DNA Methylation and Genome Evolution

Soojin Yi School of Biology Georgia Institute of Technology

DNA methylation

- A type of 'epigenetic' modification
 - 'chemical' marking of a base
- Widespread in the tree of life





Cytosine methylation



- Only cytosines followed by guanine in the 3-prime position ('CpG')
- Main mode of DNA methylation in animal genomes

DNA methylation: 'silencer' or 'dimmer' of gene expression

- Transcriptional gene silencing
- Chromatin compaction
- Suppression of homologous recombination between repeats
- X chromosome inactivation
- Genomic imprinting
- A main source of mutations: affect several aspects of genome evolution



DNA methylation: highly mutagenic





Increase point mutations from CpG to TpG (or from CpG to CpA) : 'CpG mutation'

CpG mutation is a major input into mammalian genome evolution



The most common mutation in the human genome (25% of all mutations between human and chimpanzee)

The chimpanzee genome consortium 2005

Due to this property, CpG dinucleotides are rare in the human genome (only about 1/5 of what is expected)

DNA methylation influences several aspects of genome evolution

- DNA methylation and molecular clock
 - Kim et al. 2006 PLoS Genetics
- DNA methylation and compositional evolution
 - Elango et al. 2008 PLoS Comp. Biol.
- DNA methylation and evolution of vertebrate promoters
 - Elango & Yi 2008 Mol. Biol. Evol.
- The pattern and functional role of DNA methylation in the honeybee genome
 - Elango, Hunt et al. submitted



DNA methylation influences several aspects of genome evolution

- DNA methylation and molecular clock
 - Kim et al. 2006 PLoS Genetics
- DNA methylation and compositional evolution
 - Elango et al. 2008 PLoS Comp. Biol.
- DNA methylation and evolution of vertebrate promoters
 - Elango & Yi 2008 Mol. Biol. Evol.
- The pattern and functional role of DNA methylation in the honeybee genome
 - Elango, Hunt et al. submitted





Normalized CpG Contents (CpG O/E): measure of historical levels of methylation

- Normalized CpG contents $CpGO / E = \frac{freq(CpG)_{Obs}}{freq(CpG)_{Exp}}$
- Negatively correlated with the level of genomic methylation (Bird 1980)

Human genome: most CpG are methylated in the majority of tissues ('global DNA methylation')



Exceptions to this pattern: promoter regions

Elango & Yi, 2008, Mol. Biol. Evol.

Promoter DNA methylation and regulation of gene expression



- Promoter methylation: repress transcription
 - Methylated cytosines can recruit specific family of proteins (e.g., MBDs) that can inhibit gene expression
 - For example, MBD2 participates in protein complexes that recruit transcriptional co-repressors, chromatin remodeling proteins, and histone acetylases
- Broadly expressed genes: tend to be hypomethylated
- tissue-specific genes: tend to be hyper-methylated



Mammalian promoters: bimodal



Elango & Yi, 2008, Mol. Biol. Evol.





- LCG (low CpG) and HCG (high CpG) promoters
- HCGs are associated with CpG islands
- LCGs and HCGs are functionally distinct
 - HCGs: broadly-expressed genes
 - LCGs: tissue-specific genes

Evolution of hyper- and hypomethylated human promoters



• When did the bimodality of promoters evolve?

 How and why did the bimodality of promoters originate?

Distribution of DNA methylation pattern in animal genomes



Distribution of DNA methylation pattern in animal genomes



Global DNA methylation is vertebratespecific

Distant Invertebrates:?

Sea squirt (*Ciona intestinalis*): Patchy methylation Targeted to genes TEs are not methylated

Human:

Global methylation Except CpG islands TEs heavily methylated







Miho M. Suzuki et al. Genome Res. 2007; 17: 625-631



Elango & Yi, 2008, Mol. Biol. Evol.

Contrasting patterns of methylation between *C. intestinalis* and *H. sapiens*





Elango & Yi, 2008, Mol. Biol. Evol.



Bimodality is a statistically far-better fit to the observed distribution of CpG O/E of vertebrate promoters



Bimodality is a statistically far-better fit to the observed distribution of CpG O/E of vertebrate promoters LCGs CpG O/E are similar to introns



Bimodality is a statistically far-better fit to the observed distribution of CpG O/E of vertebrate promoters LCGs CpG O/E are similar to introns Are they functionally distinct?

Functional consequence of bimodality of vertebrate promoters



Analyzed EST data

| | # tissues | Bottom100 | Тор100 | LCG | HCG |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
| zebrafish | 14 | 21.4 % | 42.8 % | 28.5 % | 35.7 % |
| frog | 21 | 19.0 % | 38.0 % | 19.0 % | 28.5 % |
| chicken | 18 | 27.7 % | 50.0 % | 27.7 % | 44.4 % |
| human | 49 | 14.2 % | 67.3 % | 20.4 % | 61.2 % |

Tissue-specific genes are hyper-methylated in diverse vertebrates

Elango & Yi, 2008, Mol. Biol. Evol.



Bimodality is a statistically far-better fit to the observed distribution of CpG O/E of vertebrate promoters LCGs CpG O/E are similar to introns LCG and HCG are functionally distinct: LCG: tissue-specific HCG: broadly expressed

Elango & Yi, 2008, Mol. Biol. Evol.



A model for evolution of promoter bimodality of vertebrates



A model for evolution of promoter bimodality of vertebrates



A model for evolution of promoter bimodality of vertebrates



Balance between mutational decay of LCGs and selective preservation of HCGs

Evidence for our methylation model for evolution of promoter bimodality I.

- CpG loss is greater in LCGs than in HCGs
- Comparison of human and chimpanzee genome (using rhesus monkey as an outgroup) Weber et al. Nat. Genet. (2007) 39:457





Evidence for our methylation model for evolution of promoter bimodality II.

- CpG islands are preferentially lost from the promoters of tissue-specific genes
- Comparison of human and mouse genome (using dog as an outgroup) Jiang et al. Mol. Biol. Evol. (2007) 24:1991



Conclusions



- Vertebrate promoters are bimodal with regard to their CpG contents
- LCG promoters formed due to mutational decay of CpG dinucleotides, caused by the advent of global genomic methylation.
- HCG promoters avoided mutational decay of CpG, and formed CpG islands.

DNA methylation influences several aspects of genome evolution

- DNA methylation and molecular clock
 - Kim et al. 2006 PLoS Genetics
- DNA methylation and compositional evolution
 - Elango et al. 2008 PLoS Comp. Biol.
- DNA methylation and evolution of vertebrate promoters
 - Elango & Yi 2008 Mol. Biol. Evol.
- The pattern and functional role of DNA methylation in the honeybee genome
 - Elango, Hunt et al. submitted



Distribution of DNA methylation pattern in animal genomes



Discovery of complete methylation system in honeybee morphological O group. udy] may occur 26. R. E. Page Jr., J. Gadau, M. Beye, Genetics 160, 375 20 July 2006; accepted 5 October 2006 , a small subset of

(2002).

/ high differentia-Africanized New Functional CpG Methylation triangles). These d M groups from System in a Social Insect otential sites for

Ying Wang,¹ Mireia Jorda,² Peter L. Jones,¹ Ryszard Maleszka,³ Xu Ling,⁴ Hugh M. Robertson,^{5,6,7} Craig A. Mizzen,^{1,6} Miguel A. Peinado,² Gene E. Robinson^{5,6,7}*

10.1126/science.1132772

DNA methylation systems are well characterized in vertebrates, but methylation in Drosophila melanogaster and other invertebrates remains controversial. Using the recently sequenced honey bee genome, we present a bioinformatic, molecular, and biochemical characterization of a functional DNA methylation system in an insect. We report on catalytically active orthologs of the vertebrate DNA methyltransferases Dnmt1 and Dnmt3a and b, two isoforms that contain a methyl-DNA binding domain, genomic 5-methyl-deoxycytosine, and CpG-methylated genes. The honey bee provides an opportunity to study the roles of methylation in social contexts.

large number of ther investigate thin and among lection.

fera from A. m.

in or near genes

curred in coding licted to cause a ange (in a gene

Although some

inction, it is also

hitchhiked with

under selection.

val view of the

4. mellifera. Fu-

).

eeping and Honey 19). y Bees (CABI, New tium, Nature, in press. hylogenet. Evol. 37, tomy of Honeybees dologie (Celle) 34, Celle) 22, 627 (1991).

(Belknap, Harvard pp. 94-95. 17 (1989). 267).

vidologie (Celle) 20,

mong the many important functions of CpG DNA methylation, sex-specific reg-Lulation of gene expression (imprinting) in vertebrates stands out because it provides insight into intragenomic conflict (1, 2). Provided social insects have CpG methylation, they would be ideal models to further explore the kin-conflict theory of imprinting, because insect societies are composed of many different types of relatives and they interact with each other in many evolutionarily important contexts (2, 3).

However, although widely conserved from yeast and fungi to plants to vertebrates, DNA methylation in insects is enigmatic. Evidence of CpG-methylated sequences exists for several insect species (4-6), but no bona fide invertebrate deoxycytosine methyltransferases (DNMTs) have been described. Conversely, the model insect Drosophila melanogaster shows limited DNA methylation, predominantly in asymmetric CpT and CpA dinucleotides (7), and this is attributed to the only DNMT family member encoded in its genome, dDNMT2, a tRNAAsp methyltransferase

¹Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. ²IDIBELL-Cancer Research Institute, L'Hospitalet 08907, Catalonia, Spain. ³Visual Sciences and Australian Research Council Centre for Molecular Genetics of Development, Research School of Biological Sciences, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia. ⁴Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. ⁵Department of Entomology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. 6Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. ⁷Neuroscience Program, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: generobi@life.uiuc.edu

Dnmt (DNA methyltransferases) in the human and mouse genome:





dnmt1 dnmt2 dnmt3 C. elegans P. pacificus fruitfly mosquito silkworm honeybee solitary wasp head louse mammals

Sources: Maleszka 2008; Suzuki & Bird 2008

dnmt1 dnmt2 dnmt3



Sources: Maleszka 2008; Suzuki & Bird 2008

The pattern and role of DNA methylation in honeybee



- What is the pattern of genomic methylation in honeybee?
 - Patchy or global?
- What is the role of DNA methylation in honeybee?
 - To silence transposable elements
 - To check transcription of housekeeping genes
 - Related to caste differentiation

- What is the pattern of genomic methylation in honeybee?
 - Analyzed the distribution of CpG O/E in honeybee genes

- What is the pattern of genomic methylation in honeybee?
 - Bimodal distribution of CpG O/E in intragenic regions







- What is the pattern of genomic methylation in honeybee?
 - Bimodal distribution of CpG O/E in intragenic regions



Number of genes





- What is the pattern of genomic methylation in honeybee?
 - Bimodal distribution of CpG O/E in intragenic regions
 - LCG: methylated genes
 - HCG: non-methylated genes
 - Transposable element:
 - Analyzed the mariner element
 - CpG O/E of the mariner element: ~1
 - TE not likely to be a main target of methylation
 - Likely to be 'patchy' methylation (similar to Ciona)



Analyzed functions of LCG and HCG genes



- What is role of DNA methylation in honeybee?
 - Analyzed functions of LCG and HCG genes
 - LCG and HCG genes enriched in functionally distinct GO terms

| LCG | HCG | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Translation | Cellular communication | |
| Biosynthesis | Organ and system development | |
| Response to DNA damage | Signal transduction | |
| | Cell adhesion | |

- What is role of DNA methylation in honeybee?
 - Analyzed functions of LCG and HCG genes

LCG and HCG genes enriched in functionally distinct GO terms

| nousekeeping | aevelopment | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| LCG | HCG | |
| Translation | Cellular communication | |
| Biosynthesis | Organ and system development | |
| Response to DNA damage | Signal transduction | |
| | Cell adhesion | |

Elango, Hunt et al. submitted



DNA methylation and phenotypic plasticity

- Social insets: model of phenotypic plasticity
- Highly social (eusocial) behavior
 - Overlap of generations
 - Cooperative brood care
 - Reproductive division of labor often marked by caste polyphenism









Worker Adult



Queen Adult

Dnmt3 silencing in honeybees

Nutritional Control of Reproductive Status in Honeybees via DNA Methylation

R. Kucharski,* J. Maleszka,* S. Foret, R. Maleszka† Science 2008







Epigenetic regulation: widespread methylation in social insects



Proportion of methylated restriction sites as the number of AFLP fragments exhibiting evidence of methylation.

Kronforst et al. 2008, Current Biology

- Methylation status of honeybee genes may be associated with caste-specific genes: e.g. workers develop when queen-specific genes are silenced by methylation
 - Prediction I: Queen-specific genes should be enriched for the hypomethylated class (HCG)
 - Prediction II: If genes hypomethylated in the germline are more accessible to regulation via methylation, castespecific genes should be enriched for HCG

Prediction I: Queen-specific genes should be enriched for HCG





Prediction II: caste-specific genes should be enriched for HCG



| | LCG | HCG | |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Caste-specific | 75 (127.74) | 185 (132.25) | · |
| Caste-generic | 973 (920.25) | 900 (952.75) | |
| | | | $P < 10^{-10}$ |
| | | | (Chi-square test) |

 Genes with caste-biased expression from smallscale studies overwhelmingly belong to the HCG class (22/28)

The pattern and role of DNA methylation in honeybee



- What is the pattern of genomic methylation in honeybee? patchy
- What is the role of DNA methylation in honeybee?
 - To silence transposable elements ×
 - To check transcription of housekeeping genes
 - Related to caste differentiation

Future Directions



- Evolutionary point of bimodality by analyzing early vertebrates (jawless fish) and ancestral chordates such as amphioxus
- Analyses of other invertebrate genomes for the signature of DNA methylation using CpG O/E and direct measurement of methyl-cytosines
- Experimental analyses of DNA methylation in honeybee and yellowjackets
 - Are the levels of DNA methylation and the level of gene expression correlated?
 - Are different castes show different levels of DNA methylation?

Acknowledgement

- Co-authors, collaborators: Navin Elango, Brendan Hunt, Michael Goodisman
- Lab members/Alumni: Zuogang Peng, Tim Nowack, Varun Kilau, Nitya Sharma, Jeeyoung Lee, Eddie Loh, Ke Xu, Seongho Kim, Charles Warden
- NSF, Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, Blanchard-Milliken Faculty Fellowship

Thank you for your attention!



CpG substitution and CpG islands

Over evolutionary timescale, CpG will gradually be depleted by DNA methylation CpG islands: clusters of CpG dinucleotides in higher frequency than the rest of the genome Some ad hoc criteria: > 200 (or 500) GC > 55% CpG Observed more than 50% of the expected Mostly found in 5' of genes Some intragenic CpG islands





Drosophila melanogaster intragenic $CpG_{O/E}$