

#### **Georgia Tech Financial Analysis Lab**

800 West Peachtree St. NW Atlanta, GA 30332-0520 404-894-9473

http://www.mgt.gatech.edu/finlab

Dr. Charles W. Mulford, CPA, Director Invesco Chair and Professor of Accounting charles.mulford@mgt.gatech.edu Michael L. Ely, MBA Financial Analyst michael.ely@mgt.gatech.edu

## A Look at Cash Flow and Earnings Growth for the S&P 100

## **Executive Summary**

Excess Cash Margin, ECM, calculated by dividing by revenue the difference between adjusted operating cash flow and adjusted operating earnings, provides useful insight into the relationship between cash flow and earnings. When ECM declines in a consistent manner it indicates that earnings are growing faster or declining more slowly than cash flow. As a result, relative to the scale of operations, increasing levels of non-cash accounts are accumulating on the balance sheet. Earnings generated in this manner, that is, with declining cash flow confirmation, are not sustainable and are at risk for decline. When ECM increases consistently it indicates that operating cash flow is either growing faster or falling more slowly than earnings. As a result, relative to the scale of operations, the balance sheet is being liquidated. Operating cash flow generated in this manner, that is, without consistent earnings support, is not sustainable and is at risk for decline. The better, more sustainable relationship between operating cash flow and earnings is when the two measures grow at consistent rates, resulting in a constant ECM through time.

This study calculates ECM for the non-financial firms of the S&P 100 for the years 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 and provides commentary on the results. Insights are provided into firms with a declining ECM, an increasing ECM and a stable ECM.

December, 2004

### Georgia Tech Financial Analysis Lab College of Management Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332-0520

#### **Georgia Tech Financial Analysis Lab**

The Georgia Tech Financial Analysis Lab conducts independent stock market research. Independent and unbiased information is vital to effective investment decision-making. Accordingly, we think that independent research organizations, such as our own, have an important role to play in providing information to market participants.

Because our Lab is housed within a university, all of our research reports have an educational quality, as they are designed to impart knowledge and understanding to those who read them. Our focus is on issues that we believe will be of interest to a large segment of stock market participants. Depending on the issue, we may focus our attention on individual companies, groups of companies, or on large segments of the market at large.

A recurring theme in our work is the identification of reporting practices that give investors a misleading signal, whether positive or negative, of corporate earning power. We define earning power as the ability to generate a sustainable stream of earnings that is backed by cash flow. Accordingly, our research may look into reporting practices that affect either earnings or cash flow, or both. At times our research may look at stock prices, generally though from a fundamental and not technical point of view.

#### **Contact Information**

Charles Mulford. Invesco Chair, Professor of Accounting and the Lab's Director.

Phone: (404) 894-4395

Email: charles.mulford@mgt.gatech.edu

Michael L. Ely. MBA, Financial Analyst.

Phone: (404) 894-9473

Email: michael.ely@mgt.gatech.edu

Mario Martins. Graduate research assistant and MBA student. Amit Patel. Graduate research assistant and MBA student.

Website: http://www.mgt.gatech.edu/finlab

©2004 by the College of Management, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0520. **ALL RIGHTS RESERVED**. The information contained in this research report is solely the opinion of the authors and is based on sources believed to be reliable and accurate, consisting principally of required filings submitted by the companies represented to the Securities and Exchange Commission. HOWEVER, ALL CONTENT HEREIN IS PRESENTED "AS IS," WITHOUT WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED. No data or statement is or should be construed to be a recommendation for the purchase, retention, sale or short-sale of the securities of the companies mentioned.

### A Look at Cash Flow and Earnings Growth for the S&P 100

Over time, companies should be expected to grow operating cash flow at a rate that is commensurate with the rate of growth in earnings. When the two grow at different rates, reasons for the disparity should be investigated carefully.

One way to measure whether operating cash flow and earnings are growing at consistent rates to is to find Excess Cash Margin, ECM, calculated as the difference between operating cash flow and income from continuing operations divided by revenue. Before the calculation is made, however, operating cash flow, (OCF), the GAAP-defined cash flow provided by operating activities, is adjusted for nonrecurring and non-operating items. Similarly, income from continuing operations is adjusted for known nonrecurring items, yielding operating earnings (OE). Such adjustments remove noise from the calculated metric and make inherent changes in its value more meaningful.

In the calculation of ECM, we divide the difference between operating cash flow (OCF) and operating earnings (OE) by revenue (REV) so that changes in each can be read relative to changes in the scale of operations. For example, while the difference between OCF and OE may be growing, that difference takes on added significance when it is growing at a rate that is different from the rate of growth in revenue. In addition, the difference between OCF and OE that has been scaled by revenue can be more readily compared across firms than a similarly calculated difference that has not been scaled. Thus, we state ECM as:

Excess cash margin = (Operating cash flow - Operating earnings) / Revenue, or

$$ECM = (OCF - OE) / REV.$$

So that ECM is not expressed in decimals, it is multiplied by 100, which expresses it in percentage terms. We refer to the ECM ratio as excess cash margin because it measures the excess of operating cash flow over operating earnings as a margin, a percent of revenue, much like operating cash flow might be measured as a percent of revenue (i.e., cash margin), or operating earnings might be measured as a percent of revenue (i.e., net margin). Viewed in this manner, excess cash margin is the excess of cash margin over net margin, expressed in percentage terms.

Because operating earnings are measured after depreciation and amortization and operating cash flow is measured before depreciation and amortization, generally we expect firms to exhibit ECM that is above zero. Using this reasoning, more capital intensive firms with higher depreciation charges and firms that have invested heavily in intangibles subject to amortization will generally exhibit levels of ECM that are higher than other firms.

Of course, such generalizations do not always hold. For example, younger growth firms may consume cash from operations and exhibit a negative ECM as investments are made in such working capital accounts as accounts receivable and inventory. Later, as growth slows and the firm matures, the ECM would be expected to turn positive.

While there is information in the level of a firm's ECM and how it compares with other firms, especially in its own industry, for purposes of this report our interest in ECM is more on changes in the ratio through time. That is, changes in ECM measures changes in a company's ability to generate operating cash flow relative to its operating earnings. Analysts should look carefully at the causes of both declines and increases in ECM as they have implications for the sustainability of earnings and operating cash flow.

#### **Declines in ECM**

ECM will decline when operating earnings grow more quickly or decline more slowly than operating cash flow. As a result there will be increasing levels of non-cash accounts building up on the balance sheet. Such build-ups usually take the form of increases in assets, such as accounts receivable, inventory, other assets, or property, plant and equipment. Declines in ECM indicate that these non-cash assets are growing faster than revenue.

Over extended periods such balance sheet developments are not sustainable. Ultimately these non-cash accounts must be realized, boosting operating cash flow faster than earnings and raising ECM. If they are not realized they will likely become value impaired, necessitating a write-down and a reduction in earnings. It is this latter development, a write down of assets and its concomitant reduction in earnings, that a careful analysis of declines in ECM can help investors avoid.

Certainly the explanation for a decline in ECM may be benign. For example, a cyclical company may generate more operating cash flow than operating earnings during a business slow down as the balance sheet is liquidated. Then when business picks up again, earnings may grow for a while at a rate that is faster than the rate of growth in operating cash flow as liquidated accounts are replenished. Also a fundamental change in the stage of a firm in its life cycle, for example, a transition from growth to maturity or maturity to decline, can alter the relationship between earnings and operating cash flow.

Even in the absence of such cyclical or life-cycle changes the relationship between operating earnings and operating cash flow may be altered. For example, large sales on open account may boost earnings but may not be collected until a subsequent year. An extensive build up in inventory in anticipation of an increase in sales will reduce operating cash flow only to increase it again as the purchased inventory is sold in future periods.

In the end, careful analysis is required to determine if a build-up in assets caused by earnings growth at a rate that is faster than the rate of growth in operating cash flow will ultimately lead to future operating cash flow or to an asset write-down and related loss.

#### **Increases in ECM**

Like declines in ECM, consistent increases in ECM, where operating cash flow grows faster than operating earnings, are also not sustainable. Increases in ECM are the result of operating cash flow that is either consistently growing more quickly or falling more slowly than operating earnings. Such developments are typically due to reductions in working capital either operating-related current assets are being reduced or current liabilities are being increased. For example, accounts receivable, inventory and other assets are being converted to cash at a rate that is faster than earnings can replenish them. Or operating cash flow is being

provided through increases in such liabilities as accounts payable or accrued expenses payable. Such developments should not be unexpected during a business slow down. Importantly, however, operating cash flow generated in this manner is not sustainable because assets cannot be reduced or liabilities increased indefinitely.

Loss firms that report positive operating cash flow or such firms whose operating loss is larger than its consumption of operating cash flow will report a positive ECM. For example, a company that reports positive operating cash flow of \$100 and negative operating earnings of \$160 on revenues of say \$1,000 will report positive ECM of (\$100 minus -\$160) / \$1,000, or \$260 / 1,000, which equals 26.0 (in percentage terms). Given the company's operating loss position, its positive operating cash flow is not sustainable because it does not have earnings support.

Long-term cash flow growth requires earnings support. When it is lacking, earnings growth must pick up or operating cash flow will begin a more rapid decline. Thus, a continued increase in ECM may indicate that operating cash flow cannot be maintained at existing levels without increases in earnings. Either operating cash flow will decline relative to earnings or operating earnings must increase relative to operating cash flow.

#### **Stable ECM**

A stable relationship through time between operating cash flow and operating earnings is a useful indicator of the sustainability of the two measures. When ECM is stable, earnings are being realized and are manifest as operating cash flow, the ultimate confirmation. Importantly, non-cash assets are not building on the balance sheet at a rate that is faster than the rate of growth in operations, keeping the risk of an asset impairment charge in check. In addition, when ECM is stable, operating cash flow can better be maintained through support provided by operating earnings.

#### ECM and the S&P 100

We calculated ECM for the 86 non-financial firms found in the S&P 100 for the years 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003. We excluded the financial firms because operating cash flow is a less reliable indicator of operating performance for them than it is for the non-financials.

We calculated ECM by dividing by revenue the difference between adjusted operating cash flow and adjusted income from continuing operations. The resulting quotient was then multiplied by 100, which expressed it in percentage terms.

Our adjustments to operating cash flow are described in our October 2004 report titled, Calculating Sustainable Cash Flow: A Study of the S&P 100 Using 2003 Data. Common adjustments included such nonrecurring items as the tax benefits from stock options, cash provided by the sale or securitization of accounts receivable, restructuring and severance payments, litigation awards and payments, extended vendor payment terms, cash provided or used from discontinued operations, and capitalized operating costs, among others. We also removed such significant nonrecurring items as restructuring charges and litigation and merger-related items from reported income from continuing operations.

#### **Ranking Based on ECM**

With four years of data, we sought a quick and insightful way for ranking the sample firms based on their ECM performance. We accomplished this ranking by comparing ECM in 2003 with its mean value across the four-year period, 2000 through 2003. We calculated the percent that the 2003 ECM was above or (below) the four-year mean. The firms were ranked based on this percent statistic.

Firms whose ECM was significantly above or below the four-year ECM mean were identified for more careful scrutiny. However, much judgment was needed in reviewing the data and the percent measures. Companies with a very high or low income or operating cash flow in any one year potentially will have a four-year mean that is biased either high or low. Also, firms with particularly volatile earnings or cash flow will generate less meaningful four-year mean ECM results.

Thus, we were particularly interested in applying judgment to identify firms whose ECM measure was moving consistently in one direction, either upward or downward.

#### **ECM Results**

Our ECM results are presented in two tables. Table 1 presents ECM for 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003, along with the four-year mean ECM and the percent that 2003 ECM was above or (below) the four-year mean. The data are ranked from most negative to most positive when ECM in 2003 was compared with the four-year mean ECM.

Table 2 provides adjusted operating cash flow, adjusted operating earnings and revenue data for the three years, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003. These data are needed to better understand the reasons for changes in ECM over time.

In reviewing the results in Table 1, it must be remembered that the purpose of ECM is not to identify firms whose operating cash flow or operating earnings are growing or falling, but rather to focus on those firms where changes in one are not consistent with changes in the other. When that happens consistently, a careful review of the causes and their implications for future earnings and cash flow is needed. Because of our interest in a consistent trend in ECM, we are less interested in companies such as Halliburton Company or American Express Company, which had two of the largest negative deviations in ECM from the mean, or H. J. Heinz Company or Sears, Roebuck and Co., which had the largest positive deviations from the mean. ECM will move about from one year to the next, sometimes significantly. However, there is more information in a consistent trend in ECM through time than in a single year deviation.

#### **Results: Falling ECM**

A large number of firms exhibited a declining ECM over the sample period. Among them we identified four for more careful scrutiny, United Technologies Corp., PepsiCo, Inc., The Walt Disney Company, and International Paper Company.

At United Technologies Corp. adjusted operating cash flow fell -19.3% over the sample period while adjusted operating earnings rose 36.6% on a 16.7% increase in revenue. ECM decreased

from 2.4 in 2000 to -1.6 in 2003. The company's cash flow problems were due mainly to outsized voluntary contributions to the company's pension plans of \$530 million in 2002, and \$994 million in 2003. As the funded status of the company's plan is restored, such large contributions should not be needed and the company's operating cash flow should improve.

At PepsiCo, Inc., adjusted operating earnings increased 43.5% across the four-year sample period while adjusted operating cash flow declined by 2.5% and revenue increased 5.9%. As a result, ECM decreased from 7.6 in 2000 to 2.6 in 2003. While a modest increase in accounts and notes receivable hurt the company's adjusted operating cash flow in 2002 and 2003, like United Technologies, the primary reason for its drop off in adjusted operating cash flow generation was a sizable increase in its contribution to the company's pension plans from \$103 million in 2000, to \$446 million in 2001, to \$820 million in 2002, and \$535 million in 2003.

A special comment regarding ECM and the effects of additional pension funding is in order. ECM will decline as a result of an increase in pension funding. Whether this additional funding has negative implications for future earnings depends on whether a firm must become more conservative in the assumptions employed for calculating pension expense. The need for incremental funding implies that a pension plan was underfunded. Such a development is likely caused by the failure of pension assets to grow in value at a rate that is commensurate with the growth in pension obligations. The accompanying likelihood is that a firm will also need to lower its assumed rate of return on pension assets for income reporting purposes and in the process, raise pension expense.

The Walt Disney Company saw adjusted operating cash flow decline 21.5% between 2000 and 2003 while adjusted operating earnings increased by 103.6% and revenue increased by 6.5%. At the same time, ECM decreased steadily from 11.8 in 2000, to 7.9 in 2001, and to 5.0 in 2002, and 5.5 in 2003. The lag in the company's cash flow growth was caused by increases in receivables, inventories and other assets, especially in 2002. An improvement in the rate at which the company generated cash flow relative to earnings in 2003 is a positive development but should be watched carefully.

Adjusted operating cash flow at International Paper Company declined by 32.5% between 2000 and 2003. During that same period, adjusted operating earnings increased 111.8% as revenue decreased -10.6%. As a result, ECM declined over the sample period from 9.2 in 2000 to 5.5 in 2003. The company's adjusted operating cash flow decreased partly as a result of declines in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Future increases in accounts payable will supplement cash flow; however, additions to accrued liabilities will reduce earnings.

#### **Results: Rising ECM**

Many firms also exhibited a rising ECM over the 2000 to 2003 sample period. Of note are Toys "R" Us, Inc., Honeywell International Inc., Weyerhaeuser Company, and McDonald's Corporation.

At Toys "R" Us, Inc., adjusted operating cash flow increased markedly from negative \$38 million to \$793 million over the 2000 through 2003 time period as adjusted operating earnings decreased -29.7% on a 2.1% increase in revenue. At the same time, ECM increased from -2.1

in 2000 to 5.6 in 2003. The company added significantly to inventories and reduced accounts payable and accrued expenses payable in 2000, reducing adjusted operating cash flow that year and, from that low base, permitted the firm to grow cash flow more quickly since then.

At Honeywell International Inc., adjusted operating cash flow grew by 35.7% over the sample period and outpaced by a wide margin the -25.0% decrease in adjusted operating earnings on a 7.7% decrease in revenue causing ECM to increase from 0.9 in 2000 to 6.8 in 2003. Adding to adjusted operating cash flow were liquidations of accounts, notes, and other receivables and inventory, while accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased.

Weyerhaeuser Company experienced a 70.7% decline in adjusted income from continuing operations between 2000 and 2003. However, during that same time period, adjusted operating cash flow increased 24.4% as revenue also grew 24.4%. As a result, ECM increased from 3.1 in 2000 to 7.8 in 2003. Adding to adjusted operating cash flow were the liquidation of inventories, real estate, and land and increases in accounts payable.

McDonald's Corporation saw adjusted operating cash flow grow by 23.9% between 2000 and 2003 as adjusted operating earnings declined 10.0% on a 20.3% increase in revenue causing ECM to increase from 5.8 in 2000, to 6.6 in 2001, to 9.5 in 2002, and to 9.8 in 2003. An increase in taxes and other accrued liabilities boosted adjusted operating cash flow relative to earnings over the years.

#### **Results: Loss Firms and ECM**

A few firms reported notable losses from continuing operations in 2003, including Allegheny Technologies Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., and Lucent Technologies, Inc. Allegheny Technologies, Inc. and Delta Air Lines, Inc. also reported positive adjusted operating cash flow in 2003. As a result, 2003 ECM was positive and above the four-year mean for Allegheny Technologies, Inc. and positive and at the four-year mean for Delta Air Lines, Inc. Clearly, operating cash flow at these firms cannot be maintained at existing levels if operating losses are not converted into operating earnings. Lucent Technologies, Inc. reported negative adjusted operating cash flow in 2003.

#### **Results: Stable ECM**

As noted, a stable relationship between adjusted operating cash flow and adjusted operating earnings is a useful indicator of the sustainability of the two measures. There were several companies that exhibited this desirable trait. Among them were Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc., The Coca-Cola Co. and Exxon Mobil Corporation.

At all three companies, adjusted operating cash flow grew at a rate that was very similar to the rate of growth in adjusted operating earnings. In addition, there were no unusual and unsustainable changes in operating-related asset or liabilities that would jeopardize future cash flow.

At Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc., adjusted operating cash flow increased 30.2% between 2000 and 2003 while adjusted operating earnings increased 33.8% on a 12.3% increase in revenue. Adjusted operating cash flow increased 47.0% between 2000 and 2003 at The Coca-

Cola Company while adjusted operating earnings increased 48.8% on a 21.3% increase in revenue. At Exxon Mobil Corporation, adjusted operating cash flow increased 15.0% over the sample period while adjusted operating earnings increased 10.9%, on a 6.0% increase in revenue. At all three companies ECM was little changed in the three years for which observations were taken indicating consistent growth through time in both earnings and cash flow.

### **Results: ECM for The Sample**

As seen at the end of Table 1, we produced an Overall Total ECM for the 86 non-financial companies of the S&P 100. The trend is relatively stable, as the Overall Total ECM moves slightly from year to year. The Overall Total ECM was 5.1 in 2000, 8.7 in 2001, 8.3 in 2002, and 6.8 in 2003, with a four-year mean ECM of 7.2. With no discernable trend in the ECM, there is no obvious reason to be concerned about the growth rates exhibited for operating earnings or operating cash flow for the sample as a whole.

Total adjusted operating cash flow for the sample as a whole grew from \$322,262 million in 2000, to \$373,906 million in 2001, to \$369,662 million in 2002, to \$389,359 million in 2003, a jump of 20.8% from 2000 to 2003. Total adjusted operating income changed from \$195,310 million in 2000, to \$159,247 million in 2001, to \$160,133 million in 2002, to \$204,511 million in 2003, an increase of 4.7% over the sample years. Finally, Total Revenue was \$2,502,681 million in 2000, \$2,478,156 million in 2001, \$2,517,293 million in 2002, and \$2,704,164 million in 2003, a rise of 8.1% over the period. While adjusted operating cash flow grew at a slightly faster rate over the study period than adjusted operating income, Overall Total ECM stayed in a fairly tight range. As long as adjusted operating cash flow and adjusted operating income continue to trend in a similar direction through the years, cash flow and earnings for the S&P 100 non-financial firms as a whole should be sustainable.

#### **Conclusions**

ECM, or Excess Cash Margin, calculated by dividing by revenue the difference between adjusted operating cash flow and adjusted income from continuing operations, provides useful insight into the relationship between earnings and cash flow. When ECM declines in a consistent manner it indicates that earnings are growing faster or declining more slowly than cash flow. As a result, relative to the scale of operations, increasing levels of non-cash accounts are accumulating on the balance sheet. Earnings generated in this manner, that is, with declining cash flow confirmation, are not sustainable and are at risk for decline. When ECM increases consistently it indicates that operating cash flow is either growing faster or falling more slowly than earnings. As a result, relative to the scale of operations, the balance sheet is being liquidated. Operating cash flow generated in this manner, that is, without consistent earnings support, is not sustainable and is at risk for decline. The better, more sustainable relationship between operating cash flow and earnings is when the two measures grow at consistent rates, resulting in a constant ECM through time.

This study calculates ECM for the non-financial firms of the S&P 100 for the years 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003. We saw evidence of firms exhibiting trends in ECM that were declining, increasing, and stable. The results of our findings are reported in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1
Excess Cash Margin for the S&P 100 Non-Financials
2000 - 2003

		700 - 2003								
						% 2003 Above				
Company Name	2000 ECM In Percent	2001 ECM In Percent	2002 ECM In Percent	2003 ECM In Percent	Four-Year Mean ECM	(Below) Mean				
Halliburton Company	-1.5	3.5	2.9	-7.0	-0.5	-1252.41%				
United Technologies Corporation	2.4	2.3	0.8	-1.6	1.0	-259.79%				
American Express Company	15.0	10.4	16.7	-1.1	10.3	-110.26%				
Lucent Technologies, Inc.	-7.5	27.4	93.4	0.0	28.3	-100.14%				
General Motors Corporation	8.3	12.6	3.3	0.5	6.2	-91.39%				
The Boeing Company	7.4	0.9	2.9	1.3	3.1	-56.93%				
Cisco Systems, Inc.	4.8	18.6	23.4	6.3	13.3	-52.64%				
E.I. du Pont De Nemours and Company	7.0	16.4	2.5	3.7	7.4	-50.50%				
PepsiCo Inc.	7.6	5.6	4.7	2.6	5.1	-49.46%				
Time Warner Inc.	-3.1	4.8	46.2	7.5	13.9	-45.85%				
Viacom Inc.	8.5	14.6	3.1	4.4	7.7	-42.30%				
MedImmune, Inc.	5.2	15.5	63.5	15.8	25.0	-36.65%				
Campbell Soup Company	6.9	5.5	8.0	4.0	6.1	-35.01%				
Texas Instruments Incorporated	7.4	25.6	21.9	10.9	16.4	-33.54%				
Nextel Communications, Inc.	22.5	34.1	23.0	15.9	23.9	-33.41%				
Oracle Corporation	31.5	-14.1	8.7	5.4	7.9	-32.03%				
The Walt Disney Company	11.8	7.9	5.0	5.5	7.6	-26.98%				
Boise Cascade Corp	4.8	5.5	3.7	3.1	4.3	-26.97%				
Ford Motor Company	10.2	21.0	8.8	9.0	12.2	-26.83%				
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company	2.1	8.6	1.1	2.7	3.6	-25.54%				
Medtronic, Inc.	-2.0	13.6	7.3	4.4	5.8	-25.24%				
International Paper Company	9.2	8.0	6.5	5.5	7.3	-24.53%				
Clear Channel Communications, Inc.	23.0	22.5	12.0	13.4	17.7	-24.22%				
FedEx Corporation	5.1	7.0	7.2	4.6	6.0	-23.14%				
Rockwell International Corporation	7.0	4.4	6.4	4.6	5.6	-18.75%				
Colgate-Palmolive Company	6.0	3.5	2.9	3.2	3.9	-18.64%				
Harrah's Entertainment, Inc.	12.4	15.2	9.2	9.4	11.5	-18.37%				
Altria Group	3.8	0.3	2.5	1.8	2.1	-16.55%				
Schlumberger N.V.	8.2	3.5	17.3	7.9	9.2	-14.20%				
The AES Corporation	-5.2	17.7	31.2	12.1	13.9	-12.99%				
Norfolk Southern Corporation	15.1	6.9	10.4	9.8	10.6	-7.07%				
Johnson & Johnson	6.5	9.3	3.2	5.8	6.2	-6.76%				
EMC Corporation	0.5	20.5	26.8	14.8	15.6	-5.65%				
General Electric Company	10.5	13.3	10.9	11.2	11.5	-2.49%				
Delta Air Lines, Inc.	13.0	4.9	8.6	8.8	8.9	-0.22%				
Entergy Corporation	8.6	16.4	12.4	13.0	12.6	3.15%				
Xerox Corporation	0.8	13.9	10.6	8.8	8.5	3.37%				
Exxon Mobil Corporation	3.3	3.4	4.3	3.8	3.7	3.72%				
HCA Inc.	5.3	5.7	6.8	6.3	6.1	4.61%				
Exelon Corporation	6.0	12.6	10.1	10.2	9.7	4.88%				
Baker Hughes Incorporated	7.9	4.2	7.1	6.8	6.5	5.04%				
Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corporation	14.1	15.3	15.6	16.2	15.3	5.64%				
The Dow Chemical Co.	1.4	5.6	6.8	5.0	4.7	5.88%				
Alcoa Inc.	5.8	5.7	5.9	6.4	6.0	6.90%				
Excess Cash Margin (ECM) = (Adjusted Cash Provided by Operating Activities – Adjusted Income from Continuing Operations) / Revenue, expressed in percent.										

A Look at Cash Flow and Earnings Growth for the S&P 100, December 2004 (c) 2004 by the College of Management, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0520

# Table 1 (cont'd) Excess Cash Margin for the S&P 100 Non-Financials 2000 - 2003

Company Name	2000 ECM In Percent	2001 ECM In Percent	2002 ECM In Percent	2003 ECM In Percent	Four-Year Mean ECM	% 2003 Above (Below) Mean
Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc.	4.7	4.4	4.8	5.1	4.7	6.93%
The Limited, Inc.	4.5	8.7	3.1	6.0	5.6	7.69%
Tyco International Ltd.	8.2	6.6	12.8	10.2	9.4	7.91%
Intel Corp.	12.9	22.0	21.4	21.1	19.4	9.12%
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co.	3.3	9.2	6.6	7.3	6.6	10.70%
The Southern Company	13.5	12.1	14.2	15.3	13.8	10.88%
Computer Sciences	4.6	9.1	6.3	7.8	7.0	12.76%
Eastman Kodak Company	-2.3	12.7	11.7	8.7	7.7	13.41%
Verizon Communications Inc.	12.0	22.3	22.5	22.8	19.9	14.63%
Sara Lee Corporation	1.3	3.1	3.6	3.3	2.8	15.25%
The Coca-Cola Company	3.4	2.5	3.5	3.9	3.3	16.14%
SBC Communications Inc.	16.3	14.9	19.4	21.1	17.9	17.67%
AT&T Corp.	3.3	21.7	22.1	20.1	16.8	19.83%
Amgen Inc.	2.3	1.8	22.3	11.6	9.5	22.20%
Hewlett-Packard Company	-2.3	3.9	9.7	4.9	4.0	22.37%
McDonald's Corporation	5.8	6.6	9.5	9.8	7.9	23.39%
The May Department Stores Company	3.3	6.7	6.4	7.8	6.0	28.99%
The Procter and Gamble Co.	2.0	5.0	8.2	7.5	5.7	32.24%
National Semiconductor Corporation	3.1	10.9	13.9	13.9	10.5	32.81%
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.8	2.1	32.95%
The Gillette Company	4.3	12.5	7.8	13.2	9.5	39.72%
The Black & Decker Corporation	1.5	5.3	4.6	6.2	4.4	40.94%
International Business Machines Corporation	-0.4	6.1	10.1	8.9	6.2	44.63%
Weyerhaeuser Company	3.1	4.3	6.1	7.8	5.3	46.06%
Home Depot Inc.	0.4	3.3	1.9	3.4	2.2	52.64%
Microsoft Corp.	-7.8	16.5	16.4	15.6	10.2	53.54%
Honeywell International Inc.	0.9	4.8	5.2	6.8	4.4	54.29%
Merck & Co., Inc.	0.8	2.7	9.3	8.1	5.2	54.40%
Allegheny Technologies Inc.	0.2	4.7	12.8	13.1	7.7	69.77%
American Electric Power	-6.0	9.8	4.8	6.5	3.8	73.38%
RadioShack Corporation	-5.2	10.9	3.4	7.3	4.1	78.37%
Baxter International Inc.	0.3	2.6	0.7	3.6	1.8	100.71%
Avon Products, Inc.	-3.0	3.9	0.4	1.5	0.7	120.46%
Toys "R" Us, Inc.	-2.1	3.5	3.1	5.6	2.5	122.79%
Unisys Corporation	-1.8	3.3	2.0	4.6	2.0	124.38%
General Dynamics Corporation	1.3	1.3	0.8	4.7	2.0	132.59%
The Williams Companies, Inc.	-25.7	7.5	-18.9	3.0	-8.5	135.23%
Pfizer Inc.	9.0	3.1	2.3	21.8	9.0	141.05%
Raytheon Company	4.2	-0.4	0.9	10.2	3.7	173.63%
El Paso Corporation	-5.8	6.5	14.7	35.0	12.6	177.87%
Sears, Roebuck and Co.	3.0	2.4	-4.2	3.0	1.0	189.84%
H.J. Heinz Company	-0.8	-7.4	0.4	3.5	-1.1	426.67%
Overall Total ECM for the Sample	5.1	8.7	8.3	6.8	7.2	-5.37%

Table 2
Adjusted Operating Cash Flow, Adjusted Operating Earnings, and Total Revenues for the S&P 100 Non-Financials, 2000 - 2003

**Excess Cash Margin Source Data (\$ Millions)** 2000 2000 2001 2002 2003 2003 Adjusted **Adjusted** Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted 2002 Adjusted Adjusted Operating Operating 2000 Total Operating Operating 2001 Total Operating Adjusted 2002 Total Operating Operating 2003 Total C/F C/F C/F **Company Name** Income Revenues Income Revenues C/F Income Revenues Income Revenues The AES Corporation 356 751 7,534 1,537 420 6,299 1,587 (714)7,380 1,422 400 8,415 Alcoa Inc. 2.877 1.537 22.936 2,425 1.200 21,504 1.967 756 20,351 2.486 1.046 22,576 Allegheny Technologies Inc. 151 146 2.460 123 23 2.128 209 1.908 90 (163)1.937 (36)9,212 80.408 81,832 Altria Group 11.151 8.339 73,503 8.970 80.879 11,778 9.739 10.840 9.403 (339)328 2.258 12.753 2.179 13.308 2.441 14.545 American Electric Power 11.113 1.008 1.546 1.489 25,866 American Express Company 6,288 2.745 23.675 5.077 2.727 22.582 6.689 2.705 23.807 2.811 3.084 Amgen Inc. 1.176 1.092 3.629 1.350 1.279 4.016 1.910 676 5.523 3.269 2.298 8.356 2.229 14.534 2.355 1.694 14.973 2.687 1.934 2.902 2.076 16,320 Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. 1.552 15.687 329 1.437 2.554 708 38.234 5.546 (11.682)37.314 5.715 2.745 39.565 Time Warner Inc. 36.213 AT&T Corp. 11,080 9.550 46,850 10,617 1.475 42.197 10,682 2,334 37.827 8,950 2,009 34,529 318 491 5.723 727 496 6.000 548 6.228 785 681 6.876 Avon Products. Inc. 572 Baker Hughes Incorporated 538 124 5,234 650 423 5,382 628 271 5.020 661 299 5,293 995 974 6.896 960 7.663 1.222 8.110 8.916 Baxter International Inc. 1.160 1.166 1.465 1.141 The Black & Decker Corporation 364 294 4.561 385 167 4.140 459 260 4.292 587 308 4,483 5.904 2.107 3.897 58.198 2.666 54.061 2.037 50.485 The Boeing Company 51.321 3.401 4.215 2.714 Boise Cascade Corp 489 118 7.807 430 20 7.422 316 40 7,412 291 33 8,245 Bristol-Myers Squibb Company 4,337 3.975 17.538 5.504 3.944 18.044 2.955 2.756 18.106 3.932 3.368 20.894 Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corp. 2,286 988 9,207 2,201 790 9,208 2,164 761 8,979 2,297 775 9,413 1,161 714 6.466 978 660 5.771 1.029 540 6.133 890 625 6.678 Campbell Soup Company 3.522 2.610 18.928 5.172 1.036 22.293 6.698 2.273 18.915 5.092 3.907 18.878 Cisco Systems, Inc. 672 8.931 Clear Channel Communications, Inc. 1,075 (157)5.345 (1,119)7.970 1.737 726 8.421 1,935 734 The Coca-Cola Company 3.808 3.218 17,354 4.296 3.849 17.545 4.817 4.134 19.564 5.600 4.787 21.044 9.358 1.451 9.084 9.294 9.903 Colgate-Palmolive Company 1,588 1.023 1.134 1.557 1.285 1.774 1,459 863 379 1.382 344 11.379 443 11.347 1.693 534 14.768 Computer Sciences 10.524 1.155 2.986 809 (308)160 13.305 128 13,303 Delta Air Lines. Inc. 16.741 (993)13.879 (990)(1,047)1.783 1.379 29.534 1.973 28.075 2.139 27.609 3.320 32.632 The Dow Chemical Co. 411 248 1.701 E.I. du Pont De Nemours and Company 4,418 2,380 29,202 5,289 1.130 25.370 2,815 2,208 24,522 3.173 2.160 27,730 989 1.308 13,994 2,207 531 13.229 2.408 909 12,835 1.789 626 13,317 Eastman Kodak Company El Paso Corporation 91 1.200 19,271 2.976 2.094 13,649 910 (106)6.917 2.814 464 6,711

# Table 2 (cont'd.) Adjusted Operating Cash Flow, Adjusted Operating Earnings, and Total Revenues for the S&P 100 Non-Financials, 2000 - 2003

**Excess Cash Margin Source Data (\$ Millions)** 2000 2000 2001 2001 2002 2003 2003 Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted 2002 Adjusted Adjusted Operating Operating 2000 Total Operating Operating 2001 Total Operating Adjusted 2002 Total Operating Operating 2003 Total Income C/F **Company Name** C/F C/F Revenues Revenues C/F Income Income Revenues Income Revenues **EMC** Corporation 1,838 1,794 8,873 1,425 (31)7,091 1,376 (80)5,438 1,446 526 6,237 10,022 2,252 670 9,621 1,875 842 8,305 9,195 **Entergy Corporation** 1,573 710 2,006 808 **Exelon Corporation** 1,100 652 7,499 3,424 1,540 14,918 3,099 1,587 14,955 3,400 1,786 15,812 22,632 23,242 15,581 232,748 15,462 213,488 20,046 11,276 204,506 26,727 17,278 246,738 **Exxon Mobil Corporation** FedEx Corporation 1,600 674 18,257 2,030 661 19,629 2,126 637 20,607 1,861 830 22,487 162,256 19,672 2,319 170,579 31,822 (1,860)160,504 18,926 4,611 15,854 1,157 164,196 Ford Motor Company General Dynamics Corporation 1,036 901 10,356 1,078 925 12,054 1,139 1,028 13,829 1,732 953 16,617 24,067 129,853 29,862 125,913 30,346 15,882 132,210 30,392 134,187 General Electric Company 10,376 13,121 15,347 19,063 3,725 184,632 23,515 2,146 169,051 9,830 4,017 177,324 3,899 2,910 185,524 **General Motors Corporation** 1,584 9,225 2,175 1,056 8,961 1,922 1,262 8,453 2,718 1,496 9,252 The Gillette Company 1,186 771 8,939 305 824 6,988 719 687 997 707 8,237 846 7,614 H.J. Heinz Company Halliburton Company (19)155 11,944 1,016 558 13,046 1,450 1,090 12,572 (776)361 16,271 528 115 3,330 750 197 3,648 706 327 4,099 720 312 4,323 Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. HCA Inc. 1,628 736 16,670 2,001 977 17,953 2,686 1,339 19,729 2,720 1,339 21,808 2,322 3,470 48,870 3,025 1,273 45,226 6,159 692 56,588 6,933 3,331 73,061 Hewlett-Packard Company Home Depot Inc. 2,751 2,581 45,738 4,801 3,053 53,553 4,764 3,664 58,247 6,514 4,304 64,816 23,103 Honeywell International Inc. 2,324 2,104 25,023 2,540 1,410 23,652 2,960 1,801 22,274 3,153 1,577 Intel Corp. 12,517 8,181 33,726 7,625 1,782 26,539 9,642 3,901 26,764 11,835 5,467 30,141 13,235 International Business Machines Corp. 7,038 7,393 88,396 8,188 83,067 14,662 6,466 81,186 15,447 7,486 89,131 2,855 254 28,180 1,983 (128)26,363 2,392 771 24,976 1,927 538 25,179 International Paper Company 6,883 4,995 29,172 8,870 5,867 32,317 8,113 6,936 36,298 10,382 7,963 41,862 Johnson & Johnson The Limited, Inc. 822 413 9,080 1,099 365 8,423 795 534 8,445 1,138 602 8,934 (964)1,206 28,904 (2,580)(8,422)21,294 791 (10,711)12,321 (653)(650)8,470 Lucent Technologies, Inc. 1,336 858 14,511 1,631 706 13,883 1,481 616 13,491 1,670 630 13,343 The May Department Stores Company 2,748 1,922 2,706 1,717 2,913 15,406 17,141 McDonald's Corporation 14,243 14,870 1,456 3,404 1,729 173 145 540 245 149 621 256 (286)853 347 180 1,054 MedImmune. Inc. 993 1,998 5,552 Medtronic. Inc. 1,093 5,016 1,241 1,793 1,323 6,411 2,037 1,703 7,665 7,127 6,800 40,363 8,330 7,050 47,716 8,623 3,790 51,790 8,455 6,643 22,485 Merck & Co., Inc. 6,497 8,295 22,956 12,959 8,780 25,296 13,746 9,093 28,365 15,180 10,148 32,187 Microsoft Corp. 2,342 1,796 16,724 3,174 1,689 16,079 16,332 3,797 18,232 3,181 2,100 2,463 Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co.

# Table 2 (cont'd.) Adjusted Operating Cash Flow, Adjusted Operating Earnings, and Total Revenues for the S&P 100 Non-Financials, 2000 - 2003

**Excess Cash Margin Source Data (\$ Millions)** 2000 2000 2001 2001 2002 2003 2003 Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted 2002 Adjusted Adjusted Operating Operating 2000 Total Operating Operating 2001 Total Operating Adjusted 2002 Total Operating Operating 2003 Total **Company Name** C/F C/F Revenues C/F C/F Income Income Revenues Income Income Revenues Revenues National Semiconductor Corporation 501 434 2,140 506 275 2,113 97 (111)1,495 237 5 1,673 503 5,714 1,071 7,689 8,721 3,277 1,558 10,820 Nextel Communications. Inc. (782)(1,548)2,486 480 Norfolk Southern Corporation 1,004 73 6,159 755 326 6,170 1,085 431 6,270 1,134 499 6,468 9,475 5,233 2,011 10,231 1,036 2,578 10,961 3,236 2,393 9,673 2,939 2,432 **Oracle Corporation** 25,479 PepsiCo Inc. 4,507 2,577 4,050 2,545 26,935 4,312 3,140 25,112 4,395 3,700 26,971 7,841 5,504 26,045 8,971 8,080 29,024 10,323 9,569 32,373 13,936 4,086 45,188 Pfizer Inc. The Procter and Gamble Co. 4,880 4,063 39,951 6,024 4,069 39,244 8,238 4,944 40,238 8,933 5,674 43,377 117 4,795 780 258 4,776 251 4,577 630 290 4,649 RadioShack Corporation 368 406 1,060 398 15,817 422 479 16,017 1,461 1,313 16,760 2,587 741 18,109 Raytheon Company 650 **Rockwell International Corporation** 318 4,722 368 177 4,323 476 225 3,909 436 249 4,104 1,385 1,872 1,320 17,747 1,124 17,628 18,291 1,158 17,511 1,767 1,810 1,214 Sara Lee Corporation 14,999 SBC Communications Inc. 15,121 6,742 51,374 8,149 45,908 15,471 7,117 43,138 13,480 4,867 40,843 1,531 721 9,831 1,083 589 13,998 1,909 (381)13,257 2,017 903 14,059 Schlumberger N.V. Sears, Roebuck and Co. 2,703 1,490 40,848 2,379 1,397 40,990 (319)1,433 41,366 2,524 1,299 41,124 2,349 994 10,066 2,349 1,119 10,155 2,816 1,318 10,549 3,093 1,377 11,251 The Southern Company Texas Instruments Incorporated 2,826 1,950 11,875 2,071 (26)8,201 2,020 185 8,383 2,238 1,164 9,834 575 229 Toys "R" Us, Inc. (38)204 11,332 185 11,019 574 11,305 793 143 11,566 Tyco International Ltd. 5,734 3,364 28,928 6,415 4,168 34,002 5,564 1,015 35,590 5,594 1,844 36,801 206 327 300 5,607 259 6,885 99 6,018 356 243 530 5,911 **Unisys Corporation** United Technologies Corporation 2,451 1,808 26,583 2,797 2,164 27,897 2,706 2,466 28,212 1,979 2,470 31,034 17,934 10,192 64,707 19,497 4,611 66,713 22,100 6,986 67,304 22,949 7,509 67,752 Verizon Communications Inc. 23,223 24,606 Viacom Inc. 1,775 66 20,044 3,150 (240)2,980 2,227 3,424 2,251 26,585 9,428 6,295 193,116 10,295 205,823 12,844 7,818 231,577 15,963 8,672 258,681 Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 6,448 The Walt Disney Company 3,675 682 25,418 3,074 1,082 25,172 2,358 1,081 25,329 2,883 1,389 27,061 1,468 976 1,062 430 1,471 348 18,521 1,827 286 19,873 Weyerhaeuser Company 15,980 14,545 The Williams Companies, Inc. (880)807 6,559 1,311 914 5,303 (1,148)(447)3,717 574 69 16,834 18,751 **Xerox Corporation** 37 (115)2,215 (149)17,008 2,372 690 15,849 2,048 662 15,701 322,262 195,310 2,502,681 373,906 159,247 2,478,156 369,662 160,133 2,517,293 389,359 204,511 2,704,164 Totals