

TECHNIQUE

"The South's Liveliest College Newspaper"

ONLINE <http://cyberbuzz.gatech.edu/technique>

Serving Georgia Tech since 1911 • Volume 87, Issue 18 • 36 pages

Remember ReJOYce? SGA may revise student org. anti-discrimination policy.

NEWS page 3

Weight gain on your mind? Anorexia and bulimia rise among college students.

FOCUS page 15

Opinions 8

Focus 15

Entertainment 21

Comics 28

Sports 36



Dead week dies after long fight

Next week marks the first time in which the week before finals week, which has been traditionally known as dead week, will actually be dead. According to academic administrators and student leaders, no professors are to give exams or assign projects or papers to be due during the next week.

The dead week policy comes into full enforcement this year as part of a compromise with students over a year ago, when faculty and administrators decided to end the senior finals exemption. Making dead week truly dead was seen as a compromise.

Undergraduate SGA Executive Vice President Nate Watson encourages students to inform professors of the policy if they have assignments scheduled for dead week, but also understand that, at this point, it will be difficult to change plans. Students who have questions or problems concerning dead week can also contact the SGA Academic Affairs Committee.

Finals start on Monday Dec. 10

Final exams begin on Monday December 10. A full schedule of finals can be found on page 7 of this paper.

Staff to serve midnight meal

Students will be able to enjoy a "Midnight Breakfast" starting at 11:00 p.m. on Tuesday, December 11. Faculty members will serve and eat with students until 1:00 a.m. the next morning at the Student Center Food Court.

Groundbreaking SAC II



By Scott King / STUDENT PUBLICATIONS

Tech students and staff members wait for their turn to get a bottle full of water from the Olympic pool, which closed with the SAC II groundbreaking.

Some seniors short hours will graduate

Tony Kluemper
Assistant News Editor

Students who found themselves a fraction of a humanities or social science credit short to graduate due to semester conversion may be able to graduate after all. The Undergraduate Curriculum Committee decided on Wednesday to waive the fraction of credit that some students lacked due to the semester conversion that took place in 1999.

Throughout the meeting, the committee looked specifically at two groups of students who had filed a petition to graduate. The first were those who were less than an hour of credit short while the other group of students were as much as two credit hours short.

According to Registrar Jo McIver, a rough estimate of those students who had accumulated senior status this semester found that there were 76 students less than an hour short in Humanities and 163 less than an hour of credit short in Social Sciences. However, the students who were between one and two hours of credit were greater with almost 400 students coming up short

in Humanities or Social Science credit.

Because such a large number of students will be effected, Curriculum Committee chairman Joe Hughes felt that it was important that the committee make a formal policy on this issue. "This is more than just three or four students," said Hughes. "It would be very useful to make an official statement for the students."

The discussion dealt first with those students who were less than an hour of credit short. Curriculum Committee student member Brian George felt that it was not fair to penalize a student just because their hours were mixed up due to semester conversion. "Should we really say that a student who is .33 of a credit short should have to take an extra class to get their required hours," said George.

However, Hughes argued that most of the students had time to plan around the semester conversion. "Everyone had two years under semesters and one year of warning that the change was oc-

See *Grad*, page 7

Faculty to consider Student Bill of Rights next week

By Jody Shaw
News Editor

Next Tuesday members of the Faculty Senate will vote on the proposed Student Bill of Academic Rights. The idea of the Student Bill of Academic Rights began over a year ago in the Academic Affairs committee of the Student Government Association, which was chaired at the time by current Executive Vice President Nate Watson.

The idea came, in part, after Physics faculty members attempted to implement evening quiz examinations

that were not pre-scheduled on OSCAR. SGA took the lead in convincing the School of Physics to cancel the exams, and then it sought a way to ensure that such unscheduled exams would not be attempted in the future. This right is one of twelve that make up the proposed bill.

"Once the idea was developed in the Academic Affairs Committee of Student Government, it was examined by members of the Undergraduate Student Government," said Watson.

"Student Government members passed a resolution saying that they

supported a Student Bill of Academic Rights with these basic principles."

Watson and his coauthor E.W. Looney then took their proposal to faculty members and administrators to receive their feedback on the idea.

"We found that faculty and administrators were all very receptive of this because it is not a bill of laws, but it clearly defines things that should be going on in classrooms and in many cases already are."

In order to make such a Bill of Rights official Tech policy, it requires a change in the Student Rules and Regulations, which are printed in the

back of each and every Tech catalogue.

The Student Rules and Regulations Committee of the Faculty Senate makes such changes. In October, the committee reviewed the proposal.

The committee made a few grammatical and wording changes to the proposed change, and then passed it unanimously out of committee to the Senate.

The Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate also passed the bill

See *Rights*, page 6

Stadium construction causes East campus power outage

By Jody Shaw
News Editor

East Campus residents received a surprise on Wednesday afternoon when the Department of Housing informed them that it would be forced to shut off their power from 5:00 p.m. through early Thursday morning. The outage led to a number of students who were unable to complete assignments, take showers, or eat meals at their East Campus residences.

The power outage was the result of an incident that occurred at approximately 1:30 p.m. on Wednesday afternoon when contractors, who are working on the expansion of Bobby Dodd Stadium accidentally damaged the electrical duct bank containing the 4160v feeder power

line for East Campus. Housing informed residents that urgent power repairs were necessary due to the potential explosion hazard created by the accident.

Among the East Campus buildings affected by the power outage were: Perry, Matheson, Hanson, Hopkins, Brittain, Brown, Harris, Smith, Field and Lyman Halls.

Residence Hall Association President Jason Wang believes that "almost every resident knew" about the power outage before it happened, but some residents in Area II felt Housing did not provide them with enough time to make proper arrangements for the power outage. Some noted that they did not receive emails until almost 5:00 p.m. even though housing officials first found out about the incident in the

early afternoon.

Dean of Students Gail DiSabatino ensured that students would not be penalized academically for any inability to complete assignments because of the outage.

With the closing of Brittain Dining Hall, students with meal plans were encouraged to go to Woodruff. Many Area II residents also got some relief from the darkness, as RHA set up a movie screen and grilled hot dogs and hamburgers to entertain them during the outage period.

The Department of Housing also took a number of precautions to ensure resident safety during the outage.

Because some dorms are not equipped with emergency lighting, housing staff members performed hourly safety and fire checks.



By David Ziskind / STUDENT PUBLICATIONS

Peter Jensen and Megan Lutz helped grill hotdogs and hamburgers for Area II residents stranded without power. Housing made the announcement of the outage around 4:00 p.m., service stopped at 5:15 p.m.

Technique Online Voice Your Opinion!

Last issue’s poll garnered 67 responses to the question: “Did you encounter any problems when registering for the classes you needed for next semester?”




Image by Ben Small / STUDENT PUBLICATIONS

This week’s poll is about dead week. Do you still have tests and assignments the week before finals? Let us know about the shaft. Tell us at www.cyberbuzz.gatech.edu/technique.

From the archives...

The Nique’s top stories from:

5 years ago: Dec. 6, 1996—The orientation advisory board began to look at ways to change and improve the FASET orientation program for the upcoming summer. The Jackets defeated UGA at the “Thrillerdome” by a final score of 62-61 after falling to the Dawgs in football action the week before.

10 years ago: 1991—An issue of the *Technique* did not run this week in 1991. Because the winter quarter usually ended during the first week of December under quarters, THWUGA was always the last issue before the Christmas break.


15 years ago: Nov. 21, 1986—Georgia Tech head football coach Bill Curry appeared as a witness in a high school pre-game prayer trial in Douglas County. A new scholarship fund was created from funds generated through the sale of merchandise featuring the Georgia Tech “Buzz” logo. The scholarship fund was termed the “Buzz Fund” after the popular logo.



Quiz Around Campus!


Yes, one last time!

- 1) Due to the power outage, students on east campus received:
- a) a play-by-play of Dawson’s Creek.
 - b) hamsters and turbines to power their computers.
 - c) a cookout.
 - d) candles, which violate the Housing safety codes.

- 2) Here we see:
- 
- a) students trying to pull Augustus Gloop from the pull.
 - b) students cheating at “Marco Polo.”
 - c) students getting a bottle of pool water.
 - d) the revival of the drownproofing class.

- 3) Which show have Penn and Teller not appeared on?
- a) *The Drew Carey Show*.
 - b) *The Binewski Carnival Fabulon*.
 - c) *The Simpsons*.
 - d) *Dharma and Greg*.

- 4) In Derek’s midlife crisis, he wishes to:
- a) get the trophy wife (a.k.a. “arm candy”).
 - b) get a silver Corvette with black leather interior.
 - c) get a Caesar salad with raspberry vinaigrette.
 - d) buy a Ford Focus painted burnt orange.

- 5) This machine:
- 
- a) can grow crystal-like materials one atom at a time.
 - b) ferments wine faster than any fancy-schmancy French vineyard.
 - c) just looks expensive.
 - d) takes over four hours to polish.

UGA line culture returns with revised ticketing procedures

By Daniel Amick
Contributing Writer

Last week, Georgia Tech students lined up to get tickets to the annual football grudge-match with UGA. Starting early Sunday evening and lasting through the night, somewhere between 800 and 1000 students staked out positions around Bobby Dodd Stadium. The line eventually stretched almost all the way to North Avenue.

"It's something to experience. It's something new," said freshman Joy Patel. "I camped out because I wanted to see the game and I had nothing better to do."

Students brought with them chairs, couches, tents, TVs, stereos, food, cold drinks, and just about anything else they could think of to make their wait along the curbside of Techwood Drive a little more fun.

Around midnight, ticket officials handed out 101 vouchers to reserve students' places in line. After that,

students returned to talking, enjoying video games, and playing freeze tag to pass the hours until 7 am Monday morning, when ticket distribution finally began.

The last time large numbers of GT students camped outside of Bobby Dodd Stadium for tickets was on September 3.

On that occasion, unruly students eager to get FSU tickets rushed the window without regard for the order of the line. In the resulting confusion, some students who had received vouchers did not get tickets.

The disturbance led the Georgia Tech Athletic Association (GTAA) to announce a new set of policies along with a promise of stricter enforcement of existing rules.

During future campouts, the no-alcohol policy was to be strictly enforced and GT police officers were to be on hand continuously. In addition, the starting point of the line as well as the exact time that students could begin to line up was to

be more strictly regulated.

Last week's UGA campout proved the first real test of the revised procedures and they seem to have worked as planned.

"Obviously, [distribution] ran much better than FSU," said Mike Harris, the Student Ticket Committee Chair.

This was also due in part to the fact that far more tickets were available for this game than in the case of FSU. Only 800 FSU tickets were available to students, while over 7000 tickets were available for the UGA game.

"There was a lot less tension, less anxiousness in the crowd," said Harris. He reported no instances of trouble.

"Everyone learned a lesson from FSU and I think it reflects well on GTAA and the student body," said Harris.

More information about the FSU ticket distribution can be found in the September 7 issue of the Technique.



By Kimberly Hinkley / STUDENT PUBLICATIONS

Students participate in the annual camp out for tickets to the UGA game. After the FSU camp out incident, the Student Ticket Committee made sure that the UGA camp out was more closely restricted.

Reviving ReJOYce: SGA to consider changes to chartering

By Jody Shaw
News Editor

In Tuesday's Undergraduate House of Representatives meeting, At-Large Representative Michael Handelman revived a debate about discrimination in student organizations that many thought ended at Tech three years ago, by proposing a bill to amend Joint Campus Organizations Committee Policy, which governs the chartering of student organizations.

Handelman's bill proposed a change to JCOC's antidiscrimination policy, which currently bars organizations from discriminating in membership or engaging in acts that discriminate based on the factors of race, ethnicity, sex, age, religion and disability. However, the current policy only "strongly encourages" groups not to discriminate based on factors such as creed and sexual orientation.

"As an openly gay person, I felt

that it was absolutely ridiculous that [the policy] only strongly encouraged that I would not be discriminated against," said Handelman.

Handelman proposed to add sexual orientation, creed and veteran status, to the list of those covered by the JCOC nondiscrimination policy. He made this move, however, without talking to newly appointed JCOC Chair Daniel Lane or a number of administrators concerned about the possible legal ramifications of such a move.

"I am very much in favor of instituting an anti-discriminatory policy, but if SGA is going to implement a policy, I want to have legal precedent to back it up, and that we are not forced to repeal something because of legality," said Lane.

The legal issues Lane refers to stem from a 1998 debate over whether or not to grant a charter to the ReJOYce in Jesus Campus Fellowship, a student organization that denies full voting membership to

homosexuals. At the time, JCOC policy was similar to one proposed by Handelman; sexual orientation was included as one of the factors on which organizations could not discriminate.

When SGA used this policy to deny the group a charter, however, the organization obtained a lawyer and threatened a lawsuit. Dean of Student Gail DiSabatino asked the Georgia Attorney General Thurbert Baker for a definitive legal opinion on whether or not Tech was required to give the group a charter.

Baker's opinion stated that Tech was constitutionally obligated to charter the group on freedom of association grounds. Under the threat of possible lawsuit, SGA approved the groups charter. Afterwards, JCOC policy was altered to include the "strongly encouraged" language rather than the explicit ban on discrimination that was in place, and that Handelman seeks.

After learning of these possible

legal issues, members of the Undergraduate House decided to refer the bill to the JCOC and allow them to study the proposal.

Handelman, however, realized he did not consider all of the possible legal problems associated with such a change, he simply wanted to raise the issue before the House and allow them to make up their minds. If it passed, he believed the legal issues could be sorted out later.

"The reason why I went ahead with this bill is that I wanted them [the Representatives] to make this decision," said Handelman.

"I one hundred percent agree with their decision to table this bill; they felt like they did not have enough information, and, even more, because we had this discussion, points were raised that would not have come up in a JCOC meeting or a meeting with administrators."

Handelman expressed satisfaction with House's decision.

"This issue went public. I asked

the representatives to vote on this bill as a symbolic measure—on whether they felt this policy was right or wrong or they felt like they did not have enough information, and they chose," said Handelman.

"I have full faith in confidence that JCOC will be able to handle this. In no way was this meant to cause any sort of adversarial relationship or to undermine the JCOC."

Though a number of issues remain to be answered, many were answered in the debate before the House. For example, this bill would not prevent groups like sports teams, fraternities, sororities and vocal groups from discriminating based on gender, since they do so by their very nature. Such groups are exempted from Title IX legislation that outlaws discrimination.

More information about the ReJOYce chartering debate can be found online in the Technique archives in issues from January of 1998.

GSS passes WREK after third week

By Jody Shaw
News Editor

Tuesday's meeting of the Graduate Student Senate meeting saw the consideration of a number of bills that the Senate tabled at its meeting on November 20, just before the Thanksgiving holiday. The Senate's business, however, was cut short by time constraints, and the meeting adjourned at just after 12:00 p.m. with over half of its bills remaining in old business still unconsidered.

The first bill considered by the Senate was one to allocate funds to WREK radio to enable the student-run station to purchase a new Belar monitor to allow it to remain compliant with Federal Communications Commission standards. The Undergraduate House passed the WREK bill on November 13, but the Senate originally tabled the bill at its meeting on the same date.


The Senate took the bill off the table at its November 20 meeting, but a number of questions remained unanswered. Several Senators wanted to see WREK consider purchasing monitors from a company other than Belar, since it had been 20 years since WREK had done business with the company. The Senators decided to table the bill again to allow Amir Ebrahimi, the WREK representative, to find quotes from other companies that manufacture the monitor.

On Tuesday, Ebrahimi returned to the Senate for the third week in a row, this time with the quotes requested by the Senators. After presenting the information to the Senate, he entertained few questions. Senate Secretary Jennifer Jordan commended Ebrahimi for cooperating with the Senate over the past several weeks. There was little debate, and the bill passed 49-0-3.

Another bill that the Senate tabled at its previous meeting came before the body again, as the Senators voted to take a proposed allocation to the STAC Society for

See GSS, page 6

Council Clippings Senate and House



By Scott Meuleners / STUDENT PUBLICATIONS

Executive Vice President Nate Watson swears in newly appointed undergraduate representatives to Institute Wide Committees. UHR met for over three hours Tuesday.

Bills Considered

Undergraduate House of Representatives			
Joint Allocation to GT Ice Hockey	Author: Dusty Riddle		passed
Joint Allocation to HYPE	Author: Dusty Riddle		passed
Allocation to SGA Academic Affairs	Author: Scott Fletcher		postponed
Joint Allocation to IEEE Hardware Team	Author: Eric Clopper		passed
New Campus Recreation positions	Author: Stephen Popick		passed
Joint Anti-Discrimination Amendment to JCOC policy	Author: Michael Handelman		tabled
Joint Allocation to Alternative Breaks	Author: Jason Fowler		passed
Joint Allocation to Young Democrats	Author: Chris Webb		passed
Joint Allocation to Military Week	Author: Jason Fowler		passed
Joint Appointment of Campus Rec. Board Roy Furbank, Senator	Author: Chris Kavanaugh		passed
Joint Allocation to Stu. Cen. Concert Cmt.	Author: Andrew Padgett		passed
Joint Allocation to Stu. Cen. Publicity	Author: Andrew Padgett		passed
Graduate Student Senate			
Joint Allocation to WREK Radio	Author: Elizabeth Chesnutt		passed
Joint Allocation to GT Ice Hockey	Author: Roy Furbank		passed
Joint Allocation to HYPE	Author: Jennifer Matthews		passed
Joint Allocation to STAC Society	Author: David Maybury		tabled

Controversy explodes in 3-hour meeting

By Jody Shaw
News Editor

Members of the Undergraduate House of Representatives enjoyed their longest meeting of the semester on Tuesday—a three-hour ordeal that probably left some Representatives glad it is their last of the semester. In the three hours, however, a number of substantial funding bills passed with considerable debate.

At-Large Representative Michael Handelman's bill to amend Joint Campus Organization Committee policy, which is discussed in an article on page 3, drew the most debate in terms of non-allocation bills considered by the body.

One of the most controversial funding bills of the evening was one to supply funds to the IEEE Hardware Team. The organizational representative, Kyle Howell, informed the representatives that with corporate sponsorships down because of the economy, the team felt that it had no choice but to ask SGA for a \$1200 supplement to its budget to allow it to buy parts for a robot to be entered in competition.

Normally such allocation bills pass the House without an incredible amount of discussion, but Howell was unable to attend the meeting of the Joint Finance Committee, and therefore, the committee did not consider IEEE's bill. A JFC recommendation is normally needed before SGA members approve a bill, though it is not a requirement. Howell and ECE Representative Eric Clopper, who authored the bill, argued that since the group needed to be able to purchase materials and work over the semester break, the House should pull the bill from the JFC and consider it. Other representatives agreed.

"GSS is meeting next week, so let's give this group the opportunity to work over the break [by considering it tonight]," said AE Representative Brian George.

During the discussion of the bill, however, some Representatives worried they

See UHR, page 6

Rights

from page 1

unanimously out of its committee. Watson feels that the proposal is likely to be approved by the Faculty Senate as well.

“It’s a good idea and it demonstrates the ability of students and faculty to cooperate on many different levels,” said Watson.

Watson expressed hope that SGA will continue to work with administrators on important academic issues.

“I think the Student Bill of Academic Rights is a beginning. It is a beginning to Student Government’s efforts to work jointly with the faculty and administration as a team to drastically improve the quality of the learning environment at Georgia Tech.”

For more information about SGA and the Student Bill of Academic Rights visit their website at sga.gatech.edu.

GSS

from page 5

new video and computer equipment off of the table. The group plans to use the equipment for a digital video movie competition it plans to hold in January. The equipment will also remain on campus to be used by students for documentation, proposals, resumes, presentations, and other multimedia applications.

In originally tabling the bill, the Senators expressed concern about the makers of the equipment, the process by which cameras would be distributed, and the storage of the equipment. The Senators also felt that some of the equipment, since it will remain on campus as additions that could benefit educational activities, should have been requested

Student Bill of Academic Rights

1. The right to attend classes during regularly scheduled times without deviation from such time and without penalty if the student cannot attend instructional, lab, or examination hours not institutionally scheduled.
2. The right to consult with an assigned and qualified advisor for a reasonable amount of time each term.
3. The right to receive an evaluation of academic performance prior to the point at which one may withdraw from the course without penalty.
4. The right to consult with faculty outside usual classroom time such as regularly scheduled office hours or by appointment.
5. The right to have reasonable access to campus facilities of which use is required to complete course assignments and/or objectives.
6. The right to receive a syllabus for each course at the first class meeting. The syllabus should include an outline of the course objectives, criteria used in determining the course grade, and any other requirements. Students should be informed in writing or email of any changes made to the syllabus with reasonable time to adjust to these changes.
7. The right to have reasonable time to learn course material prior the administration of an examination.
8. The right of each student to receive access to any of his/her records kept by the administration.
9. The right to have reasonable access to grading instruments and/or evaluation criteria and to have graded material returned in a timely fashion.
10. The right to be informed of the grade appeals process.
11. The right to have reasonable facilities in which to receive instruction and examinations.
12. The right to be informed in each course of the definition of academic misconduct.

through the Technology Fee Allocation Committee, which divides the student technology fee among various proposals from Institute departments and campus organizations. Applications for Technology Fee requests were due months ago.

When the STAC Society came before the Senate for the second time, it neglected to answer many of these same questions to the satisfaction of the Senators. A number of individuals questioned the oversight of the group—who would be responsible for ensuring that the on campus distribution system works as plans. The Senators also worried about liability and whether or not the cameras would be continually checked to ensure that if one is damaged the individual who last checked it out would be held financially re-

sponsible.

Despite the attempts by the STAC society to answer the questions, the Senate tabled the bill before reaching the discussion phase.

Prior to considering the bills in old business, several Senators made announcements. First, Vice President Camille Foster-Lonon swore in two new Senators, in addition to the handful sworn in by President Trey Childress at the November 20 meeting. At that time Childress also announced that almost every Senate seat is filled.

The Senate also heard from Roy Furbank, Chair of the Campus Recreation Committee. He announced the SAC II groundbreaking, and SAC Director Butch Stanphill shared a presentation on the new facility with the Senate.

UHR

from page 5

were bypassing standard procedure for no reason. They felt that if the Senate passed the bill now, the bill would still be reviewed by JFC this week, and if the committee makes any changes, the Senate could pass a different version at its meeting next week, which would lead to a conference committee on the bill, and IEEE’s Hardware Team would still be without money to spend during the break.

“This bill is not going to get passed in the same form by the Senate,” said Physics Representative Scott Fletcher. He argued to move the bill back to the JFC, but that motion failed.

The main sticking point for many Representatives was a line in the bill that requesting funding for “electronic materials.” They worried that JFC would cut such a line from the bill.

Clopper argued, however, that the House should still attempt to give the group its funding now and not penalize it for his failure to itemize the specific materials. Computer Science Representative Danielle Dees agreed.

“If we pass this bill it its current form and JFC winds up amending it and the Senate passes a different version, at least we can say we have done our best to get this organization the funding it needs,” said Dees.

The representatives eventually passed the bill.

Another controversial bill considered by the House was an allocation to the Student Center Programs Board Publicity Committee, a new committee recently created to organize publicity efforts for the programming council.

Many organizations expressed concern with the bill, since the Stu-

dent Center Programs Board is awarded a \$4500 budget for miscellaneous advertising in its annual budget. When the budget was created, however, this committee did not exist, and, according to organizational representatives Student Center Treasurer Brent Baldwin and Associate Student Center Director Sally Hammock, most of that money is already budgeted. Much of it is spent on weekly *Technique* ads and other forms of publicity.

Still, many of the representatives expressed concern. One said it “seems kind of fishy,” and another called the \$4500 a “slush fund.”

This bill’s author, Freshman Representative Andrew Padgett, noted that the student center holds over 200 events per year, much of them funded by student activity fees, and therefore, spending money on advertising is a worthy cause.

“I resent the implications that we do not manage our money responsibly,” said Hammock. After much discussion, the representatives came to agree.

“This is the Student Center...they are not going to cheat us out of our money,” said Chemistry Representative Dan Robinson.

“I fail to see how they can find money for this new committee out of their current \$4500 fund,” said At-Large Representative Mark Randolph.

The representatives passed the bill.

The House also voted to create eight new positions in the Campus Recreation Department headed by Butch Stamphill. Stamphill explained that these new positions will not actually be filled and funded until fiscal year 2004, but he needed the approval of the House to create the jobs and include their salaries in budget for SAC II.

Grad

from page 1

curring under quarters to get the correct credits,” said Hughes.

“Back in 1998 students were told how to do this, and the extra hours of humanities credit could have been used as free electives.”

Many of the other committee members felt that more sympathy should be given to those students who are less than an hour credit short. “Those students who are short by fractions of an hour are different than the students with one to two hours short,” said Vice-Provost of Academic Affairs Bob McMath. “They have completed all of the course work but are short hours simply because of semester conversion.”

McMath then made a motion to approve a policy that would allow students who are less than one credit hour short in either humanities or social sciences to graduate as long as they have completed the hours required for their degree.

Many members of the committee asked how long this rule would be in effect, and after some discussion, it was decided that the policy would apply to any student who entered Tech under quarters.

Once that policy was approved, the committee considered what should be done about the students who lack only one to two credit hours.

According to McIver the Ivan Allen College has decided to offer one-hour humanities and social science course to give these students another option.

McMath felt that this was the best option for students that are over an hour of credit short. “It would create greater flexibility for students,” said McMath.

According to McMath, the exact number of one-hour classes has not yet been determined and they will not be available until phase II registration. “Hopefully we will offer at least two [one-hour courses] in both humanities and social science,” said McMath.

Class Meeting Time	Exam Period	Day	Date	Examination Time
MWF 2:00	1	Mon	12/10	8:00 - 10:50
MWF 9:00	2	Mon	12/10	11:30 - 2:20
MWF 12:00	3	Mon	12/10	2:50 - 5:40
MWF 4:00 & 4:30	4	Tue	12/11	8:00 - 10:50
MWF 1:00	5	Tue	12/11	11:30 - 2:20
TTH 9:00 & 9:30	6	Tue	12/11	2:50 - 5:40
MWF 3:00	7	Wed	12/12	8:00 - 10:50
TTH 8:00 & 8:30	8	Wed	12/12	11:30 - 2:20
MWF 8:00	9	Wed	12/12	2:50 - 5:40
TTH 1:00 & 1:30, 2:00 & 2:30	10	Thu	12/13	8:00 - 10:50
MWF 11:00	11	Thu	12/13	11:30 - 2:20
TTH 12:00 & 12:30	12	Thu	12/13	2:50 - 5:40
TTH 3:00 & 3:30	13	Fri	12/14	8:00 - 10:50
MWF 10:00 & TTH 10:00	14	Fri	12/14	11:30 - 2:20
TTH 4:00 & 4:30	15	Fri	12/14	2:50 - 5:40
PERIOD FOR CONFLICTS	----	Sat	12/15	9:00 - 11:50

NOTE: For a class meeting at irregular times, the examination period is determined by the day and hour at which the regularly sequenced class meets.

EXAMINATIONS FOR COURSES SCHEDULED DURING EVENING HOURS (5 p.m. or later): Examinations for courses scheduled during the evening hours will be conducted either during the regular evening class hours during the period December 10-14 or during the examination period for a daytime section of the course if one is available and the instructor approves.

EXAMINATIONS FOR CLASSES MEETING A STAGGERED SCHEDULE: Examination for classes meeting a staggered schedule should use the examination period for the days of the week that the majority of course meets. Should the exam period be changed to the period for the other days, then it will be the responsibility of the instructor making the change to accommodate all conflicts created.

Examples: MWF 8, TTH 2 should use the exam period for the MWF 8 courses.
TTH 9:30-11, MW10 should use the exam period for the TTH 9:00 & 9:30 classes.

EXAM CONFLICT: If three examinations are scheduled in one day, the examination for the middle period will be rescheduled to the conflict period or to another period mutually agreed on by the instructor & student. Should the exam period be changed to the period for the other days, then it will be the responsibility of the instructor making the change to accommodate all conflicts created.