the two polarization channels. Horizontal polarization is obtained from an edge slot waveguide array feeding a trough horn while vertical polarization is obtained from a broadwall slot array feeding a separate trough horn.

TABLE I

MICROWAVE PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SEASAT-A SCATTEROMETER ANTENNA

| Parameter | Mode I Antenna | Mode II Antenna |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 14.595 GHz | 14.595 GHz |
| Bandwidth | $\pm 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ | $\pm 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| View Angles | $\pm 45^{\circ}$ and $\pm 135^{\circ}$ with respect to velocity vector <br> $40.7^{\circ}$ with respect to nadir | Single beam along velocity vector <br> $42.0^{\circ}$ with respect to nadir |
| 3-dB Beamwidth | $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{Az}$ by $25^{\circ} \mathrm{El}$ | $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{Az}$ by $40^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 1$ |
| Power Gain | 32.5 dBi peak <br> 25 dBi @ $\pm 19.5^{\circ} \mathrm{El}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \mathrm{dBi} \text { peak } \\ & 17 \mathrm{dBi} @ \pm 42.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Elevation Pattern Slope | $\frac{\leq 1.0 \mathrm{~dB}}{\mathrm{E} 1} /^{\circ} \text { over }+19.5^{\circ}$ | $\leq 1.3 \mathrm{~dB} /{ }^{\circ}$ over $+42.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 1$ |
| Polarization | Vertical and Horizontal <br> Cross Pol $\leq-20 \mathrm{~dB}$ | Vertical and Horizontal Cross Pol $\leq-20 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| Sidelobe Levels | $\begin{aligned} & -20 \mathrm{~dB} \\ & -12 \mathrm{Az} \\ & -12 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} -20 & \mathrm{~dB} & \mathrm{Az} \\ -12 & \mathrm{~dB} & \mathrm{E} 1 \end{array}$ |
| Beam Pointing Error | TBDL | TBDL |
| Gain Variation due to Thermal Beam Steering |  |  |
| Predictable to Within <br> Isolation Between Vertical/Horizontal | $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{~dB}$ max | $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{~dB}$ max |
| Antennas | $>20 \mathrm{~dB}$ | $>20 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| Radiated Power | 2.5 kW peak, 15 W avg <br> 125W peak, 30W avg | 2.5 kW peak, 15 W avg 125W peak, 30W avg |
| VSWR | $\leq 1.3: 1$ | $\leq 1.3: 1$ |
| Weight | $40 \mathrm{lb} \max$ ( 30 lb goal) | $40 \mathrm{lb} \max (30 \mathrm{lb}$ goal) |

TABLE II

MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SEASAT-A SCATTEROMETER ANTENNAS

| Envelope: | See Figure 1. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Weight: | 40 pounds each (30 pound goal) |
| Quasi-static acceleration*: | Axial Lateral |
| Liftoff | - $4.0 \mathrm{~g} \quad+6.0 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Maximum dynamic head | $\pm 2.5 \mathrm{~g} \quad \pm 8.0 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Maximum acceleration | +10.0 g +4.0g |
| Shock: |  |
| Shape | half sine wave |
| Duration | 0.5 millisecond |
| Amplitude | 100 g |
| Acoustics: | See Figure 2. |
| Random Vibration: | See Figure 3. |
| Temperature, pressure \& humidity: | Ground checkout, launch and earth orbit environments |
| Reliability: | 1 year operational |

Reliability:
1 year operational
*positive load factor is acting aft (forward acceleration).
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Figure 1. SEASAT launch configuration.


Figure 2. Acoustic noise level for the scatterometer antenna.


Figure 3. Random vibration spectrum for the scatterometer antenna.

## 1. Slot Conductance

Accurate slot data must be available on the individual slots in order to perform an accurate electrical design for a slotted waveguide antenna. To obtain this information, slot conductance measurements were performed on four broadwall slot test sections and on four edge slot test sections. Each section consisted of 20 identically displaced slots. In the broadwall case, displacements of $0.13,0.76,1.14$ and $1.52 \mathrm{~mm}(0.005,0.030,0.045$ and 0.060 inches) were used for the four sections with slots alternately displaced about the center line. It was felt that this range of slot displacements would cover the range of displacements encountered in the final antenna design. The four edge slot sections consisted of $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}$, and $20^{\circ}$ tilts of the slots. Slot conductance measurements were performed on all these sections using the lossy moving short technique [2]. Broadwall slot conductance measurements were made for one length of slots. The slots were then cut slightly longer, and remeasured. This process was repeated until the peak in the conductance curve had been passed. Similarly, for the edge slots, the slots were cut to a particular depth and slot conductance measurements made. The slots were then cut deeper and remeasured, and the cutting measurement process repeated until the peak of the conductance curve had been past. From these measurements the resonant length of the slots was determined as were the slot conductance versus slot displacement and versus slot angle. A design can be performed from these data to obtain a slot antenna with the desired sidelobe level in the azimuth plane. The slot conductance measurements were made in the final horn geometry which is planned for the flight antennas. In this way the slot conductance measurements were made in the actual environment that they would experience in final flight antennas.

## 2. Horn Design

A cross section of the basic broadwall slot antenna is shown in Figure 4. This antenna consists of a slotted waveguide feeding a parallel plate section which, in turn, is attached to a trough horn. Figure 5 shows the basic edge slot antenna configuration. This antenna also comprises a slotted waveguide, parallel plate section, and trough horn.

The height of the parallel plate section for the broadwall slot antenna was selected so that second order lobes in the E-plane pattern would not occur. The length of the parallel plate section was chosen to sufficiently attenuate any higher order modes that may be present in the parallel plate section.

The length of the horns, $L_{B}$ and $L_{E}$, were chosen long enough to prevent excessive phase error in the aperture. A horn length was selected using the usual criterion that the phase error at the horn aperture be less than $\lambda / 8$. For the Mode $I$ antennas the horn aperture was selected to be 4.19 cm (1.65 inches) for the broadwa11 slot array horn and 5.72 cm ( 2.25 inches) for the edge slot array horn in order to produce the required beamwidth. The edge slot horn aperture must be bigger than that for the broadwall slot antenna due to the cosine taper of the aperture distribution for the edge slot array. The Mode II horn requires a 2.62 cm ( 1.03 inch) aperture for the broadwall slot antenna and a 3.35 cm ( 1.32 inch) aperture for the edge slot antenna.

## 3. Array Design

A design sidelobe level of -25 dB was chosen for the azimuth plane to provide some tolerance in achieving the desired -20 dB sidelobe level. A -25 dB Tay1or distribution was used to produce the narrow dimension of the fan beam since this distribution results in the shortest length array for the desired beamwidth. Based on the above distribution, the edge slot array and the broadwall slot array will each have 166 slots spaced 1.52 cm ( 0.6 inches) apart; the slot spacing was chosen to scan the beam slightly off broadside,
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Figure 4. Broadwall slot antenna.


Figure 5. Edge slot antenna.
thus preventing a high VSWR condition from occurring. The 1.52 cm ( 0.6 in ) slot spacing produces a nominal $5.0^{\circ}$ scan of the beam from broadside toward the load end of the array. This beam scan will be removed by means of either a compensating $5^{\circ}$ shim on the attachment flange to the antenna or by an additional $5^{\circ}$ rotation of the antenna during deployment.

## 4. Tolerance Analysis

Tight mechanical tolerances must be held on the SEASAT-A scatterometer antennas since they are narrow beam, high frequency devices. Some simple calculations are instructive in assessing the effects of tolerances on main beam pointing direction.

The direction of the main beam of the array is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{m}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{o}}{\lambda_{g}}-\frac{\lambda_{o}}{2 d}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

```
\(\theta_{m}=\) beam direction from broadside measured toward the load
\(\lambda_{0}=2 \pi / f=\) free space wavelength
\(\lambda_{g}=\lambda_{o}\left(1-\left(\lambda_{o} / 2 a\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\) guide wavelength
    d \(=\) slot spacing
    a = guide width
    \(T=\) temperature of waveguide
    \(\alpha=\) thermal coefficient of expansion of waveguide
    \(\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{frequency}\) of operation
```

Performing a Taylor series expansion of $\theta_{m}$ in Equation 1 for the variables f, d, and a, retaining only terms through linear, and evaluating at the expected design values of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}=14.6 \mathrm{GHz} \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{o}}=1.52 \mathrm{~cm}=0.600 \text { inches }  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}=1.58 \mathrm{~cm}=0.622 \text { inches }
\end{align*}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{m}}=4.9555^{\circ}+70.70^{\circ} \frac{\Delta \mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}+38.74^{\circ} \frac{\Delta \mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{o}}}+31.95^{\circ} \frac{\Delta \mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 3 permits deviations in beam pointing direction to be determined due to deviations in $f, d$, or $a$. Note that $d$ and a are both functions of temperature. Changes in temperature alone change $\theta_{m}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{m}}=4.9555^{\circ}+70.69^{\circ} \alpha \mathrm{T}=4.9555^{\circ}+9.048 \times 10^{-4} \Delta \mathrm{~T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for aluminum waveguide.
The changes, $\Delta \theta_{m}$, in beam pointing direction can be evaluated from (1) through (4) for various changes in $f, d, a$, or $T$. Only one set of $\Delta \theta_{m}$ values need be calculated since the changes in $\theta_{m}$ are linear with changes in $f, d$, a, and T. Table III lists a representative set of variations in $\theta_{m}$.

TABLE III
CHANGES IN BEAM POINTING DIRECTION DUE TO CHANGES IN FREQUENCY, MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS, AND TEMPERATURE

| Parameter Change | Change in Beam Pointing <br> Direction, $\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta \mathrm{f}= \pm 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ | $\underline{+0.0048^{\circ}= \pm 0.0097 \mathrm{BW}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{d}= \pm 0.001$ inches | $\pm 0.065^{\circ}= \pm 0.13 \mathrm{BW}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\Delta \mathbf{a}= \pm 0.001$ inches | $\pm 0.051^{\circ}= \pm 0.10 \mathrm{BW}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{T}= \pm 100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $\pm 0.090^{\circ}= \pm 0.18 \mathrm{BW}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ |

From Table III it can be seen that for the $0.5^{\circ}$ beamwidth scatterometer antennas:

1. The beam will be scanned by about $\pm 0.13$ beamwidths due to expected errors in slot position of $\Delta d= \pm 0.001$ inches. Hence, the beam center cannot be predicted accurately enough and will have to be measured after construction.
2. The beam will be scanned by about $\pm 0.30$ beamwidths due to expected errors in waveguide width of $\Delta a= \pm 0.003$ inches. Again, the beam center cannot be predicted accurately enough and will have to be measured after construction.
3. According to calculations by Georgia Tech, the exterior of the antenna will experience a $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $+200^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ temperature change if external temperature control coatings are not used. The beam would be scanned by $\pm 0.23$ beamwidths for the $\Delta T= \pm 130^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ if the antenna itself experiences this temperature change. Therefore, thermal coatings should be placed over the antenna to reduce the range of temperature variation that the antenna experiences. Calculations indicate that a $\Delta T= \pm 10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ can be achieved for the entire antenna, thus reducing thermal beam shift to an insignificant level.

In addition to these fixed errors, random or systematic errors in the mechanical location of antenna aperture may occur. The major effect of these errors will be to raise sidelobe levels. To maintain -25 dB design sidelobes, the aperture must be straight and flat to within $\lambda / 16$. Thus, peak-to-peak random variations in the aperture should be less than 0.13 mm ( 0.05 inches). Systematic bending (warping) of the aperture will also raise sidelobe levels. Representing the effect of this warping as a cubic phase error requires that the edge of the aperture be within $\lambda / 16$ or 0.13 mm ( 0.05 inches) of a plane defined by the center of the aperture.
5. Polarizer

One technique that is available to reduce cross polarized components in the edge slot array is through the use of a polarization grid across the aperture of the horn. This technique was considered for the SEASAT-A scatterometer application. In earlier specifications [1], -25 dB cross-
polarization sidelobes were required. It was felt that a polarization grid across the horn aperture would be required to achieve these sidelobe levels from the edge slot array which has inherently high cross polarization lobes. The current requirements have relaxed the cross polarization specification to -20 dB and it is felt that this cross polarization requirement can be met by the array itself without the use of a polarization grid. Measurements made by Georgia Tech on an array similar to that which will be flight qualified showed that cross polarization lobes of 20 dB or better can indeed be achieved in practice without the use of the grid.

## 6. Risk Areas

The majority of the design proposed herein utilizes proven techniques for achieving the desired electrical, mechanical, and thermal performance. In particular, the gain, beamwidth, and sidelobe levels should not be difficult to obtain. A potential problem arises in achieving the desired crosspolarized sidelobes from the edge slot array. Cross polarization occurs in the edge slot array due to tilting of the slots from vertical. The larger the slot tilts, the greater will be the cross polarization. However, since adjacent slots are inclined opposite to one another, adjacent slots tend to cancel each other's cross polarization. This cancellation is not perfect, however, since the tilt of the slots at the output end of the array, for example, is greater than that of the slots at the input end of the array. The end slots are tilted more since less power is available toward the load end and so that slots must therefore be inclined at larger angles. If the desired cross polarization cannot be obtained from the array itself, then it may be necessary to add a polarizing grid over the output aperture of the edge slot trough horn. This approach would definitely lower the level of the cross polarized lobes below the desired values.

An additional problem occurs in achieving the desired -12 dB sidelobe level in the elevation plane of the broadwall slot horn. The best performance achievable for an E-plane horn (as the trough horn of the broadwall slot array is) is -13.2 dB , and this occurs when the horn is infinitely long. The Eplane sidelobes will increase when phase error is introduced into the aperture of the horn by shortening its length. Mode1 tests must be performed to determine whether this -12 dB sidelobe level can be achieved without requiring an excessive horn length.

The two primary sources of deformation of the flight antennas are the lift-off environment and the orbital thermal environment. The predicted response of the proposed design to these environments is well within acceptable limits. Problems are possible in the fabrication of the antennas if proper tooling is not utilized. Maintaining the required dimensional tolerances over the 254 cm (100 in) length of the antennas at assembly requires the construction of very accurate fixtures. The bends in the aluminum skin require a precise mechanical break and the enforcement of exacting controls. The riveting operation must be precisely planned and executed to avoid deformation at assembly.
C. Mechanical Design

This section describes the mechanical design effort for the SEASAT-A scatterometer antenna system; both mechanical and thermal analyses upon which the design is based are presented. The predicted mechanical performance characteristics of the antennas are described and compared with the performance requirements received from APL.

## 1. Design Description

Five antennas are required for the SEASAT-A scatterometer antenna system. Four are Mode I antennas and one is a smaller Mode II antenna. The Mode I antennas are to be positioned about the periphery of the sensor module
in pairs. One pair is to operate at $45^{\circ}$ with respect to the vehicle velocity vector and the other pair at $-45^{\circ}$. The Mode II antenna is to lie perpendicular to the satellite velocity vector in the plane of the Mode $I$ antennas.

Each Mode I scatterometer antenna unit consists of two slotted aluminum waveguide arrays. One waveguide has numerically machined edge slots and the other utilizes broadwall slots. Each is equipped with a trough horn. As shown in Figure 6, the waveguides are fitted into aluminum extrusions to insure dimensional accuracy during launch, deployment, and operation. These extrusions have a wall thickness of 3.18 mm ( 0.125 inch). The remainder of the structure is to be fabricated using 0.76 mm ( 0.030 inch) thick aluminum sheet. The trough horns are riveted directly to the extrusions. By forming the skin sheeting as shown in Figure 6, a stiffened thin-wall box-beam structure is obtained which achieves high structural integrity with minimal weight. The skin sheeting is stiffened by internal ribs spaced at 12.7 cm (5 in) intervals along the 2.54 meter (100 in) length of the antennas.

The antennas are to be insulated using space qualified polystyrene foam. As depicted in Figure 7, the Mode I antennas are tilted at $40.5^{\circ}$ within the dual-antenna assembly. This insures that the antennas will be inclined $40.5^{\circ}$ from nadir when in the deployed configuration. The maximum thickness of the styrofoam insulation, due to launch configuration space limitations, is approximately $7.37 \mathrm{~cm}(2.9 \mathrm{in})$.

A cross-sectional drawing of the insulated Mode II antenna assembly appears in Figure 8. This antenna also has a 2.54 meter ( 100 in) inside trough length. The box-beam dimensions, however, are reduced. The same fabrication technique used in the Mode I design described above is utilized for the smaller Mode II antenna.


Material: 0.76 millimeter ( 0.030 inch) aluminum sheet Length: 2.54 meters (100 inches)

Figure 6. Mode I scatterometer antenna cross section.


Dimensions: Centimeters (inches)

Figure 7. Insulated Mode I dual antenna assembly.


Material: 0.76 millimeter ( 0.030 inch) aluminum sheet. Length: 2.54 meters (100 inches)

Figure 8. Insulated Mode II scatterometer assembly cross section.

## 2. Thermal Analysis

During ascent and after deployment in orbit, the scatterometer antennas will experience adverse thermal environments which will produce temperature gradients within the antennas. The effects of the resulting thermal expansion can cause severe dimensional deformation. In this section, the thermal considerations applicable to the design of the scatterometer antennas are discussed. The analysis necessary for the prediction of the thermal history of the antennas is presented with its resulting effect on the antenna design.

The orbital environment offers the most stringent requirements on the thermal design of the antennas. During ascent, heat produced by aerodynamic heating of the spacecraft is transferred in varying amounts to the payload. This effect is small when compared to the cyclic temperature effects after orbital deployment. The deployed configuration is therefore taken as the limiting design criterion.

## a. Orbital Heat Flux

In the vacuum of space the primary heat transfer mechanism is that of radiation, as opposed to conduction or convection. The antennas lose thermal energy by radiation to the infinite heat sink of outer space and receive energy by radiation from the sun and the earth. The total thermal energy incident on the antennas is of three types: (1) direct solar radiation, (2) albedo, and (3) earth thermal radiation. Direct solar irradiance just outside the earth's atmosphere varies from $1350 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $428 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) to $1440 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(457 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ [3]. The total irradiance at the earth's mean distance from the sun is referred to as the solar constant. Part of the solar energy incident on the earth is scattered by the atmosphere and reflected from clouds and terrain; the ratio of this net emergent energy flux to the incident solar energy flux is called albedo. The earth's albedo varies from
0.34 to 0.5 depending on latitude and cloud cover. The long wavelength infrared radiation emitted by the earth due to its temperature varies from $151 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $48 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) to $230 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (73 Btu/hr-ft ${ }^{2}$ ) [4] depending on season and latitude. For engineering calculations the following mean values for the three sources of incident radiation are used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { solar constant }=1390 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(442 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\
& \text { albedo }=0.39 \\
& \text { earth thermal radiation }=211 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(67 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fundamental to the determination of the orbital temperature history of the deployed antennas is the prediction of the heat flux variation due to incident thermal radiation impingent on the antenna surfaces. The determination of the orbital heat flux incident on the surfaces of the antennas is a function of many variables including the satellite orbit altitude, shape, inclination and prescession angle; the earth's orbital position and inclination, the antenna surface orientation and surface properties, and the position of the satellite in its orbit about the earth. The computer program "Computer Program for Determining the Thermal Environment and Temperature History of Earth Orbiting Space Vehicles" [5] and a UNIVAC 1108 computer were utilized to perform the lengthy calculations.

The Mode I antenna is modeled as an eight-sided prism which is oriented in its orbit with its axis $90^{\circ}$ from nadir and $45^{\circ}$ from the velocity vector; surface number 1 faces the earth throughout the orbit. The Mode II antenna is modeled as a six-sided prism and is also oriented with its axis $90^{\circ}$ to nadir, but its axis is parallel to the velocity vector. The orbit for both is circular with an altitude of $800 \mathrm{~km}(497 \mathrm{mi})$ and an inclination of $108^{\circ}$.

When specific heats and masses are input to the computer program, it calculates the resulting mass temperatures. The specific heat of polystyrene

TABLE IV

ANTENNA ORBITAL MODEL SURFACE AREA AND MASS ASSIGNMENTS

Surface $\quad$ Area, $m^{2}\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \quad$ Mass, kg (1b)
Mode I

| 1 | $0.47(5.1)$ | $32(7.0)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $0.58(6.2)$ | $1.0(2.3)$ |
| 3 | $0.53(5.7)$ | $1.7(3.7)$ |
| 4 | $0.66(7.1)$ | $3.9(8.7)$ |
| 5 | $0.53(5.7)$ | $1.7(3.7)$ |
| 6 | $0.58(6.2)$ | $1.0(2.3)$ |
| 7 | $0.12(1.3)$ | $.05(0.1)$ |
| 8 | $0.12(1.3)$ | $.05(0.1)$ |

Mode II
1
2
3
4
5
6

| $0.49(5.3)$ | $3.4(7.4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0.42(4.5)$ | $2.8(6.2)$ |
| $0.49(5.3)$ | $3.4(7.4)$ |
| $0.42(4.5)$ | $2.8(6.2)$ |
| $0.03(0.3)$ | $0.2(0.5)$ |
| $0.03(0.3)$ | $0.2(0.5)$ |

foam was used, $0.32 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{gC}^{\circ}\left(0.32 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{F}^{\circ}\right)$ and the mass of the antenna is distributed among the surfaces by an area weighted average. The surfaces and the assigned masses are listed in Table IV.

Two orbital situations are investigated. Orientation $I$ is a hot case; the earth is at the summer solstice with the orbit plane perpendicular to the sun line (see Figure 9) and the northern end of the axis of the earth is tilted $23.5^{\circ}$ toward the sun. The antenna remains in the sun during the entire orbit. Orientation II is a cold case; the earth is at the winter solstice with the orbit plane paralle1 to the sun line and the northern end of the axis of the earth is tilted $23.5^{\circ}$ away from the sun. The antenna is in the shadow of the earth for $33.5 \%$ of the orbit.

Eight cases of varying infrared emittance, $\varepsilon$, and solar absorbtivity, $\alpha_{s}$, were investigated for the two orientations described above. Table V lists the values of $\alpha_{s}$ and $\varepsilon$ considered:

TABLE V

## SURFACE COATING CASES CONSIDERED

| Case | $\alpha_{\text {S }}$ | $\underline{E}$ | $\alpha_{S} / \varepsilon$ | Surface Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.04 | 0.85 | 0.05 | Solar Reflector |
| 2 | 0.27 | 0.86 | 0.31 | Solar Reflector |
| 3 | 0.06 | 0.085 | 0.74 | Flat Reflector |
| 4 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.86 | Flat Absorber |
| 5 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1.00 | Flat Reflector |
| 6 | 0.89 | 0.77 | 1.15 | Flat Absorber |
| 7 | 0.42 | 0.21 | 2.00 | Solar Absorber |
| 8 | 0.27 | 0.03 | 9.00 | Solar Absorber |

The effect of varying the surface coating properties on the integrated average temperature of the Mode $I$ antenna model for Orientations $I$ and II appears in Figure 10; in Figure 11 similar results are given for the Mode II antenna. Figure 12 shows the variation of the average temperature of the


Figure 9. Solar heat flux orbital orientations.


Figure 10. Effect of $\alpha_{s} / E$ ratio on average Mode I antenna temperature.


Figure 11. Effert of $\alpha_{S} / E$ ratio on average Mode II antenna temperature.


Figure 12. Orbital temperature variation of the Mode I scatterometer antenna

Mode $I$ antenna model during an orbit for $\alpha_{S} / \varepsilon=1.00$ (See Table $V$, case 5); Figure 13 presents this temperature variation for the Mode II antenna. The temperatures are based on area, mass, specific heat, emittance, and absorptance of an isolated antenna. Conduction of heat within the antenna and thermal exchange with the sensor module are not included. The primary purpose of this analysis is the generation of orbital heat flux variations for use as input to the heat conduction antenna model described in Section $1 I-C-2-b$. b. Thermal Gradients

To investigate the heat transfer within the scatterometer antennas, each antenna was modeled by an electrical analog and programmed for computer solution using the "Systems Improved Numerical Differencing Analyzer" (SINDA) [6] computer program. SINDA utilizes the lumped-parameter method of thermal system solution. To apply the method to a heat-conduction problem the system is divided into a number of small but finite subvolumes; a reference number is assigned to each. Each subvolume is assumed to be at the temperature corresponding to its center and the physical system is replaced by a network of fictitious heat-conducting rods between the centers, or nodal points, of the subvolumes. The thermal conductance corresponding to the conductance of the material between nodal points is assigned to each rod so that the network approximates the heat flow in the continuous system.

An 85 node three-dimensional model was prepared for the Mode $I$ antenna. The antenna was divided along its length into 51 cm ( 20 inch) long sections. These are referred to as "stations" in Figure 14. Each station was further subdivided in a plane transverse to the long dimension of the antenna to model internal heat flow as indicated in Figure 15. The nodes were numbered to reflect their location within the model. The first digit of the three digit nodal number indicates the station (see Figure 14); the remaining two digits reflect the cross-sectional location (see Figure 15). A total of 266 thermal


Figure 13. Orbital temperature variation of the Mode IT scatterometer antenna.

Deployment mechanism boundary node $23.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$


Thermal model station locations
(see Figure 15 for station cross section)

Figure 14. Thermal model nodal assignments for the Mode $I$ antenna.


Figure 15. Thermal model nodal assignments for the Mode I scatterometer antenna.
conductors were required to model the thermal paths connecting the 85 nodes. The radiation input to the antenna from the earth and sun took the values stated in Section II-C-2-a and the directions indicated in Figure 15. A value of 0.86 for the infrared emittance and a value of 0.2 for the solar absorptance of the exterior surfaces were used. The thermal conductance of aluminum was taken as $7.3 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{min}-\mathrm{cm}-\mathrm{C}^{\circ}\left(8 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{F}^{\circ}\right)$ and that for styrofoam, $1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{min}-\mathrm{cm}-\mathrm{C}^{\circ}\left(1.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{F}^{\circ}\right)$.

The temperature distribution resulting from this analysis appears in Figures 16 through 18. The legend in Figure 16 indicates the method used in these figures for displaying the temperatures. Each box represents a node, and the number in the upper left corner is the node number. The number 324 , for example, refers to station 3 (Figure 14) and cross section location 24 (Figure 15). The remaining numbers in the boxes are nodal temperatures in degrees Celcius and, in parentheses, degrees Fahrenheit. The external surface temperature of the antenna appears in Figure 16 (cross sectional nodes 20 through 25) for the 5 nodal stations. Figure 17 shows the temperature distribution at the aluminum skin of the antenna (cross sectional nodes 03 through 10), and Figure 18 gives the temperature at the central nodal points (nodes X01 and X02). The deployment mechanism was modeled as a constant temperature boundary node at an arbitrarily chosen temperature of $23.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ). Notice that the internal temperature of the antennas varies little from the temperature of the deployment mechanism (Figure 18). Outer space is represented by node number 999 at a constant temperature of $-266^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(-457^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

## 3. Mechanical Analysis

This section presents predictions for the natural frequency, moment of inertia, and section modulus for the Mode I and Mode II antennas. The manufacturing tolerances are discussed and the tolerance budget is presented.

Earth


| 121 | 221 | 321 | 421 | 521 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 |
| $(42.8)$ | $(42.2)$ | $(42.2)$ | $(42.2)$ | $(42.7)$ |
| 120 | 220 | 320 | 420 | 520 |
| -28.1 | -29.8 | -29.9 | -29.8 | -28.2 |
| $(-18.6)$ | $(-21.7)$ | $(21.8)$ | $(21.7)$ | $(-18.8)$ |
| 122 | 222 | 322 | 422 | 522 |
| -43.8 | -45.6 | -45.6 | -45.6 | -43.8 |
| $(-46.8)$ | $(-50.1)$ | $(-50.1)$ | $(-50.1)$ | $(-46.9)$ |
| 124 | 224 | 324 | 424 | 524 |
| -91.3 | -95.9 | -95.9 | -95.9 | -91.4 |
| $(-132.3)$ | $(-140.6)$ | $(-140.7)$ | $(-140.6)$ | $(-132.5)$ |
| 125 | 225 | 325 | 425 | 525 |
| -90.2 | -97.6 | -97.7 | -97.6 | -90.4 |
| $(-130.4)$ | $(-143.7)$ | $(-143.8)$ | $(-143.7)$ | $(-130.7)$ |
| 123 | 223 | 323 | 423 | 523 |
| -22.4 | -23.4 | -23.4 | -23.4 | -22.4 |
| $(-8.3)$ | $(-10.2)$ | $(-10.2)$ | $(-10.2)$ | $(-8.4)$ |



- Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
- Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

LEGEND

$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{S} & =0.2 \\ \varepsilon & =0.2\end{aligned}$

Figure 16. Mode I scatterometer antenna exterior surface temperature distribution.

| 103 | 203 | 303 | 403 | 503 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23.5 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.2 |
| (74.3) | (74.2) | (74.2) | (74.2) | (73.8) |
| 105 | 205 | 305 | 405 | 505 |
| 23.1 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.8 | 22.6 |
| (73.5) | (73.2) | (73.2) | (73.1) | (72.6) |
| 104 | 204 | 304 | 404 | 504 |
| 23.1 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 23.0 |
| (73.6) | (73.3) | (73.3) | (73.3) | (73.4) |
| 106 | 206 | 306 | 406 | 506 |
| 16.9 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 16.7 |
| (62.4) | (60.2) | (60.2) | (60.2) | (62.1) |
| 110 | 210 | 310 | 410 | 510 |
| 23.3 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.3 |
| (74.0) | (73.8) | (73.8) | (73.8) | (73.9) |
| 108 | 208 | 308 | 408 | 508 |
| 22.3 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.2 |
| (72.2) | (71.6) | (71.6) | (71.6) | (72.0) |
| 109 | 209 | 309 | 409 | 509 |
| 23.6 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 23.3 |
| (74.5) | (74.4) | (74.4) | (74.4) | (74.0) |
| 107 | 207 | 307 | 407 | 507 |
| 22.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 22.3 | 22.0 |
| (72.6) | (72.0) | (72.0) | (72.1) | (71.6) |



Figure 17. Mode I scatterometer antenna surface temperature distribution.

| 101 | 201 | 301 | 401 | 501 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23.6 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 23.3 |
| $(74.5)$ | $(74.5)$ | $(74.4)$ | $(74.5)$ | $(74.0)$ |
| 102 | 202 | 302 | 402 | 502 |
| 23.3 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.3 |
| $(74.0)$ | $(73.8)$ | $(73.8)$ | $(73.8)$ | $(73.9)$ |

Figure 18. Mode I scatterometer antenna interior temperature distribution.

The scatterometer antennas in the stowed (1aunch) configuration will appear as indicated in Figure 1. The antennas are analyzed for a three point tie-down arrangement in Section II-C-3-c. The three point analysis considers anchor placement at the deployment mechanism, and the sensor module/ bus interface, and at the "free" end of the antennas. The thermal analysis takes the deployed configuration to be the limiting environmental criterion for the thermal design of the antennas.

## b. Antenna Mass and Sectional Properties

The sectional properties of the Mode I and Mode II scatterometer antennas appear in Table VI. The coordinates are as shown in Figure 6 and 8. The centroidal parameters $c_{x}$ and $c_{y}$ represent the distance from the centroid to the outer surfaces of the aluminum antennas; these values do not include the styrofoam insulation. Likewise, the moment of inertia, $I$, and the section modulus, $Z$, are calculated for the basic aluminum antennas.

TABLE VI
MASS AND SECTION PROPERTIES OF THE SCATTEROMETER ANTENNA

|  | Mode I | Mode II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C^{\text {x }}$ | 5.85 cm (2.31 in) | 4.65 cm (1.83 in) |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $134.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}\left(3.23 \mathrm{in}^{4}\right)$ | $57.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}\left(1.38 \mathrm{in}^{4}\right)$ |
| $Z_{x}$ | $22.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\left(1.40 \mathrm{in}^{3}\right)$ | $12.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\left(0.75 \mathrm{in}^{3}\right)$ |
| $C_{y}$ | 3.0 cm (1.18 in) | 1.4 cm (0.55 in) |
| $I_{y}$ | $65.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}\left(1.58 \mathrm{in}^{4}\right)$ | $18.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}\left(0.44 \mathrm{in}^{4}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | $22.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\left(1.34 \mathrm{in}^{3}\right)$ | $13.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\left(0.80 \mathrm{in}^{3}\right)$ |

The estimated mass breakdown of the scatterometer antennas is listed in Table VII. This estimate does not include the deployment mechanism. The styrofoam mass is calculated assuming the maximum 7.4 cm (2.9 in) insulation

ESTIMATED MASS OF THE MODE I AND MODE II SCATTEROMETER ANTENNAS

Component

|  | Mode I | Mode II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Edgeslot horn plate | 1.0 (2.1) | 0.4 (0.9) |
| Broadwall horn plate | 1.1 (2.4) | 0.5 (1.2) |
| Skin | 1.7 (3.8) | 1.2 (2.6) |
| Edgeslot extrusion | 1.1 (2.5) | 1.1 (2.5) |
| Broadwall extrusion | 1.3 (2.8) | 1.3 (2.8) |
| Ribs (21) | 0.5 (1.1) | 0.3 (0.6) |
| Rivets | 0.2 (0.4) | 0.1 (0.3) |
| Hinge, anchors, end plates | 0.1 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.2) |
| Waveguide (2) | 0.7 (1.6) | 0.7 (1.6) |
|  | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Total (ea structure) | 7.7 (17.0) | 5.7 (12.7) |
| Total structure per unit | 15.4 (34.0) | 5.7 (12.7) |
| Insulation | 7.7 (17.0) | 6.9 (15.3) |
| Paint, adhesive | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Total per unit | 23.2 (51.1) | 12.7 (28.1) |
| Total for system 59.1 |  |  |

thickness for the Mode $I$ antennas; a 5.1 cm (2 in) thickness is assumed for the Mode II mass estimate.
c. Natural Frequency

For deflection and natural frequency calculations the antenna is modeled as a redundantly supported beam. Simple supports are taken at each end ( $x=0$ and $x=254 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), with a third support at $x=80 \mathrm{~cm}(31.5 \mathrm{in})$. The load, W, is assumed evenly distributed along the length of the beam. Figure 19 indicates the generalized deflection, $\delta I / W$ along the antenna. The maximum deflection, $\delta_{\text {max }}$, is given by

$$
\delta_{\max }=1.33 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{I}}
$$

The natural frequency of the antennas is found by utilizing the Rayleigh energy method. The deflection curve of Figure 19 is approximated by using four sine wave segments, and equations are written for the deflection in terms of a summation of the four segments. The kinetic energy, KE, is calculated using the relation

$$
\mathrm{KE}=\frac{1}{2} \rho \omega^{2} \int \mathrm{~A}^{2} \mathrm{Y}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{X}
$$

and the potential energy, PE , by

$$
P E=\frac{1}{2} E I \int \frac{d^{2} \mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{dx}^{2}} \mathrm{dx}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho=\text { density } \\
& \omega=\text { natural frequency } \\
& A=\text { cross sectional area } \\
& Y=Y(x)=\text { deflection curve } \\
& X=\text { axial dimension }
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 19. Generalized deflection curve for the Mode ! scateromotor antenna.
$E=$ Young's modulus
I = moment of inertia.
Equating the kinetic and potential energies and solving for $w$ yields a natural frequency of 85.6 Hz for the insulated Mode I dual-antenna assembly.

## d. Tolerance Budget Evaluation

In this section are presented the mechanical tolerances which must be maintained in order to insure proper electrical performance of the scatterometer antennas. Included is a discussion of the tolerances which will be used in the manufacture of the component parts of the anterni.s and the methods which will be employed to insure that these tolerances are achieved. The distortion to be expected from thermal effects is analyzed and the deformation resulting from launch induced internal stress is also investigated.

The waveguide slots are to be machined using a 2-axis numerically controlled milling machine thereby maintaining linear dimensional accuracy to within $\pm 0.0013 \mathrm{~cm}( \pm 0.005 \mathrm{in})$. The straightness of the waveguides is insured by the extrusion mounting arrangement (see Section II-C-1).

The aluminum sheeting is to be 2024 aluminum, which has essentially a zero minimum bending radius. Suitable fixtures are to be fabricated for the bending and assembly operations. Rivet placement will insure dimensional accuracy, and Coulomb friction inherent in riveted structures will aid in lowering the vibrational transmissibility of the antennas.

The antennas are to be isolated thermally from the solar and terrestrial heat inputs by a thermal control surface coating and styrofoam insulation. The tolerance to be held over the length of the antennas is 0.1 cm ( 0.04 in ). The expansion, $\delta$, of a material due to a temperature change, $\Delta T$, is given by

$$
\delta=\mathrm{L} \alpha \Delta \mathrm{~T}
$$

where $\alpha=$ coefficient of thermal expansion and $L=$ original length. The
coefficient of thermal expansion for aluminum is $12.8 \times 10^{-6} 1 / \mathrm{F}^{\circ}$. Assuming a maximum thermal expansion, $\delta$, of $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}(0.04 \mathrm{in})$ over the length of the antenna, $L=254 \mathrm{~cm}$ (100 in), the above equation yields a maximum $\Delta T$ of $31.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ( $17.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The temperature change across the antenna, therefore, must be kept below about $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to maintain a maximum difference of 0.1 cm in the length of the sides of the antenna. The analysis presented in Section II-C-2-b for a $23.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ deployment mechanism interface temperature indicates that $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(31^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ can be maintained.

The stress, $\sigma$, experienced by an axially loaded member with cro s sectional area, $A$, under a load, $P$, is given by $\sigma=P / A$. If one is confined to body forces (acceleration forces) only, then $P=m a$, where $m$ is the mass of the member and a is the axial acceleration. The mass is given by the product of the density, $\rho$, the cross sectional area, $A$, and the member length, L. The load is then given by $P=\rho A L a$ and the stress by $\sigma=o L a$. Applying the above equation to a scatterometer antenna in the launch configuration and assuming a 100 g acceleration gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho=2.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}\left(0.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{I}=254 \mathrm{~cm}(100 \mathrm{in}), \text { and } \\
& a=980 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\left(3220 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above values into the stress equation yields a stress of $689 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\left(1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{psi}\right)$. The antenna will not deform permanently until it is stressed beyond its tensile yield strength, which for aluminum is approximately $34 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ (50 x $\left.10^{3} \mathrm{psi}\right)$. The antennas can, therefore, withstand axial acceleration loading without becoming permanently deformed.

The relation between stress, $\sigma$, and strain, $\varepsilon$, is given by $E=\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}$ where $E$ is Young's modulus. Young's modulus for aluminum is about $6.9 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ( $10 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}$ ). Using the above stress of $689 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\left(1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{psi}\right)$, associated with a 100 g acceleration, the strain, $\varepsilon$, by the above equation is $1 \mathrm{x} 10^{-4}$
$\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{cm}$ (in/in). Deflection, $\delta$, is given by $\delta=\varepsilon L$. For the 254 cm (100 in) scatterometer antenna the deflection is 0.03 cm ( 0.01 in ).
4. Comparison of Predicted and Required Performance
a. Envelope

The proposed Mode I and Mode II scatterometer antenna physical dimensions are displayed in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. The available space accommodations are also detailed in these drawings and the overall clearance envelope for the antenna system in the launch configuration appears in Figure 16.

The maximum allowed styrofoam insulation thickness is 7.4 cm (2.9 in). Use of this insulator creates essentially isothermal conditions within the aluminum antennas (see Figure 15). Optimization of the insulation may result in a reduction in the required thickness.
b. Mass

The design goal for the individual scatterometer antennas is 13.6 kilograms ( 30 lbs ) ; the estimated mass breakdown appears in Table VII. The Mode I dual-antenna ( 4 horns) insulated units may have a maximum mass of 27.2 ( 60 lbs ) each. There are to be four Mode $I$ antennas (two dual-antenna units) and a single Mode II antenna, for a total design goal of 68.0 kilograms (150 lbs) for the scatterometer system. The estimated mass for the present design is 59.1 kilograms (130.3 1bs).

## c. Environmental

The environmental performance requirements are presented in Table II. The investigation of the antenna response to the thermal environment is detailed in Section II-C-2-b. Mechanical characteristics are presented in Section II-C-3.

## III. ANTENNA TESTS

Antenna pattern tests and VSWR and isolation tests were performed on full frequency, quarter-length brassboard models to determine the quality of the final array design and verify the feasibility of producing full-length brassboard antennas which would satisfy the desired performance criteria. Quarter-length models were used in order to reduce the number of waveguide slots that had to be cut in each array and to produce a smaller antenna to simplify testing. The net result is that the narrow beamwidth (azimuth) is four times as large as that of the full-size array ( $2.0^{\circ}$ vs. $0.5^{\circ}$ ).

In the case of the full-length arrays, the individual slots near the center of the array will have quite small displacements (broadwall array) or tilt angles (edge slot array); however, in the case of the quarter-length models which are designed for the same efficiency and aperture taper, the center slots will be highly displaced or tilted. Therefore, for proper testing it was deemed necessary to fabricate two versions of each array. Two arrays of each type (broadwall slots and edge slots) were fabricated; one array was an "efficient" design which was designed to dissipate about $10 \%$ of the incident power in the load while the other was an "inefficient" design which dissipates over $50 \%$ of the incident power in the load.

The inefficient edge slot array design produces cross-polarization levels (because of the smaller slot angles) which are more representative of those to be obtained in the full-length arrays, while the efficient design of both antennas will provide a good measure of the gain which will be obtained in the full length antenna. The gain of the final full-length array will be 6 dB higher due to the $4: 1$ beamwidth ratio in the azimuth plane. The smaller slot displacements of the inefficient broadwall slot array enable manufacturing techniques and tolerances to be realistically evaluated. Both the efficient
and inefficient arrays of each type should have the same beamwidth and sidelobe levels, since the aperture distribution is theoretically the same in both cases.

The performance requirements specified for the Mode I antennas are listed in Table VIII. The azimuth beamwidth for the quarter-length arrays tested will, of course, be four times as large as that specified for the ful1-1ength arrays, i.e., $2.0^{\circ}$.

Tables IX and $X$ present a tabulation of the results of the antenna pattern tests for the inefficient and efficient designs, respectively. The position of the peak of the beam was determined by selecting a reference level on expanded angle plots ( -10 dB , in fact) and calling the beam peak the location half-way between the -10 dB crossings. The elevation pattern slope was calculated by approximating the tangent to the pattern as a straight line over a small angular increment about the measurement point ( $\pm 19.5^{\circ}$ ).

Azimuth and elevation antenna patterns are shown in Figures 20 through 43, while typical VSWR data are shown in Figures 44, 45, and 46. In all cases the upper trace is the parallel polarized pattern and the lower one is the cross polarized pattern. The isolation between the two input channels (vertical and horizontal polarizations) was measured and found to be in excess of 40 dB .

It is apparent from the antenna pattern data that there are three areas in which the quarter-length brassboards do not meet the antenna specifications of Tab1e I. These three areas are discussed below and actions which could be undertaken to solve these problems are presented.

1. Broadwa11 Slot Array Elevation Sidelobes - Since the aperture illumination in this plane of the broadwall slot array is essentially uniform (indicating -13.2 dB sidelobes), the problem apparently lies in excessive phase error across the aperture

## SEASAT-A MODE I SCATTEROMETER ANTENNA REQUIREMENTS

| Parameter | Mode I Antenna |
| :--- | :--- |
| Frequency | 14.595 GHz |
| Bandwidth | $\pm 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| 3-dB Beamwidth | $0.5^{\circ}\left(2.0^{\circ}\right) \mathrm{Az}$ by $25^{\circ} \mathrm{El*}$ |
| Power Gain | 32.5 dBi peak |
|  | $25 \mathrm{dBi} \pm 19.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 1$ |
| Elevation Pattern Slope | $\leq 1.0 \mathrm{~dB} /{ }^{\circ}$ over $\pm 19.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 1$ |
| Polarization | Vertical and Horizontal |
| Sidelobe Levels | Cross Pol $\leq-20 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
|  | -20 dB Az |
| Isolation Between | $-12 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{E1}$ |
| Vertical/Horizontal |  |
| Antennas | $>20 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| VSWR |  |

*Nominal beamwidths. The narrow beamwidth is $2.0^{\circ}$ for the quarter-length models and $0.5^{\circ}$ for the full-length models.

TABLE IX

SLOT ARRAY DATA
(INEFFICIENT DESIGN)

## Edge Slot Array

| Frequency | Azimuth Cut |  |  |  | Elevation Cut |  |  | Gain (Add 6 dB ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BW | SLL | X Po1. | Beam Pos. | BW SLL | X Pol | Pat. Slope | Beam Peak | $\pm{ }_{19.5}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 14.55 GHz | 2.05 | -18.6 | -23.3 | 4.6 | 28.0-40 | -20.1 | 0.68 | NA | NA |
| 14.60 | 2.05 | $-19.0$ | $-21.7$ | 4.8 | 27.5-40 | -20.3 | 0.71 | NA | NA |
| 14.65 | 2.05 | -19.2 | $-24.0$ | 5.0 | 27.5-40 | -22.8 | 0.67 | NA | NA |
| Broadwal1 Slot Array |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Azimuth Cut |  |  |  | Elevation Cut |  |  | Gain (Add 6 dB ) |  |
| Frequency | BW SLL X Pol. Beam Pos. |  |  |  | BW SLL X Pol. Pat. Slope |  |  | Beam Peak ${ }^{ \pm} 19.5^{\circ}$ |  |
| 14.55 GHz | 2.05 | $-21.8$ | -18.7 | 4.7 | $27.0-11$. | -18.8 | 0.69 | NA | NA |
| 14.60 | 2.05 | $-21.6$ | -19.7 | 4.9 | 27.0-11. | -17.8 | 0.70 | NA | NA |
| 14.65 | 2.05 | $-21.1$ | $-20.3$ | 5.2 | 27.0-11.9 | $-19.3$ | 0.70 | NA | NA |
| Spec. | 2.0 | -20 | -20 | $<8$ | 25.0-12 | -20 | <1.0 | 32.5 | 25.0 |
|  | Note: BW and Beam Pos. in de dB/degree. | BW and Beam Pos. in degrees; SLL, X Pol., and Gain in dB; Pat. Slope in dB/degree. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE X

SLOT ARRAY DATA (EFFICIENT DESIGN)

## Edge Slot Array

|  | Azimuth Cut |  |  |  | E1evation Cut |  |  |  | Gain (Add 6 dB ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | BW | SLL | X Pol | Beam Pos. | BW | SLL | X Po | Pat. Slope | Beam Peak | $\pm 19.5^{\circ}$ |
| 14.55 GHz | 2.10 | -19.4 | NA | 4.4 | 27.0 | -40 | NA | 0.66 | 27.9 | 21.5 |
| 14.60 | 2.10 | $-19.3$ | NA | 4.7 | 27.0 | -40 | NA | 0.67 | 27.9 | 21.5 |
| 14.65 | 2.10 | -19.2 | NA | 5.0 | 27.5 | -40 | NA | 0.68 | 27.9 | 21.5 |


| Frequency | Broadwall Slot Array |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gain (Add 6 dB ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Azimuth Cut |  |  |  | Elevation Cut |  |  |  |  |
|  | BW | SLL | X Pol | Beam Pos. | BW SLL | X Pol | Pat. Slope | Beam Peak | $\pm 19.5^{\circ}$ |
| 14.55 GHz | 2.05 | -21.7 | NA | 4.7 | 28.2-11.8 | NA | 0.67 | 27.3 | 21.0 |
| 14.60 | 2.10 | $-22.8$ | NA | 4.9 | 28.0-11.9 | NA | 0.67 | 27.3 | 20.7 |
| 14.65 | 2.05 | -23.0 | NA | 5.1 | $27.0-11.9$ | NA | 0.73 | 27.7 | 21.0 |
| Spec. | 2.0 | -20 | $-20$ | $<8$ | 25.0-12 | $-20$ | $<1.0$ | 32.5 | 25.0 |

Note: BW and Beam Pos. in degrees; SLL, $X$ Pol., and Gain in $d B$; Pat. Slope in dB/degree.
due to the horn flare. The solution is simply to make the horn flare length longer, thereby reducing the aperture phase error. The impact of such a change on the mechanical design would have to be given careful attention.
2. Cross-Polarization - Although the measured data do not meet the -20 dB specifications in all cases (consider only the inefficient design), there appears to be an inconsistency in these data. It is believed that the test range set-up is at fault here and that the worst case cross-polarized lobes (about -18 dB ) are likely caused by ground reflections on the antenna test range. Additional work should be performed on establishing a more accurate cross-polarization measurement technique.
3. Edge Slot Array Azimuth Sidelobes - It is believed that the high level of these sidelobes is a result of inaccurate measurement of slot conductance and the corresponding error in the resulting aperture distribution, since the array design procedure is otherwise the same for both the edge slot and broadwall slot arrays. Further measurements should be performed and the edge slot conductance model refined in order to implement an array design which will produce acceptable sidelobes.

None of the problem items listed above are a result of basic limitations in the capabilities of the chosen antenna design, and EES feels that the antenna performance can be brought into concordance with specifications with only a minimum level of effort.


Figure 20. Far-field azimuth pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.55 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 21. Far-field azimuth pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.60 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 22. Far-field azimuth pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.65 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 23. Far-field azimuth pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.55 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 24. Far-field azimuth pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.6 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 25. Far-field azimuth pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.65 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 26. Far-field azimuth pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.55 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 27. Far-field azimuth pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.60 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 28. Far-field azimuth pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.65 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 29. Far-field azimuth pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.55 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 30. Far-field azimuth pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.60 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 31. Far-field azimuth pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.65 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 32. Far-field elevation pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.55 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 33. Far-field elevation pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.60 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 34. Far-field elevation pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.65 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 35. Far-field elevation pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.55 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 36. Far-field elevation pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.6 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 37. Far-field elevation pattern for the edge-slot array antenna at 14.65 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 38. Far-field elevation pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.55 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 39. Far-field elevation pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.60 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 40. Far-field elevation pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.65 GHz ; efficient design.


Figure 41. Far-field elevation pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.55 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 42. Far-field elevation pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.60 GHz ; inefficient design.


Figure 43. Far-field elevation pattern for the broadwall-slot array antenna at 14.65 GHz ; inefficient design.
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Figure 44. Swept VSWR for the broadwall-slot array antenna; efficient design; no radome.
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Figure 45. Swept VSWR for the edge-slot array antenna; efficient design; with thin fiberglass radome.
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Figure 46. Swept VSWR for the edge-slot array antenna; inefficient design; no radome.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This report presents the preliminary SEASAT-A scatterometer antenna design performed by the Engineering Experiment Station at the Georgia Institute of Technology for the Applied Physics Laboratory. The design recommended and pursued under this study consists of a pair of slotted waveguides in separate but mechanically connected trough horns. Horizontal polarization is obtained from an edge slot waveguide array feeding one trough horn while vertical polarization is obtained from a broadwall slot array feeding a separate trough horn. A stiffened thin-wall box-beam structure is used to mechanically hold the structure to the required tolerances. Polystyrene foam insulation encapsulates the antennas, and this foam, combined with external paint coatings, provides temperature control for the antenna.

The proposed design uses proven techniques for achieving the electrical and mechanical performance specified in Tables I and II of this report. Electrical, mechanical, and thermal analyses indicated that the proposed antenna concept can provide the desired electrical and mechanical performance. Quarter length, full frequency models of the proposed antennas were built and tested. Test results indicate that the desired electrical performance can be achieved using the proposed design.
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