

3D-Path Arrival Management (3DPAM): Simulations and Field Tests

Rich Coppenbarger NASA Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA

2009 Environmental Working Group Operations Standing Committee Face-to-Face Workshop NASA Ames Research Center, July 28-29, 2009

3DPAM Concept





Ground automation generates *comprehensive* arrival clearance

- Trajectory-based, metering conformant, conflict free
- Designed for efficient, continuous descent to TRACON meter fix
- Issued via voice (future: datalink)

Airborne automation provides guidance and control along the preplanned arrival trajectory

- FMS generates guidance trajectory to meter fix based on 3DPAM clearance (includes top-of-descent derivation)
- Couples with autopilot for lateral and vertical path management

En Route Descent Advisor (EDA)



3DPAM Project Overview

- Collaborative effort between NASA, FAA, and Boeing, with support from United and Continental Airlines
- Simulations and field experiments will focus on Denver Center
 - Denver controller team formed to assist with iterative design and development
 - "Build a little, test a little" development approach
- NASA's efforts are aimed at developing and validating EDA for tech transfer to the FAA
 - Technology transfer will start in 2010
 - FAA final investment decision in 2012
 - EDA deployment targeted for 2015
 - Deployment expected to occur within FAA's En Route Automation Modernization (ERAM) system, Post Build 3
 - FAA collaboration guided by the Efficient Flow Into Congested Airspace (EFICA) Research Transition Team

3DPAM: High-Level Project Schedule

								2009							2010											2011					
	ā 🖸 1	Activity Name	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun
1		 EDA Software Development 					, in the second s																							_	
225		Closed-loop Testing						-	-																					_	
230		Shadow Testing								-			-	-			-	-	_	_	_	-		-	-						
232		HITL #1: "Shakedown" of newly introduced EDA capabilities and 3DPAM HITL simulation infrastructure			•																					*					
241		 HITL#2: Will focus on evaluating core EDA algorithmic performance – mainly CD&R – and design improvements identified in HITL#1 										•																			
251		HITL #3: Evaluates EDA performance under off-nominal and error conditions that trigger secondary advisories							3								•														
260		HITL #4: Evaluates EDA performance in the presence of real-world prediction uncertainty, introduced by wind and weight errors																			٠										
269		HITL #5: Studies EDA's ability to provide decision support under all traffic conditions, including low-density operations																			8			٠							
278		HITL #6: Includes mixed equipage operations																						-						•	
282		HITL #7 (if required for tech transfer): Comparison of EDA operations to lesser-automated baselines for final benefits quantification																													•
286		Denver Flight Trials						+																		-					
287		Field Test #1: Validation of EDA trajectory predictions and 3DPAM procedures, plus OMB demo;	-							٠																					
300	***	Field Test #2: EDA evaluation by by controllers in actual operations at ZDV					-																								-

HITL Simulation #1 April 2009

Simulation Objective

Obtain controller feedback on EDA system performance and user interface, as implemented to support the 3DPAM concept of operations



HITL Simulation System



ZDV Airspace



Concept-Related Findings

- Forward-looking, trajectory-based arrival solutions require a different level of situational awareness than for current-day operations
- Controllers expressed interest in using cruise-altitude changes for conflict resolution
- Controllers like idea of giving the entire arrival clearance as early as possible for simplicity and workload reasons – but have some procedural concerns:
 - Today, controllers protect airspace to accommodate a descent at any point after a descent clearance is issued
 - 3DPAM requires an expectation that aircraft will descend only at their FMSpredicted TOD. This is a psychological shift for controllers
 - Shared awareness of FMS TOD is important. In the future, data-link provides an obvious solution. TOD concern was alleviated in the simulation by requiring aircraft to report when ~10 nmi from FMS-predicted TOD



Field Test #1 September 2009

Field Test #1: Objective

- 1. Assess the accuracy and precision of EDA trajectory predictions, upon which 3DPAM clearances are based
 - Quantify TOD, along-track, and vertical trajectory-prediction errors
 - Use results to help develop trajectory uncertainty models for use in upcoming simulations
- 2. Help the FAA meet its milestone for demonstrating 3DPAM operations at Denver Center by Sept. 2009

Field Test #1: Description

- Flight trials scheduled to begin Sept 8, 2009
- UAL, COA and FAA Tech-Center flights
 - UAL: B757, B737, and A319/A320
 - COA: B737-800, B737-900
 - FAA Tech-Center: Bombardier Global 5000
- Commercial operations:
 - Minimum of 2 weeks and 200 flights
 - Maximum of 4 weeks
- FAA flight operations (Tech Center):
 - OMB demo activity 9/15-16
 - Data flights 9/21-25; approx 5 flights per day
- Pre-scripted clearances; no EDA automation
 - UAL/COA flights will receive speed clearances
 - FAA flights will receive speed and path clearances







Field Test #1: Sequence of Events Speed-Only Example



Field Test #2

- Planned for March 2011
- EDA prototype deployed for real-time decision support
- Advisories presented on the DSR glass for operational integrity
- Two controller positions at test sector
 - 1. EDA controller (Test R-side)
 - Uses an auxiliary display to control traffic (DSR-like functionality)
 - When test is underway, EDA controller issues all voice clearances
 - 2. Safety Controller (True R-side)
 - Provides safety back-up during test can terminate at any time
 - May provide some nominal ATC support functions TBD (e.g., accepting hand-offs, etc)



Summary

- EDA automation and procedures have been adapted to the 3DPAM concept, aimed at providing near-term OPD benefits in congested en route airspace
- Tech-transfer process will involve a series of HITL simulations and field tests through 2011
- April simulation (HITL#1) was a "shakedown" activity used to refine the EDA prototype and simulation environment in preparation for more formal evaluations
- Despite some early system-performance issues, controllers found the concept "very workable", with confidence improving as the week progressed
- Situational awareness of intended trajectory, especially TOD, is a concern, but appears solvable through a combination of automation and procedures
- Work is underway in preparation for HITL#2 (Nov 2009), and flight trials at Denver Center (Sept 2009)